

Piping design in high temperature and high pressure service has become complicated in recent years. Starting with functional requirement, design and pipe strength calculations, the piping engineers are able to perform the routing and elasticity analysis keeping in mind the supporting aspects. Simple approach to design aspects has been given in three parts of the article. Part I deals with the functional requirements and general design consideration for piping in power Plants.

Part One

Sankar Chakrabarti $\dagger$<br>Mannesmann Seiffert GmbH, Berlin<br>Germany

## 1. Introduction

The progress of a country is normally assessed on the consumption of electricity which can be achieved by plants running on coal, oil, gas, water, wind, solar or nuclear power. As the coal reserve of India is very high, India has installed coal fired power plants of high temperature steam $\left(550-570^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for better efficiency in Singrauli, Korba, Ramagundam, Chandrapur, Farakka, etc - all of 500 MW capacity and going for waste heat generating power plants to utilize the heat of high temperature waste gas which would have otherwise burnt to atmosphere.

The main parts of a power plant, boiler and turbine, are connected with each other through piping. Though costing only 8 to $10 \%$ of the total cost of the plant, the piping must withstand the high temperature with less loss of energy and must be safe against any hazardous effect.

### 1.1 Considerations in piping design

The design of piping has become very complicated in recent years. The salient considerations of piping design and installation are summed up for better understanding.

[^0]|  | Functional requirements | * Fabrication and erection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heat balance diagrams | Fabrication and spool drawings |
|  | Design parameters | Cutting plans for piping |
|  | Pipesizing | Welding lists (WL) |
|  | P \& I diagrams | Welding Procedure Specifications (WPS) |
|  | Pressure and temperature loss calculations | Procedure Qualification Records (PQR) <br> Erection description and procedure |
|  | Insulations | Erection calculations |
|  |  | Erection sequence plan |
| * | Operational requirements | Installation |
|  | Fatigue stresses |  |
|  | Creep stresses | $\star$ Testing and commissioning |
|  | Life estimation | Cleaning of piping, steam purging Trail operation |
| * | Pipe strength | Repairs |
|  | Allowable stresses | Commssioning |
|  | Dimensioning of piping and components |  |
|  | Stress intensification factors | * Inservice inspection |
|  | Elasticity and fluid flow analysis | Creep gauge and expansion markers |
|  | Plant conditions and load cases |  |
|  | Load tables and check of connection | * Quality Assurance (QA) |
|  | loadings | Technical Delivery Conditions (TDC) for pipes and components |
|  | Pipe layout 2-1-2 or 4-1-4 system | Quality Plans (QP) for materials |
|  | Piping layout composites for main and auxiliary lines | Non-Destructive (NDC) and Destructive ( DC ) testing for materials |
|  | Cost and economy study | Field Qualtiy Assurance (FQA) |
|  | Interference checking |  |
|  | Steel structure drawings | * Material management |
|  | Foundation drawings | Bill of materials (BOM) for pipings, |
|  | Piping isometries of main and auxiliary lines | components, valves and supports Enquiry for materials according to preliminary BOM |
|  | Pipe components | Ordering of materials |
|  | Detail component design and calculations Detail engineering | Receiving of materials and despatch to site |
| * | Pipe supports | Erection follow-up |
|  | Stiffness of supports |  |
|  | Hanger, support and snubber schedules | $\star$ Project management |

## 12 Standard design procedure

A standard pipe strength design procedure is given below : •

START

not o.k.


## 2. Functional requirements

### 2.1 Nomenclatures

| Ah | hydraulic flow area of pipe | mm^2, m^2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ao | area of orifice | $\mathrm{mm}{ }^{\wedge} 2$ |
| d, d1, d2 | pipe or component diameter | mm |
| da | pipe or component outside diameter | mm |
| di | pipe or component inside diameter | mm |
| dh | hydraulic diameter | mm |
| do | diameter of orifice hole | mm |
| dp | pressure loss, change of pressure, impulse | $\mathrm{MPa}, \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| eta | dynamic viscosity | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}$ * ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |
| f | friction factor of component or equipment |  |
| $f 1$ | friction factor of pipe | - |
| h, h1, h2 | heights, geodetic heights at p 1 and p 2 | m |
| k | ratio $\mathrm{Cp} / \mathrm{Cv}$ | - |
| 1, L, le | effective length of pipe | m |
| m | mass flow, fluid | kg/s |
| miu | orifice correction factor | - |
| nu | kinematic viscosity of medium = eta * $v$ | $\mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| P | design internal pressure | MPa |
| p, p1, p2 | pressure of medium | $\mathrm{Mpa}, \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| pc | critical pressure | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| q | distributed load $=\mathrm{m}$ " g | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}$ |


| Re | Reyonlds Number | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t} 1, \mathrm{t} 2$ | actual wall thickness | mm |
| T, T1, T2 | design temperature | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Ta | ambient temperature | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Ti | inside wall temperature | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| TK | absolute temperature $=T+273$ | K |
| Ts | surface temperature | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| U | circumference of wetted area | mm |
| v, v1, v2 | specific volume of the fluid | $\mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3 / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| w, w1, w2 | velocity of fluid | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\alpha k$ | coeffieicient of heat transfer, convection | $\mathrm{W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2^{*} \mathrm{~K}\right)$ |
| $\alpha c$ | coefficient of heat transfer, radiation | $\mathrm{W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2^{*} \mathrm{~K}\right)$ |
| $\alpha$ | $\alpha k+\alpha c$ | W ( $\mathrm{M}^{\wedge} 2^{*} \mathrm{~K}$ ) |
| $\alpha \mathrm{i}$ | coefficient of heat transfer, inside | W/(M^2*K) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\text {a }}$ | coefficient of heat transfer, outside | $\mathrm{W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2^{*} \mathrm{~K}\right)$ |
| B | included angle of reducer, diffuser, angle between header and branch | degree |
| $\tau$ | thermal conductivity of material | $\mathrm{W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{*} \mathrm{~K}\right)$ |
| $\Phi$ | orifice factor for medium | - |
| $\varepsilon$ | smoothness factor | - |

### 2.2 Properties of fluids

The piping should be designed to be able to deliver the rated flow at the required temperature and within the desired pressure
loss from one equipment to the other. The flow rate is obtained from the applicable heat balance or flow diagram and the velocity of flow chosen for the transmission. For a particular flow rate, higher the velocity smaller is the internal diameter of the pipe and, therefore, lower could be the costincurred on pipes, fittings and supports and even insulation where the pipe is insulated. But higher velocity results in higher pressure drop in piping and as a result costlier pump-motor set may have to be used. Also, the cost of energy in running the pump will be high and where a pump is not directly involved, high pressure drop would mean high energy loss and, therefore, more cost on energy replenishment. Further, high velocity could be detrimental from the point of view of erosion as well as corrosion specially in case of wet steam or liquids carrying abrasive solid in suspension. It may also cause vibration and noisy operation.

Knowing the flow parameters, the velocity of the fluid or the recommended velocity, the required hydraulic diameter of a pipe can be established.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{w}=1,000,000^{*} \mathrm{~m}^{*} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{Ah} & \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \\
\mathrm{dh}=1,128^{*} \sqrt{\left(\mathrm{~m}^{*} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{w}\right)} & \mathrm{mm} \tag{2.02}
\end{array}
$$

In calculating the pipe flow area or hydraulic diameter of equivalent piping for non-circular section, the flow diameter should be determined. For normal pipe, the flow diameter (d) and hydraulic diameter (dh) is same. But for non-circular section, the hydraulic diameter can be determined from the geometry as per the examples given below:
$\mathrm{dh}=4^{*} \mathrm{Ah} / \mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{mm}$
[2.03]


Fig. 2.2 : Example of hydraulic diameter

### 2.3 Recommended velocities

Unless limited by possibilities of accelerated corrosion/erosion or vibration, noise or pressure drop considerations, the choice of velocity can be optimized by technoeconomic analysis of capital cost, operation and maintenance cost for the piping (inclusive of its supports and insulation) and associated pump-motor set and or other affected equipment or facilities. Many recommendations afe available for
the maximum velocity of flow of fluid through a pipeline. An actual optimization study is required for unusual cases such as long distance or cross-country pipelines.

Few recommended velocities in mass flow for specified services of a power plant are given in Table 2.3 in next page. These values are recommendations orly and can be used as guideline for ealculating the preliminary diameters.

Table 2.3 : Recommended velocities of a power plant piping


### 2.4 Pipe Sizing

Before material forecast, layout and engineering activities can proceed, fixing of pipe diameter and thickness of pipes must be completed and piping schedule or line list done.

Choosing first a velocity as per the recommendations of section 2.3 or as per the company standard, the preliminary diameter may be calculated for a velocity range or for a maximum velocity. For thinwalled pipes, the commercially available nearest internal diameter will be the size of the pipe. For high pressure or high temperature services, where wall thickness requirement for the pipe is quite high, it might be necessary to establish the wall thickness required for the outside diameter and then check back on the inside diameter available and the resulting velocity. If the velocity turns out to be unacceptable, the next higher commercial outside diamteter or a suitable non-standard outside diameter may be chosen if that be more economical. Normally, for high pressure piping, the pipes are manufactured according to required given inside diameter and minimum wall thickness. The pipe schedule, thus produced, should be checked for wall thickness to conform to required pipe strength.

### 2.5 Pressure Loss

The pressure loss of the fluid flowing through
the piping is an irreversible process which occurs due to friction in the fluid or at the fluid circumference and produce heat energy. Before accepting the outside diameter and the nominal wall thickness established in accordance with the velocity and economy check, a pressure loss check is normally required for cirtical steam lines, such as, main steam, hot reheat and extraction steam and also for cooling water circuit.

For optimization of thermal cycle efficiency, commensurate with cost of piping materials inclusive of hangers, support and insulation, certain percentage pressure drops are normally allowed which are taken care of while preparing the heat balance diagrams and heat rate calculation. Sizing of these lines, therefore, are done without exceeding the allowable pressure loss values. The normal recommended allowable pressure drops in ciritical lines may be as given below:

## * Main Steam

The pressure loss from superheater outlet of boiler to the high pressure turbine strainer should be within $90 \%$ of the pressure loss of the flow through the high pressure turbine valve wide open or within $5 \%$ of the main steam turbine inlet pressure. The main steam pressure losses are added to those of strainer and turbine to get the turbine outlet pressure or the cold reheat inlet pressure.
$\star$ Cold Reheat
The pressure loss of cold reheat with reheater line should be within $90 \%$ of the pressure difference between high pressure turbine exhaust and intermediate pressure turbine inlet.

In most of the cases the total pressure loss of cold and hot reheat is limited to a certain value. The increase of one may be compensated by the other.

Normally, all pipings and equipment shall be sized for flow, pressure and temperature at turbine valve wide open at rated pressure and auxiliary steam requirements.

If the pressure loss turns out to be in excess of that recommended, (may be ignored if marginal), reduction of the same may be attempted by route shortening, reducing number of fittings, changing radii of bends, type of valve, etc. Normally, these alternatives should not exist as the basic layout will be developed with all these considerations in mind for economy and a few such changes may not suffice. When these measures fail, only alternative left will be to go for higher ID or next higher commercially available OD pipes depending upon whether ID or OD based pipe was selected and repeat the design procedure.

Pressure loss calculations for liquid lines are required when it is necessary to establish the head for the pump delivering the liquid. Also, when it is necessary to size a line
where the pump is existing or the pressures at the two ends are fixed or predetermined, pressure loss calculations must be made.

The resistance of flow through any pipe is represented by friction factor or drag coefficient ( f 1 ) and is related to the Reynolds number which is a function of pipe inside diameter, velocity of flow and viscosity of the fluid.

As Reynolds number increases, flow pattern changes from the laminar to turbulent in the process of passing through a transient region where it is neither laminar nor turbulent and ultimately to hyper-turbulent state. In each of these regions, the friction factor is related to the Reynolds number in a different way. In laminar flow the friction factor is related only to the Reynolds number and for hyper-turbulent flow f 1 is related to the absolute roughness of the pipe wall.

Losses through fittings, denoted by the friction factor (f), can be converted to equivalent length for the fitting. Equivalent length for a fitting is defined as that length of a straight pipe of the same internal diameter as the fitting for which loss is the same as that through the fitting for identical flow conditions. Alternate way of calculation of losses through the fittings is to use the flow resistance coefficient $f$ directly.

If the line or the circuit for which pressure loss calculation is performed has any special fitting like a strainer, steam separator,
expansion joint, flow nozzle, orifice, rotameter, heat exchanger, control valve, etc, losses through them must also be added to arrive at the total friction loss. Loss through these items should be obtained from their manufacturers. In the beginning, assump-tions are made using, when available, previous experience or catalogue data and these are checked later on, when the actual data are available.

The basic equation of Euler for conservation of energy in a non-compressible medium as modified by Bernouli states that the summation of pressure energy, kinetic energy and potential energy are constant :
length is too long and the flow condition changes very rapidly, the calculation is to be performed in small steps taking the average value for every step. The same procedure is usually adopted for nonisothermal flow also.

The temperature loss along the pipeline increases the density and the viscosity and hence the velocity decreases. This influence is small and can be neglected for normal purposes. In long pipeline the pressure loss is normally calculated with the specific volume calculated for the estimated average temperature of the line.

```
p1 + w1 (/2*v1 + bhh1v1 = p2 + w2 2/2*v2 + g*h2/v2 + dp
```

The pressure loss dp should be differentiated from other parts of the equation which are reversible from one form of energy to the other.

The pressure loss in a piping system irrespective of the flow pattern, eg, laminar or turbulent, with components as included in the above equation can be given as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dp}=(\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{fi}: \mathrm{vdh}) * \mathrm{w}^{\prime} /\left(2^{*} \mathrm{v}\right) \quad \mathrm{NM} \mathrm{M}^{2} \tag{2.04}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average value of specific volume and velocity of flow for the piping length should be employed in the equation, but if the

### 2.5.1 Friction factor $f$

The friction factor $f$, for equipment and components dependant on their geometry and independant of the flow characteristics is mainly due to :
$\star$ friction
$\star$ change of flow section
$\star$ change of flow direction
$\star$ branches
$\star$ components

For quick reference the value of $f$ for few common components are given herewith in the following table (Table 2.5.1 with figure) (refer VDI Heat Atlas / FDBR Handbuch):

Table 2.5.1 with figure : Friction Factor f for Components and Fittings



Figure 2.5.2 : Drag Coefficientf 1

### 2.5.2 Drag coefficientf 1

The drag coefficient $f 1$ for pipes may be taken from diagram, given in every standard work available, Figure 2.5.2, depending on the roughness of pipe and the nature of flow represented by Reynolds number, a non-dimensional number. The Reynolds number is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}=w^{*} d h / n u \quad- \tag{2.05}
\end{equation*}
$$

The flow is laminar below the critical Reynolds number of 2320 , and above that in the transition zone of 2320 to 8000 the flow starts to be turbulent. At $\mathrm{Re}=8000$, the flow may still be laminar if it is very calm and quiet and the inside of the pipe is very smooth. With rough inside surface of pipe the flow turns to be turbulent with lower Re number but never below 2320 .

According to Blasius, Hermann, Prandtl and von Karman, a rough estimation of $f 1$ independent of roughness but for relatively smooth pipe can be made as :
to Re , ie, for $\mathrm{Re}<2320$, Darcyweisbach equation :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{fl}=64 / \mathrm{Re} \tag{2.06}
\end{equation*}
$$

For flow changing from laminar to turbulent, the roughness of the pipe should be considered. if the surface of the pipe is rough, the f1 value varies considerably. Regardless of the form of roughness a general term is relative roughness :
$\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{dh} \quad-$
where k denotes the absolute roughness or the average height of all the internal projections in the pipe in mm and can be taken from Table 2.5.2.

If the flow is completely governed by roughenss, f1 can be calculated for flow of $\mathrm{Re}^{*} \sqrt{\overline{1^{*}} \varepsilon}<200$, from ColebrookWhite equation :
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{fI}}}=2.0^{*} \log \left\{\frac{\varepsilon}{3,71}+\frac{2.51}{\operatorname{Re}^{* *} \sqrt{\sqrt{f 1}}}\right\}$
For turbulent flow in hydraulic smooth pipe, the drag coefficient may


A more accurate claculation of $f 1$ can be made for laminar or turbulent flow conditions determined by Re as given below.
$\star$ For laminar flow, ie, for flow through smooth surfaces with moderate velocity, fl is independent of the roughness of pipe and is only related
be calculated for $\operatorname{Re} \gg 2320$ as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / \sqrt{\mathrm{fl}}=2.0^{*} \log \left(\mathrm{Re}^{*} * \sqrt{\mathrm{fl}}\right)-0.8 \tag{2.09}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the roughness of pipe is considered, then the friction factor may be calculated for hydraulic raw pipe as per the formula given by Prandtl and von Karman :
$1 / \sqrt{\mathrm{f} 1}=1.14+2^{*} \log \varepsilon$

For flow through pipes the absolute roughness factor of pipe $\mathbf{k}$ :

| Steel pipes, new | $=0.02$ | 0.06 | mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| acid cleaned | $=0.03$ | 0.04 | mm |
| old and rough | $=0.15$ | 0.40 | mm |
| ERW pipes, new | $=0.04$ | 0.10 | mm |
| very old and rusty | upto | 3.00 | mm |
| Concrete pipes smooth | $=0.10$ | 0.30 | mm |
| new or rough | $=0.30$ | 3.00 | mm |
| Cast iron pipes, new | $=0.26$ | 0.60 | mm |
| old and rough | $=1.50$ | 4.00 | mm |
| Copper, brass, bronze, glass pipes smooth | $=0.001$ | 0.002 | mm |
| Aluminium pipe, new | $=0.076$ |  | mm |
| Plastic tubes | $=0.0015$ |  | mm |

Table 2.5.2: Absolute roughness factors

### 2.5.3 Calculation of pressure loss

The salient points in calculating pressure loss can be summerized as :

* The line is divided in sections with regard to change of state of flowing medium (diameter, valve, branch, etc.)
$\star$ Temperature loss along the pipeline increases density and viscosity which is small in insulated lines and can be neglected.
$\star$ The friction factors for the components should be based on the flow area and direction of flow.
* The pressure changes arising from velocity changes are to be included. In case of enlargement, the pressure increases due to velocity reduction and the ' $f$ ' values may be negative (ie, no pressure loss, rather pressure gain).
* In case of inflow of fluid from a reservoir with $\mathbf{w}=0$ into pipeline, the pressure will drop due to acceleration. If the starting pressure of the reservoir is considered, $f=1.0$ may be taken.
* During outflow from pipe in a reservoir, the velocity energy is normally
lost, but the same pressure as at the end of pipe is measured in the reservoir. Therefore $f=0$ is to be taken.
$\star$ The pressure loss in series is simply added. But for parallel connection, the flow in the piping will adjust in such a manner that same pressure loss is generated in all parallel branches.
* Pressure loss model for parallel connection.

| 2 |  | 3\% 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | by pass |  |  |
| ! 5 |  | 6 |  |

The flow adjusts in such a way that the pressure loss of the branches are the same. The error is insignificant for the practical cases if the pressure loss is calculated as follows:

$$
d p(1-4)=d p(1-2)+d p(3-4)+d p(2-3)+d p(2-5-6-3) / 2 \quad[2.11]
$$

### 2.5.4 Example of pressure loss :

## Example 1



## Pipe data :

Section 1, pro leg
$\mathrm{m}=231.95 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{dh}=330 \mathrm{~mm}=0.33 \mathrm{~m}$

Section 2
$\mathrm{m}=463.89 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{dh}=425 \mathrm{~mm}=0.425 \mathrm{~m}$

Section 3, pro leg
$\mathrm{m}=115.97 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{dh}=250 \mathrm{~mm}=0.25 \mathrm{~m}$

| $1=68.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | $1=119.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | $1=31.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~h}=76.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\mathrm{~h}=40.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\mathrm{~h}=9.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $6 \times 3^{*} \mathrm{~d}, 90^{\circ}$ bends | $4 \times 4^{*} \mathrm{~d}, 90^{\circ}$ bends | $1 \times 5^{*} \mathrm{~d}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bends |
| $2 \times 3^{*} \mathrm{~d}, 30^{\circ}$ bends | $2 \times \mathrm{T}$, flow in main | $1 \times 1.5^{*} \mathrm{~d}, 30^{\circ}$ elbow |
| $1 . \times \mathrm{Y}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{br}$-main |  |  |
|  |  | $1 \times \mathrm{T}$, main to br |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Turbine connection h4 $=22.5 \mathrm{~m}$
Friction factors for components :


Re $=2.875 \mathrm{e} 7$ -
$\mathrm{f} 1=0.0119$
$\mathrm{f} 1=0.0132$
f1 $=0.0125$
$\mathrm{dh}=\mathrm{h} 2-\mathrm{h} 1$
$\mathrm{dh}=\mathrm{h} 2-\mathrm{h} 1$
$\mathrm{dh}=\mathrm{h} 2-\mathrm{h} 1$
$=-36 \mathrm{~m}$
$=-31 \mathrm{~m}$
$=+13.5 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{dph}=-0.189 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$
$\mathrm{dph}=-0.163 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$
$\mathrm{ph}=0.071 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$
$=-0.189 \quad$ bar
$=-0.163$ bar
$=0.071 \mathrm{bar}$
Pressure loss due to friction : $\mathbf{d p s}=\left(f+f^{*} 1 / d h\right) * w^{\wedge} 2 /\left(2^{*} v\right)$
$\mathrm{dps}=2.735 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2 \quad \mathrm{dps}=3.933 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2 \quad \mathrm{dps}=1.935 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$
$=2.735 \quad$ bar
$=3.933 \mathrm{bar}$
$=1.935$ bar
Total pressure loss : $\mathrm{dp}=\operatorname{sum}(\mathrm{dph}+\mathrm{dps})$
$=8.322 \mathrm{bar}$
According to the recommended pressure loss in main steam piping :
$\mathrm{dp}, \quad$ all $=0.05^{*} 176=8.8$ bar $>\mathrm{dp}$


## Example 2 :

In a piping system water to flow from 15 m to 5 m height with the following data: $m=12 \quad t / \mathrm{h}=3.333 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}$

| $\mathrm{dh}=52.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ (pipe da $60.3 \times 4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | $=0.0523$ | m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}=30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \quad \mathrm{v}=0.001 \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 3 / \mathrm{kg}$, | eta $=0.7972 \mathrm{e}-3$ | kg/m*s |
| $1=25.3 \mathrm{~m}$ new pipe | $\mathbf{k}=0.05$ | mm |
| $2 \times 1.5^{*} \mathrm{~d} \quad 90^{\circ}$ bend, $3 \times 1.5^{*} \mathrm{~d} 45^{\circ}$ bend, | $1 \times$ Gate valve |  |
| $3 \times \mathrm{T}$ | $1 \times \mathrm{RD} \mathrm{B}=30^{\circ}$ |  |
| Calculations : |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ah}=0.7854^{*} 0.0523^{\wedge} 2$ | $=0.002148$ | $\mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| $\mathrm{w}=3.333^{*} 0.001 / 0.002148$ | $=1.56$ | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\mathrm{nu}=0.7972 \mathrm{e}^{-3 *} 0.001$ | $=0.797 \mathrm{e} 6$ | $\mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\operatorname{Re}=1.56 * 0.0523 / 0.7976$ | $=1.02 \mathrm{e} 5$ | - |

With $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{dh} \cong 0.001$ and neglecting the second part of the eqn 2.8 :
$\mathrm{fl}=0.0196$ and now with this value of fl in the same equation,
$\mathrm{fl}=0.022$
Converting the friction factors of the components and equipment in equivalent length of pipes : $1(e)=f^{*} d h / f 1$

Pipe di $=0.523 \mathrm{~m}$
$2 \times 90$ Bends $f=2 \times 0.18$
$3 \times 45$ Bends
$1 \times$ GV $f \quad=0.8 \quad$ lv $=1.9 \mathrm{~m}$
$3 \times \quad \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{f}=3 \times 0.9 \quad=2.7 \quad \mathrm{lt}=6.4 \mathrm{~m}$
$1 \times \mathrm{Y}$ f $=0.19 \quad \mathrm{ly}=2.6 \mathrm{~m}$
$1 \times \mathrm{RD} \mathrm{f} \quad=0.05 \quad \operatorname{lrd}=0.1 \mathrm{~m}$
Total equivalent length $1=38.1 \mathrm{~m}$
Pressure loss due to friction :
$d p=\left(f 1^{*} 1 / d h\right)^{*} w^{\wedge} 2 / 2^{\wedge} v$
$=\left(0.022^{*} 38.1 / 0.0523\right)^{*} 1.56^{\wedge} 2 /\left(2^{*} 0.001\right)$
$=19501 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2$
$=0.195$ bar
Pressure head available due to height :
$\mathrm{dph}=\mathrm{g}^{*} \mathrm{dh} / \mathrm{v}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =9.81^{*}(15-5) / 0.001 \\
& =98100 \quad \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 2 \\
& =0.98 \quad \mathrm{bar}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathrm{dph}>\mathrm{dp}$, the water flows from $\mathrm{h} 2=15 \mathrm{~m}$ to $\mathrm{h} 1=5 \mathrm{~m}$.

Thus in this part of the article, some aspects of piping design in power plants-such as basic requirements, properties of fluid, pipe sizing, recommended velocity, pressure loss, calculations of pressure loss, etc, have been presented in a way which will be useful to the practising engineers.


[^0]:    $\dagger$ S Chakrabarti-a graduate engineer from Jadavpur University, Calcutta- is associated with piping design and project management for more than twentyfive years. He is a professional engineer of the State of California, USA. Presently, he is head of Design and Calculation department of MSE, Berlin, Germany.

