Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels, *73(3): 571-573; 2025. DOI: 10.18311/jmmf/2025/48887*

Print ISSN : 0022-2755

Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels

Contents available at: *www.informaticsjournals.com/index.php/jmmf*

Priority Global

How Tariffs and Counter Tariffs Will Impact Short Term and Long Term Profitability and Sustainability Potential of the Global Oil and Gas, Coal, Mining, and Mineral Firms

Jayanta Bhattacharya

Editor in Chief, Ex-IIT Kharagpur, FNAE, India

Tariffs and counter-tariffs can have complex and multifaceted impacts on the profitability and sustainability of global oil and gas, coal, mining, and mineral firms. These effects vary depending on the nature of the tariffs, the countries involved, the structure of global supply chains, and the time horizon considered (short-term vs. long-term). Below, we will break this down into short-term and long-term impacts, focusing on these industries and considering both profitability (financial performance) and sustainability (environmental and operational resilience).

Short-Term Impacts

Oil and Gas Firms

- **Profitability**: In the short term, tariffs on oil and gas imports (e.g., a 10% tariff on Canadian energy exports to the U.S. or China's 10% tariff on U.S. crude oil) can disrupt trade flows and reduce profit margins for exporters. For instance, Canadian oil producers might face lower net selling prices as they absorb some of the tariff costs to remain competitive in the U.S. market, while U.S. refineries could see higher input costs, squeezing their margins. Retaliatory tariffs, such as China's 15% duty on U.S. LNG, could redirect U.S. exports to markets like Europe or India, potentially at lower prices due to oversupply or increased shipping costs.
- **Sustainability**: Short-term sustainability is less directly affected, as firms are unlikely to overhaul operations immediately. However, higher costs could delay investments in cleaner technologies (e.g., carbon capture) if cash flow tightens. Supply chain disruptions might also increase reliance on less efficient transport routes, temporarily raising emissions.

Coal Firms

- **Profitability**: Tariffs on coal, such as China's 15% tariff on U.S. coal, can hit exporters hard in the short term. U.S. coal firms, which saw a 33% surge in coking coal exports to China in 2024, might lose market share to tariff-free suppliers like Australia or Mongolia, reducing revenues unless they can redirect shipments (e.g., to India) at comparable prices. Domestic coal producers in tariff-imposing countries (e.g., China's reinstatement of a 6% tariff on thermal coal in 2024) could see a temporary boost as imports become costlier.
- **Sustainability**: Coal's sustainability outlook remains grim regardless of tariffs, given global decarbonization trends. Short-term trade shifts might increase shipping distances (e.g., U.S. coal rerouted to India), raising emissions, but this is a minor blip compared to coal's broader environmental footprint.

Mining and Mineral Firms

- **Profitability**: Tariffs on minerals (e.g., Trump's proposed tariffs on critical minerals from Canada or China) could disrupt supply chains for downstream industries like manufacturing and renewables. Mining firms in tariff-hit countries might face reduced demand or lower prices, while domestic producers in protected markets (e.g., U.S. rare earth miners) could see a short-term profit bump. However, retaliatory tariffs could offset gains—for instance, China's export controls on rare metals like tungsten could raise costs for U.S. firms reliant on these inputs.
- **Sustainability**: Short-term sustainability impacts are limited, but supply chain disruptions could slow the delivery of minerals critical for green technologies (e.g., lithium, cobalt), delaying renewable energy projects. Firms might also hoard resources to avoid tariff costs, potentially increasing local environmental strain.

General Short-Term Dynamics

- **Trade Diversion**: Firms will seek alternative markets to bypass tariffs, which could lead to temporary oversupply and price drops in those markets.
- **Cost Pass-Through**: Exporters may pass tariff costs to consumers, but if demand is elastic (e.g., in oil or coal), they might absorb costs, cutting profits.
- **Uncertainty**: Market volatility from tariff announcements can disrupt investment and planning, especially for capital-intensive industries like these.

Long-Term Impacts

Oil and Gas Firms

- **Profitability**: Over the long term, persistent tariffs could reshape global energy trade. If U.S. tariffs on Canadian oil (e.g., 10% escalating to 25%) remain, Canadian producers might invest in alternative export infrastructure (e.g., pipelines to Asia), reducing reliance on the U.S. market but requiring hefty upfront costs. Meanwhile, U.S. firms might benefit from redirected supply (e.g., Iraqi crude replacing Mexican imports), but retaliatory tariffs could shrink export markets, hitting LNG producers hard. Profitability hinges on adaptation—firms that diversify markets or optimize costs will fare better.
- **Sustainability**: Tariffs could accelerate or hinder sustainability depending on policy interplay. Higher costs might push firms to cut emissions (e.g., via efficiency gains) to stay competitive, but they could also delay the shift to renewables if fossil fuel prices remain artificially high. Global electrification trends will still erode oil demand, though gas might see sustained use as a transition fuel.

Coal Firms

- **Profitability**: Long-term profitability for coal firms looks bleak under any tariff scenario. Global demand is declining due to climate policies (e.g., China's projected coal peak by 2027), and tariffs only accelerate this by shifting trade to cheaper suppliers. U.S. coal exporters, for instance, might lose out to Australia in Asia, while domestic producers in tariff-protected markets (e.g., China) gain temporarily—until renewables dominate. Coal's economic viability will shrink regardless.
- **Sustainability**: Tariffs won't save coal from its sustainability crisis. Increased trade barriers might slightly prolong coal use in protected markets, delaying decarbonization, but the industry's emissions burden ensures mounting regulatory and social pressure worldwide.

Mining and Mineral Firms

- **Profitability**: Long-term profitability depends on tariff permanence and market shifts. If tariffs persist (e.g., U.S. 25% on Canadian minerals), domestic miners in protected markets could thrive, especially for critical minerals

like lithium or rare earths tied to electrification. However, global value chains might reorient—China's dominance in rare earth processing (90% of the market) means its counter-tariffs or export controls could cripple competitors, boosting its miners' profits while hurting others. Firms that secure stable, tariff-free supply chains will win.

- **Sustainability**: Tariffs could paradoxically boost sustainability by spurring local mineral production (reducing transport emissions) or hurt it by slowing the supply of green-tech inputs. A carbon price—looming in many regions—could shift competitive dynamics, favoring low-emission miners and pressuring high-emission ones (e.g., coal-adjacent operations).

General Long-Term Dynamics

- **Supply Chain Reconfiguration**: Firms will invest in new trade routes, partnerships, or domestic production, potentially raising costs but stabilizing revenue.
- **Investment Shifts**: Capital might flow to tariff-immune regions or cleaner tech, depending on profitability signals and sustainability mandates.
- **Policy Feedback**: Escalating trade wars could lead to broader economic slowdowns, reducing commodity demand, while climate policies might overshadow tariffs' effects.

Key Variables and Uncertainties

- **Tariff Scope and Duration**: Temporary tariffs (e.g., Trump's 30-day pause on Canada/Mexico tariffs as of March 4, 2025) have milder effects than permanent ones.
- **Retaliation**: Tit-for-tat tariffs (e.g., Canada's planned 25% on U.S. goods) amplify disruptions, hitting exporters on both sides.
- **Substitution**: Availability of alternative suppliers (e.g., Iraq for oil, Australia for coal) determines how much firms can mitigate losses.
- **Energy Transition**: The pace of decarbonization will ultimately outweigh tariffs' impacts, especially for coal and oil.

Conclusion

- **Short-Term**: Oil and gas firms face profit squeezes from trade disruptions but can adapt via redirection; coal firms see mixed outcomes (losses for exporters, gains for protected locals); mineral firms grapple with supply chain chaos but may find niche gains. Sustainability takes a backseat to immediate survival.
- **Long-Term**: Oil and gas profitability hinges on market diversification, with sustainability tied to efficiency gains; coal's decline accelerates, with tariffs as a sideshow; mineral firms could thrive or falter based on strategic positioning, with sustainability linked to green-tech demand. Across all sectors, adaptability and policy evolution will define winners and losers.

These industries are at a crossroads where tariffs could either be a temporary shock or a catalyst for structural change—profitability and sustainability will depend on how firms navigate this uncertainty amid a decarbonizing world.

***Disclaimer**: It is an opinion piece. It provides a preliminary guidance. Readers are advised not to make any decision solely based on the above article. The article is a suggestive one in certain direction(s). Please take expert opinion before making any move.*