



Effect of Circuit Training and *Yogasanas* on Cardiovascular Endurance, Inspiratory Capacity and Forced Vital Capacity among Sports Person with Special Reference to *Prakriti*

Sandeep Singh* and Sangeeta Gehlot

Department of Kriya Sharir, Faculty of Ayurveda, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi – 221005, Uttar Pradesh, India; sandeepsingh160490@gmail.com; sangeetagehlot@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Circuit training and *Yogasana* are good for the development of physical and physiological fitness of an individual. These training methods are useful to develop the individual's fitness component like strength, speed, cardiovascular endurance, flexibility and agility as well as physiological components like Forced Vital Capacity (FVC), Inspiratory Capacity (IC) and Expiratory Capacity (EC). Thus, this study was planned to find out the variation of cardiovascular endurance, inspiratory capacity and forced vital capacity among sport persons through circuit training and *Yogasana* practice in different *Prakriti* individuals. **Materials and Methods:** 60 young and healthy male of age group 18-28 years, inter university level sport players were selected and divided into three groups, two experimental group (*Yogasana* and circuit training group) and one control group. *Prakriti* of each player was determined by the standard proforma. Harvard step test and PFT was done before and after completion of intervention. **Result:** After intervention significant changes were found in cardiovascular endurance, forced vital capacity and inspiratory capacity. Pair wise comparison of groups resulted in significant difference between circuit training group v/s control group and *Yogasana* group v/s control group in FVC and IC, circuit training group v/s *Yogasana* groups in IC. On *Prakriti* wise analysis significant changes were observed in all the three parameters of all *Prakriti* players of circuit training group while, *Yogasana* group showed significant difference in different parameters. No change was found in control group. **Conclusion:** Circuit training and *Yogasana* practices produced almost similar response on all studied parameters.

Keywords: Circuit Training, *Prakriti*

1. Introduction

Training is the most important for preparing the athlete for higher level of performance. It is a systematically planned preparation with the help of the exercise which realizes the main factors influencing

athlete's progress. The content of training includes all the basic types of preparation of the sportsmen such as physical, technical, tactical and psychological. Circuit training can be designed to develop strength, muscular endurance, speed, agility, neuromuscular coordination, flexibility and cardiovascular

*Author for correspondence

endurance¹. Circuit training is an important training in which players perform a series of selected exercise in a sequence or in a circuit at each station and then passes to the next station. The strength of the players may be increased either by increasing the total time or intensity of the work. These stations are allocated throughout the place scratched to the circuit training. Cardiovascular conditioning of the individual depends on the distance between stations². *Yoga* is the oldest known science originated in ancient India. At present *Yogasana* are being very popular for the development of physical and mental strength. Many previous studies have reported that *Yogic* practices improve the physical and mental fitness level as well as the presentation of sports persons in different sports disciplines^{3,4}. Some studies have been also taken to evaluate the effect of circuit training on certain physiological parameters^{5,6}, effect of breathing exercises on respiratory system and management of diseases related to respiratory system, response of different types of exercises on different physiological parameters have been also proven by previous workers⁷⁻¹⁰. But no study has been carried out to evaluate the comparative effect of circuit training and *Yogic* practices as per psychosomatic constitution of an individual. Thus, this study was conducted to observe the effect of circuit training and *Yogasana* as per psychosomatic constitution (*Prakriti*) of an individual. *Prakriti* is specific characteristic of an individual which is determined at the time of union of sperm (*Shukra*) with ovum (*Shonita*) depends upon the predominance of *Dosha* (biological entity regulating physiological functions in body) which remains unaltered or throughout the life¹¹.

2. Materials and Method

For the present study sixty young, healthy, male inter university level sports persons of age between 18 to 28 years were selected through random sampling method. *Prakriti* of each player was determined at the time of registration by the standard proforma developed by Tripathi *et al*, 2016¹². Further they were divided in to three groups i.e. two experimental (circuit training and *Yogasana*) and one control group.

2.1 Intervention of Circuit Training and *Yogasana*

In first experimental group 12 weeks' circuit training was given. In circuit training program total number of stations were ten. Total number of sets were three. Intensity of exercise was increased from low to high according to the training week. In second experimental group 13 different *Aasnas* were performed by the sports person, while in control group none of these interventions were carried out. Total duration of the intervention was one hour per day for 12 weeks.

2.2 Collection and Analysis of Data

Data were collected initially and after the completion of training programs. Harvard step test¹³ was used to assess cardiovascular endurance and PFT for forced vital capacity and inspiratory capacity. After collection of data, intergroup and intragroup comparison were done through ANCOVA and paired t- Test by using SPSS 20.

3. Observations and Results

Table 1. Group wise pre and post test comparison of subjects showing the effect of circuit training and *Yogasana* on cardiovascular endurance

Groups	Cardiovascular Endurance Mean \pm SD		Within the group comparison Paired t - test
	Pre	Post	
Circuit training Group (n = 20)	87.800 \pm 2.149	92.600 \pm 2.542	t = -9.301 p = 0.000*
Yogasana Group (n = 20)	90.200 \pm 3.707	94.200 \pm 3.286	t = -9.903 p = 0.000*
Control Group (n = 20)	88.800 \pm 3.473	89.100 \pm 3.177	t = -0.730 p = 0.474

Table 1 shows the mean value of cardiovascular endurance in circuit training, *Yogasana* and control group from pre to post intervention and on comparing by paired t- test, it was found statistically significant (p = 0.000) in circuit training and *Yogasana* group.

Table 2. Pair wise group comparison of subjects showing the effect of circuit and *Yogasana* training on cardiovascular endurance (Index)

ANCOVA Cardiovascular Endurance (Index)							
Group	Post Test marginal Mean \pm Standard error	Pre test: covariate, Group: Factor, post test: dependent variables					
		SV	SS	Df	MMS	F	P
Circuit training Group (N = 20)	90.094 \pm 0.309	Cardiovascular Endurance-pre	417.222	1	417.222	227.109	0.000*
Yogasana Group (N = 20)	90.195 \pm 0.311						
Control (N = 20)	89.211 \pm 0.303	Group	11.684	2	5.842	3.180	0.49*
	Circuit training v/s Yogasana p-1.000						
Pair wise group comparison	Circuit training v/s control p-0.137	Error	102.878	56	1.837		
	Yogasana v/s control p-0.083	Total	580.333	59			

Table 2 shows the comparison of post speed in different groups by applying ANCOVA taking pre value as a covariate, it showed no statistically significant difference between groups ($F = 3.180$), ($p = 0.49$). Further pair wise comparison of groups did not result significant difference between any group.

Table 3. *Prakriti* wise comparison of subjects showing the effect of circuit and *Yogasana* training on cardiovascular endurance (Index)

Groups	Prakriti	Cardiovascular Endurance Mean \pm SD		Within the group comparison Paired t - test
		Pre	Post	
Circuit training Group (n = 20)	Vata (n = 05)	88.000 \pm 1.224	92.000 \pm 2.345	t = 3.068 p = 0.037*
	Pitta(n = 10)	88.700 \pm 2.668	93.800 \pm 2.485	t = -6.414 p = 0.000*
	Kapha(n = 05)	85.800 \pm 1.788	90.800 \pm 1.788	t = 9.129 p = 0.001*
Yogasana Group (n = 20)	Vata (n = 04)	88.750 \pm 2.872	92.750 \pm 2.629	t = 2.248 p = 0.110
	Pitta(n = 11)	91.363 \pm 3.042	95.454 \pm 2.464	t = 9.867 p = 0.000*
	Kapha (n = 05)	88.800 \pm 5.263	92.600 \pm 4.615	t = 7.757 p = 0.001*
Control Group (n = 20)	Vata(n = 04)	89.000 \pm 1.414	87.500 \pm 1.914	t = 1.732 p = 0.182
	Pitta (n = 12)	89.250 \pm 4.223	89.583 \pm 3.895	t = 0.886 p = 0.394
	Kapha (n = 04)	87.250 \pm 2.217	89.250 \pm 0.500	t = 2.000 p = 0.139

Table 3 shows the mean values of cardiovascular endurance in all the three groups as per *Prakriti*. The t-test was statistically significant in all the three *Prakriti* in circuit training group. In *Yogasana* group, mean difference in paired t- test, was found statistically significant in *Pitta* and *Kapha* *Prakriti* while in control group no statistical significance was found.

4. Forced Vital capacity

Table 4. Group wise pre and post test comparison of subjects showing the effect of circuit and *Yogasana* training on Forced Vital Capacity (in ml)

Groups	Forced Vital Capacity (ml) Mean ± SD		Within the group comparison Paired t- test
	Pre	Post	
Circuit training Group (n = 20)	3.404 ± 0.175	3.435 ± 0.175	t = 5.997 p = 0.000*
Yogasana Group (n = 20)	3.449 ± 0.189	3.477 ± 0.191	t = 7.945 p = 0.000*
Control Group (n = 20)	3.429 ± 0.216	3.434 ± 0.225	t = 0.783 p = 0.443

Table 4 shows the mean value of forced vital capacity in circuit training, *Yogasana* and control group from pre to post interventions and on analyzing by paired t - test, it was found statistically significant (p = 0.000) in circuit training and *Yogasana* group.

Table 5. Pair wise group comparison of subjects showing the effect of circuit and *Yogasana* training on Forced Vital Capacity (in ml)

ANCOVA (Forced Vital Capacity)(ml)							
Pre test : covariate , Group : Factor, post test: depended variables							
Group	Post Test marginal Mean ± Standard error						
		SV	SS	df	MMS	F	P
Circuit training Group (n = 20)	3.458 ± 0.005	Vital capacity-pre	2.219	1	2.219	4216.641	0.000*
Yogasana Group (n = 20)	3.455 ± 0.005						
Control (n = 20)	3.432 ± 0.005						
Pair wise group comparison	Circuit training v/s Yogasana p-1.00	Group	0.008	2	0.004	7.574	0.001*
	Circuit training v/s control p-0.002	Error	0.029	56	0.001		
	Yogasana v/s control p-0.009	Total	2.272	59			

Table 5 shows the comparisons of groups of post forced vital capacity applying ANCOVA taking pre value as a covariate. It showed statistically significant difference between groups (F = 7.574), (p = 0.001). Furthermore, pair wise comparison of groups resulted in significant (p = 0.002) difference between circuit training and control group and was also found to have statistically significant difference (p = 0.009) between *Yogasana* and control group.

Table 6. *Prakriti* wise comparison of subjects showing the effect of circuit and *Yogasana* training on Forced Vital Capacity (in ml)

Groups	Prakriti	Forced Vital Capacity (ml) Mean ± SD		Within the group comparison Paired t- test
		Pre	Post	
Circuit training Group (n = 20)	Vata (n = 05)	3.390 ± 0.178	3.420 ± 0.167	t = 5.477 p = 0.005*
	Pitta(n = 10)	3.362 ± 0.139	3.380 ± 0.114	t = 4.323 p = 0.002*
	Kapha(n = 05)	3.504 ± 0.230	3.560 ± 0.208	t = 4.635 p = 0.010*
Yogasana Group (n = 20)	Vata (n = 04)	3.465 ± 0.110	3.495 ± 0.100	t = 4.243 p = 0.024*
	Pitta(n = 11)	3.429 ± 0.221	3.455 ± 0.223	t = 9.459 p = 0.000*
	Kapha (n = 05)	3.480 ± 0.191	3.510 ± 0.198	t = 2.372 p = 0.077
Control Group (n = 20)	Vata(n = 04)	3.462 ± 0.119	3.467 ± 0.124	t = 1.000 p = 0.391
	Pitta (n = 12)	3.445 ± 0.257	3.451 ± 0.270	t = 0.549 p = 0.594
	Kapha (n = 04)	3.345 ± 0.150	3.347 ± 0.156	t = 0.522 p = 0.638

Table 6 shows the mean values of forced vital capacity in all the three groups as per *Prakriti*. The paired t- test showed a statistically significance in all the three *Prakriti* in circuit training group. In *Yogasana* group, mean difference on testing by paired t- test, was found statistically significant in *Vata* and *Pitta* *Prakriti* while in control group no statistical significance was found.

5. Inspiratory Capacity

Table 7. Group wise pre and post test comparison of subjects showing the effect of circuit and *Yogasana* training on inspiratory capacity (in ml)

Groups	Inspiratory capacity (ml) Mean \pm SD		Within the group comparison Paired t- test
	Pre	Post	
Circuit training Group (n = 20)	2.674 \pm 0.199	2.704 \pm 0.204	t = 8.441 p = 0.000*
Yogasana Group (n = 20)	2.603 \pm 0.975	2.622 \pm 0.101	t = 7.933 p = 0.000*
Control Group (n = 20)	2.643 \pm 0.282	2.650 \pm 0.286	t = 0.175 p = 0.863

Table 7 shows the mean value of inspiratory capacity in circuit training, *Yogasana* and control group from pre to post interventions and on analyzing by paired t- test, it was found statistically significant (p = 0.000) in circuit training and *Yogasana* group only.

Table 8. Pair wise group comparison of subjects showing the effect of circuit and *Yogasana* training on inspiratory capacity (in ml)

ANCOVA (Inspiratory capacity)(ml) Pre test : covariate , Group : Factor, post test: depended variables							
Group	Post Test marginal Mean \pm Standard error	SV	SS	df	MMS	F	P
Yogasana Group (n = 20)	2.660 \pm 0.003						
Control (n = 20)	2.647 \pm 0.003						
Pair wise group comparison	Circuit training v/s Yogasana p-0.049	Group	0.005	2	0.003	16.989	0.000*
	Circuit training v/s control p-0.000	Error	0.008	56	0.000		
	Yogasana v/s control p-0.005	Total	2.623	59			

Table 8 shows the comparisons of groups on post inspiratory capacity. On applying ANCOVA taking pre value as a covariate, showed statistically significant difference between groups (F = 16.989), (p = 0.000). Furthermore, pair wise comparison of groups resulted in significant (p = 0.000) difference between circuit training and control group. It was found statistically significant (p = 0.005) between *Yogasana* and control group and also found statistically significant (p = 0.049) between circuit training and *Yogasana* group.

Table 9. *Prakriti* wise comparison of subjects showing the effect of circuit and *Yogasana* training on inspiratory capacity (in ml)

Groups	Prakriti	Inspiratory Capacity (ml) Mean \pm SD		Within the group comparison Paired t- test
		Pre	Post	
Circuit training Group (N = 20)	Vata (n = 05)	2.572 \pm 0.097	2.600 \pm 0.101	t = 5.715 p = 0.005*
	Pitta(n = 10)	2.727 \pm 0.193	2.759 \pm 0.205	t = 4.824 p = 0.001*
	Kapha(n = 05)	2.672 \pm 0.272	2.700 \pm 0.270	t = 7.483 p = 0.002*
Yogasana Group (N = 20)	Vata (n = 04)	2.595 \pm 0.051	2.620 \pm 0.049	t = 8.660 p = 0.003*
	Pitta(n = 11)	2.613 \pm 0.104	2.631 \pm 0.111	t = 4.541 p = 0.001*
	Kapha (n = 05)	2.588 \pm 0.123	2.604 \pm 0.124	t = 6.532 p = 0.003*
Control Group (N = 20)	Vata(n = 04)	2.517 \pm 0.277	2.520 \pm 0.286	t = 0.397 p = -0.718
	Pitta (n = 12)	2.697 \pm 0.326	2.707 \pm 0.329	t = 0.200 p = 0.845
	Kapha (n = 04)	2.607 \pm 0.059	2.610 \pm 0.052	t = 0.522 p = 0.638

Table 9 shows the mean values of forced vital capacity in all the three groups as per *Prakriti*. Paired t- test showed statistical significance in all the three *Prakriti* of circuit training group as well as in *Yogasana* group while, control group showed no statistical significance.

6. Discussion

6.1 Cardiovascular Endurance

Development of cardiovascular endurance is a long term process. In current study, training schedule was only for three months, which might be the cause of non-significant change on intergroup comparison. As per *Prakriti* maximum cardiac index was observed in *Pitta Prakriti* then *Kapha Prakriti* of both the groups i.e. *Yogasana* and circuit training group. Minimum cardiac efficiency was found among *Vata Prakriti* sports person in both the groups. As per descriptions available in *Vata Prakriti*, *Pitta* and *Kapha Prakriti* individuals possess minimum moderate and maximum strength which might be one of the reasons for this finding¹⁴. Tripathi *et al.* (2009) have conducted a study on certain cardiovascular responses in clinically healthy individuals with special reference to their *Prakriti*. This study reported better cardiac efficiency index in *Vata-Pitta* and *Pitta -Kapha Prakriti* individuals. In this study *Dwandaja Prakriti* was considered and *Pitta* is common in both groups¹⁵.

6.2 Forced Vital Capacity

Forced vital capacity was significantly changed in all *Prakriti* individuals except *Kapha Prakriti* of *Yogasana* group. On *Prakriti* wise comparison, it was found maximum in *Pitta Prakriti* and minimum in *Kapha Prakriti* individuals. Kaithal *et al.* have reported increased forced vital capacity in all the *Prakriti* individuals and was maximum in *Kapha Prakriti* individuals but it was not found significant¹⁶. However in current study significant change was found in circuit training (p-0.000) and *Yogasana* (p-0.000) as per *Prakriti*. FVC was maximum in *Kapha Prakriti* individuals, might be due to their well-formed chest as compared to others, as described in *Ayurveda*. Moreover, their muscle power is also good which might have increased better functioning of respiratory muscles¹⁴.

6.3 Inspiratory Capacity

Inspiratory capacity is a maximum amount of air that a person can breathe in with maximum effort, starting from the normal end-expiratory point, it is about 3000ml¹⁷. In the case of inspiratory capacity, a positive change was observed in both *Yogasanas* and circuit training

group when compared to control group. This positive result in the inspiratory capacity of the subjects may be due to the fact the subjects regularly practiced various kinds of aerobics in the circuit such as skipping, squad jump etc., Performing *Yogasana* for such a long time may have also improved the inspiratory capacity. In case of inspiratory capacity significant changes were found in all three *Prakriti* individuals, comparatively *Pitta Prakriti* people had more significant change. This might be due to more metabolic demand of *Pitta Prakriti* individuals¹⁴ as they already possess more metabolic activities than other *Prakriti* individuals.

7. Conclusion

Twelve-week intervention of circuit training and *Yoga sana* has resulted in significant changes in cardiovascular endurance, forced vital capacity and inspiratory capacity. On inter group comparison (circuit training and *Yogasana*) significant changes were observed for forced vital capacity and inspiratory capacity. No significant change was observed for cardiovascular endurance. On comparison as per *Prakriti*, forced vital capacity and inspiratory capacity varied in all the *Prakriti* individuals of circuit training group while in *Yogasana* group, inspiratory capacity changes in all the *Prakriti* players, cardiovascular endurance varied in *Pitta* and *Kapha Prakriti* while forced vital capacity was changed in *Vata* and *Pitta Prakriti*. However, a study with large sample for long duration is required to find more responses on these parameters.

8. Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest to declare.

9. Acknowledgement

To all the volunteers of this study for their active participation.

10. References

1. Singh H. Science of sports training. New Delhi: D.V.S. Publication; 1991.
2. Singh A, Bains J, Gill JS. Essentials of Physical Education. New Delhi: Kalyani Publishers; 2003.

3. Iyanger BKS. The Illustrated Light on yoga, Yoga Dipika. 10th edition. India: Harper Collins Publication; 2005.
4. Balaji PA, Varne SR, Ali SS. Physiological effects of yogic practices and transcendental meditation in health and disease. *North American Journal of Medicine Sciences*. 2012; 4(10):442–8. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1947-2714.101980>. PMID: 23112963. PMCID: PMC3482773
5. Daniel MV, Jesus V. Effects of a circuit training program on muscular and cardiovascular endurance and their maintenance in school children. *Journal of Human Kinetics*. 2013; 37:153–60. <https://doi.org/10.2478/hukin-2013-0036>. PMID: 24146716. PMCID: PMC3796833
6. Xavier MR. Comparative effect of plyometric, circuit training and circuit breaker programs on selected motor components of school level basketball players. *Indian Stream Research Journal*. 2012; 10(2):1–4.
7. Tiwari S, Gehlot S, Tiwari SK. How walking is supportive in prevention of hypertension as per Prakriti. *The Indian Journal of Research Anvikshiki*. 2012; 6(1):7–14
8. Tiwari S, Gehlot S. Overview of Vyayam (Physical exercise). *Journal of Physiological and Scientific Opinion*. 2014; 2(6):359–62. <https://doi.org/10.7897/2321-6328.02682>
9. Tiwari S, Gehlot S, Tiwari SK, Singh G. Effect of walking (Aerobic isotonic exercise) on physiological variants with special reference to Prameha (Diabetes mellitus) as per Prakriti. *Ayu*. 2012; 33(1):44–9. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-8520.100308>. PMID: 23049183. PMCID: PMC3456862
10. Tiwari S, Gehlot S, Tiwari SK, Singh G. Effect of isotonic exercise on various physiological parameters in hypertension. *Journal of Stress Physiology and Biochemistry*. 2012; 7(3).
11. Shrikanth KRM. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta. Editor. Reprint ed. Sharirasthana: Chapter 4: Garbhvyakaranashariraadhyaya, verse 62. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia; 2008.
12. Tripathi PK, Gehlot S. A physio-anatomical study of prakriti. [Internet]. [cited 2017 Nov 8]. Available from: <http://www.lulu.com/ca/fr/shop/piyush-kumar-tripathi-and-sangeeta-gehlot/a-physio-anatomical-study-of-prakriti/paperback/product-23213334.html>.
13. Kansal D. Test and measurement in sports and physical education. New Delhi: D.V.S Publications; 1996.
14. Sharma RK, Das B. Editors. Charak Samhita of Agnivesh. Reprint edition. Vimanasthana: Chapter 8: Rogabhisagitiyaadhyaya, verse 96–98. Varanasi: Chowkhambha Sanskrit Series Office; 2015.
15. Tripathi P, Patwardhan K, Singh G. The basic cardiovascular responses to postural changes, exercise and cold pressor test: Do they vary in accordance with the dual constitutional types of Ayurveda? *ECAM*; 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/251850>. PMID: 20953421. PMCID: PMC2952295
16. Kaithal D, Tripathi NS, Singh V. Effect of aerobic and anaerobic training on certain Physiological, body composition parameters and Performance among soccer players with special reference to Prakriti (psycho-somatic constitution). Ph.D. thesis in department of Kriya Sharir, Faculty of Ayurveda, IMS, BHU; 2019
17. Ghai CL. Textbook of practical physiology (8th edition). India, New Delhi: Jaypee brothers medical publishers; 2013.