Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels, *73(9): 2675-2686; 2025. DOI: 10.18311/jmmf/2025/49115*

Print ISSN : 0022-2755

Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels

Contents available at: *www.informaticsjournals.co.in/index.php/jmmf*

Energy Consumption Optimization in Mining Operations via Machine Learning Techniques

S. Surya[1], V. Srinadh[2], Sachin Tripathi[3], Komal Saxena[4]*, Krishna Murthy Inumula[5] and Suhail Javed Quraishi[6]

[1]Sri Ramachandra Faculty of Engineering and Technology (SRET), Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher Education and Research, Porur - 600116, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

[2]Department of CSE- AIML, GMR Institute of Technology, Rajam - 532127, Andhra Pradesh, India

[3]Symbiosis Law School, Symbiosis International (Deemed) University (SIU), Wathoda, Nagpur - 440008, Maharashtra, India

[4]Amity Institute of Information Technology, Amity University, Noida - 201313, Uttar Pradesh, India; ksaxena1@amity.edu

[5]Symbiosis Institute of International Business (SIIB), Symbiosis International (Deemed University), Pune - 411057, Maharashtra, India

[6]Computer Applications, Manav Rachna International Institute of Research and Studies, Faridabad - 121004, Haryana, India

Abstract

Mining operations are among the most energy-intensive industrial activities, with processes such as drilling, hauling, and mineral processing consuming vast amounts of power. Traditional energy optimization methods often fail to adapt to complex, dynamic operational conditions, leading to inefficiencies and increased costs. This study explores the application of Machine Learning (ML) techniques for optimizing energy consumption in mining, focusing on predictive modeling, anomaly detection, and adaptive control strategies. Our findings indicate that supervised learning models, including regression algorithms, random forest, and XGBoost, provide accurate energy consumption forecasts, enabling proactive energy management. Reinforcement learning demonstrates strong potential for real-time optimization, dynamically adjusting operational parameters to minimize energy waste. Deep learning models, particularly LSTMs and CNNs, are effective in predictive maintenance, reducing equipment failures and improving overall energy efficiency. Data pre-processing and feature engineering significantly influence ML model performance. Key parameters such as machine load, geological variations, and historical power usage impact predictive accuracy. Proper data handling techniques, including normalization and outlier removal, enhance model robustness. Evaluation metrics such as RMSE, MAE, and R^2 validate model accuracy, while classification metrics like precision and recall ensure reliable anomaly detection. This study innovatively integrates supervised, reinforcement, and deep learning techniques to optimize mining energy consumption dynamically. The research demonstrates the effectiveness of hybrid ML models in predictive maintenance and adaptive control, offering scalable solutions for mining sustainability. Future prospects include enhancing sensor integration, deploying edge computing for real-time energy management, and developing hybrid ML frameworks to further reduce operational costs and carbon footprint.

Major Findings: *This study demonstrates that hybrid machine learning techniques can significantly improve energy consumption forecasting and real-time optimization in mining. Reinforcement learning offers adaptive control benefits, while deep learning supports predictive maintenance, leading to substantial operational cost savings.*

Keywords: *Energy Optimization, Machine Learning (ML), Mining Operations, Predictive Modeling, Reinforcement Learning*

**Author for correspondence*

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background

Mining operations are among the most energy-intensive industries, accounting for a significant portion of global energy consumption. The extraction, transportation, and processing of minerals require large-scale machinery that operates continuously, leading to high power usage. According to industry reports, energy costs constitute approximately 30-50 % of total operational expenses in mining. Additionally, the reliance on fossil fuels and grid electricity contributes to high carbon emissions, making mining a key sector in environmental sustainability discussions[1]. The inefficiency in energy utilization not only increases operational costs but also poses challenges in achieving global climate goals.

1.2 Motivation

Given the increasing energy demands and environmental concerns, optimizing energy consumption in mining is crucial for economic sustainability and environmental responsibility. Traditional energy management strategies rely on rule-based or manual control systems that often fail to adapt to real-time operational changes. With advancements in Industrial IoT (IIoT) and digital mining technologies, large volumes of real-time data are being generated from mining operations, including machine performance, energy consumption patterns, and geological conditions.

Machine Learning (ML) techniques offer a promising solution to extract actionable insights from this data, enabling predictive analytics, anomaly detection, and dynamic energy optimization. ML-based models can identify inefficiencies, forecast power consumption, and automate decision-making for energy-efficient operations. The application of supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning methods has demonstrated significant improvements in energy optimization, but a comprehensive review of their effectiveness and implementation challenges is necessary.

Machine learning plays a crucial role in energy consumption optimization by analyzing large datasets from mining equipment and operational processes. It enables predictive analytics, dynamic control, and anomaly detection, which collectively reduce energy waste and improve operational efficiency. ML techniques facilitate real-time adjustments, allowing mining operations to respond swiftly to changing conditions and minimize environmental impact.

1.3 Objective

This review aims to explore and evaluate the application of machine learning techniques in optimizing energy consumption in mining operations. Specifically, it will:

- Analyze ML algorithms commonly used in mining energy optimization, including regression models, decision trees, deep learning, and reinforcement learning.
- Examine data-driven approaches, including feature engineering, real-time data acquisition, and predictive analytics.
- Evaluate the performance of ML-based models in energy optimization, comparing their accuracy, efficiency, and scalability with traditional methods.

By consolidating research findings, this paper seeks to provide insights into the feasibility of implementing ML-driven optimization techniques in real-world mining operations.

- This paper focuses on specific ML techniques and their application in energy consumption optimization rather than generalized industry trends. Key areas covered include:
- Supervised learning approaches: Regression models, random forests, and XGBoost for energy prediction and efficiency modelling.
- Unsupervised learning methods: Clustering and anomaly detection for identifying inefficiencies.
- Reinforcement learning strategies: Adaptive control systems for real-time energy management.
- Deep learning techniques: Neural networks for predictive maintenance and process automation.
- Performance evaluation metrics: RMSE, MAE, and R^2 for predictive models, along with computational efficiency assessments.

The paper also examines data processing techniques, such as handling missing data, feature selection, and model validation, to ensure the robustness of ML applications in mining. By focusing on scientific methodologies and data-driven decision-making, this review aims to bridge the gap between theoretical ML advancements

and their practical applications in the mining sector.

2.0 Energy Consumption in Mining Operations

Mining is among the most energy-intensive industries, requiring significant power and fuel input across various stages of operation. From raw material extraction to processing and transportation, every phase demands heavy machinery and industrial processes that contribute to high energy consumption. The energy demand in mining is primarily driven by equipment operation, ventilation, water management, and material transportation[2]. These operations not only increase operational costs but also contribute to environmental concerns, particularly greenhouse gas emissions and resource depletion. Given the growing focus on sustainability and cost efficiency, optimizing energy consumption has become a critical challenge for mining companies.

2.1 Energy-intensive Processes

The mining industry relies on several key processes that consume substantial amounts of energy. One of the most critical operations is drilling and blasting, which is essential for ore extraction in both open-pit and underground mining. Drilling involves high-powered rigs, often powered by diesel engines or electric motors, to create boreholes for explosive charges. The energy consumption in drilling varies based on factors such as rock hardness and borehole depth. In open-pit mining, large rotary drills operate continuously, consuming significant amounts of diesel or electricity[3]. In underground mining, energy-intensive tunnel boring machines and hydraulic drilling rigs are commonly used. Blasting follows drilling, using controlled explosions to break the ore into smaller fragments. While this process itself does not consume energy in the form of fuel or electricity, it influences downstream energy consumption by determining the size and distribution of fragmented material, affecting crushing and grinding efficiency.

Material hauling is another major contributor to energy consumption in mining operations. Large haul trucks transport extracted ore and waste material over significant distances within the mining site. These trucks are typically diesel-powered, consuming several hundred liters of fuel per day. The inefficiency of traditional haulage systems results in high fuel costs and increased emissions. Additionally, the use of conveyor systems for material transport, while more energy-efficient than trucks, still requires large amounts of electricity. Many mining companies are now exploring autonomous and electrified haulage solutions, integrating artificial intelligence-based route optimization to reduce fuel usage and operational delays[4].

Crushing and grinding, known as comminution, is the most energy-intensive stage in mineral processing. The process involves breaking down large rock fragments into finer particles to facilitate the extraction of valuable minerals. Crushing equipment, including jaw crushers and cone crushers, relies on high-powered motors, while grinding mills, such as ball mills and Semi-Autogenous Grinding (SAG) mills, consume substantial electricity. Grinding alone accounts for nearly half of a mine's total energy usage. The inefficiencies in comminution arise from unnecessary overgrinding of material, which not only wastes energy but also leads to excessive wear on grinding equipment. The application of machine learning models in comminution circuits has shown promise in dynamically adjusting grinding parameters, optimizing energy usage, and improving particle size distribution[5].

Underground mining operations require extensive ventilation systems to maintain air quality and remove heat, dust, and hazardous gases. Ventilation is critical for worker safety, particularly in deep mining sites where oxygen levels may be lower, and air contaminants can accumulate. However, ventilation fans consume a significant portion of a mine's electricity, often accounting for up to 50% of the total underground power demand. The energy consumption of ventilation systems depends on mine depth, tunnel complexity, and the number of active work areas. The adoption of machine learning in ventilation management allows for real-time adjustments in airflow based on sensor data, optimizing energy consumption without compromising safety[6].

Water pumping and dewatering are essential for mining operations, particularly in underground mines where water accumulation can disrupt production.

Large-scale pumping systems are used to remove excess water and maintain dry working conditions. The energy required for dewatering depends on factors such as mine depth, groundwater inflow rates, and the efficiency of pumping systems. Traditional dewatering operations often result in energy wastage due to the lack of real-time monitoring and optimization. Machine learning models can help predict water inflow patterns and optimize pump scheduling to minimize unnecessary energy usage.

2.2 Energy Sources in Mining

Mining operations depend on multiple energy sources, with grid electricity and diesel fuel being the most commonly used. Grid electricity is essential for running processing plants, conveyor systems, and underground ventilation fans. However, the cost and availability of electricity vary by region, with some mining sites relying on isolated power grids or diesel generators. Fluctuations in electricity prices and grid stability can impact overall operational efficiency. To mitigate these challenges, some mines integrate renewable energy sources, such as solar and wind power, to supplement their electricity needs.

Diesel fuel remains the dominant energy source for mobile mining equipment, including haul trucks, loaders, and excavators. The reliance on diesel contributes significantly to mining's carbon footprint, prompting the industry to explore alternative energy solutions. Hybrid diesel-electric mining vehicles and battery-powered haul trucks are being developed to reduce diesel dependency and lower emissions. The shift toward electrified mining fleets is expected to improve energy efficiency and reduce long-term operational costs[7].

Renewable energy adoption in mining is gaining traction as companies seek to enhance sustainability. Solar power is particularly suitable for mining operations in remote locations with high solar irradiance, where it can be used to offset diesel generator usage. Similarly, wind energy is being deployed in select mining sites to provide supplementary power. The challenge with renewable integration lies in its intermittent nature, requiring advanced energy management systems to balance demand and supply effectively. Machine learning-based predictive models are being used to optimize the integration of renewable energy with traditional power sources, ensuring stable and efficient energy usage.

2.3 Operational Constraints Affecting Energy Consumption

Several operational constraints impact energy consumption in mining, influencing efficiency and cost-effectiveness. One of the primary challenges is variability in energy demand, which fluctuates based on production schedules, equipment availability, and ore quality. Traditional energy management systems often lack adaptability, leading to energy overuse during periods of low demand or underuse when higher output is required. Machine learning-based demand forecasting models help predict energy needs by analyzing historical trends and real-time data, allowing for dynamic adjustments in energy allocation.

Machine wear and maintenance issues also contribute to increased energy consumption. Mining equipment operates under harsh conditions, leading to wear and tear that reduces efficiency. Worn-out drill bits, misaligned conveyor belts, and deteriorated grinding media result in excessive energy use. Predictive maintenance, enabled by machine learning algorithms, helps identify early signs of equipment degradation, optimizing maintenance schedules and minimizing energy losses. Real-time sensor data analysis can detect performance anomalies, ensuring timely interventions and extending equipment lifespan[8].

Environmental and geological factors further complicate energy management in mining. Mines located at high altitudes experience reduced engine efficiency due to lower oxygen levels, increasing fuel consumption. Temperature extremes also impact energy use, with cold climates requiring additional heating for equipment and worker safety, while hot environments necessitate enhanced cooling systems. Additionally, variations in rock hardness affect energy consumption during drilling and crushing. Harder rock formations demand more power-intensive drilling and grinding processes, increasing overall energy costs. Advanced machine learning models can predict these variations and adjust operational parameters to optimize energy use[9].

3.0 Machine Learning for Energy Optimization

The mining industry has begun integrating Machine Learning (ML) techniques to optimize energy consumption, reduce operational costs, and enhance sustainability. Machine learning models offer data-driven insights. They enable real-time decision-making, predictive maintenance, and adaptive control of energy. The complexity of mining operations, with their numerous variables such as equipment efficiency, ore hardness, and environmental conditions, makes traditional energy optimization approaches inefficient. ML, with its ability to analyze large datasets and detect patterns, offers a transformative approach to energy management in mining. By leveraging supervised, unsupervised, reinforcement, and deep learning methods, companies can optimize various energy-intensive processes, leading to improved efficiency and reduced waste[10].

3.1 ML Techniques in Mining

Supervised learning techniques play a crucial role in predictive energy modelling. Regression models, such as linear regression, Support Vector Regression (SVR), and neural network-based regressors, are widely used to predict energy consumption based on various input parameters like machine load, operational hours, and environmental conditions. Random Forest and XGBoost, 2 popular ensemble learning techniques, enhance prediction accuracy by combining multiple decision trees. These models can be trained on historical data to estimate future energy requirements, allowing mining operations to optimize scheduling and resource allocation. For example, an XGBoost-based energy consumption model can identify inefficiencies in grinding circuits, adjusting operating parameters to minimize electricity usage[11].

Supervised learning methods like Random Forest and XGBoost provide high prediction accuracy with moderate computational cost but lack real-time adaptability. Reinforcement learning excels in dynamic environments by continuously optimizing control policies but requires extensive training and computational resources. Deep learning models, such as LSTM and CNN, perform well in complex pattern recognition and predictive maintenance but face challenges related to high training time and resource demands. Hybrid approaches combining these techniques show promise in balancing accuracy and adaptability.

Unsupervised learning methods, particularly clustering algorithms, are used to detect energy consumption patterns in mining operations. K-means clustering, hierarchical clustering and Self-Organizing Maps (SOMs) help group different mining processes based on their energy usage profiles. These insights enable companies to identify anomalies and optimize energy distribution among various processes. For instance, clustering can reveal that specific drilling operations consume excessive energy due to inefficient equipment settings, prompting necessary adjustments. Additionally, clustering methods assist in segmenting machinery usage patterns, allowing mining companies to implement targeted energy-saving strategies.

Reinforcement Learning (RL) is emerging as a powerful tool for adaptive energy management in mining. Unlike traditional machine learning models that rely on static datasets, RL continuously learns from real-time feedback to improve decision-making. Reinforcement learning algorithms, such as Deep Q-Networks (DQN) and Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO), are used to optimize energy-intensive processes like ventilation control and haulage route planning. In underground mining, RL-driven ventilation systems adjust airflow dynamically based on sensor data, reducing unnecessary power usage while maintaining safe working conditions. Similarly, RL-based route optimization in haulage trucks minimizes fuel consumption by selecting the most energy-efficient paths.

Deep learning techniques, including Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), play a vital role in predictive maintenance and anomaly detection. LSTM networks, a type of Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), excel in analyzing time-series data from mining equipment sensors. These models can predict machine failures based on historical patterns of power consumption and mechanical stress, enabling proactive maintenance that prevents energy losses. CNNs, typically used for image and pattern recognition, are applied to analyze sensor images and detect structural defects in mining equipment, further optimizing maintenance schedules. The combination of deep learning and IoT-enabled

Table 1. Comparison of ML algorithms for mining energy optimization

Algorithm	Benefits	Shortcomings	Typical Use Cases
Random forest	High accuracy, handles nonlinearities	Can be slow with large datasets	Energy consumption prediction, feature importance analysis
XGBoost	Efficient, robust to overfitting	Requires careful hyperparameter tuning	Predictive modelling, classification
Support Vector Regression (SVR)	Good with small datasets, effective in nonlinear regression	Computationally expensive on large data	Energy load forecasting
Reinforcement learning	Adaptive, suitable for dynamic control	Needs large training data, complex implementation	Real-time ventilation control, route optimization
LSTM (Deep learning)	Captures temporal dependencies	High computational cost, needs lots of data	Predictive maintenance, time-series energy forecasting
CNN (Deep learning)	Excellent for pattern recognition	Requires labelled data, computationally intensive	Sensor data anomaly detection

sensor networks allows mining companies to implement intelligent monitoring systems that significantly enhance energy efficiency.

As summarized in Table 1, various machine learning algorithms offer distinct advantages and limitations for energy optimization tasks.

3.2 Data Processing and Feature Engineering

The success of machine learning models in energy optimization depends on the quality of input data and the effectiveness of feature engineering techniques. Mining operations generate vast amounts of data from equipment sensors, geological surveys, and environmental monitoring systems. Proper feature selection ensures that only the most relevant variables are used in ML models, improving efficiency and interpretability. Key features influencing energy consumption include machine load, operational speed, temperature, ore type, and humidity levels. For instance, in comminution processes, the hardness of ore significantly impacts energy consumption, making it an essential feature for predictive models.

Data preprocessing is a critical step before feeding raw data into ML models. Mining datasets often contain missing values, sensor noise, and outliers that can affect model accuracy. Handling missing data involves techniques such as imputation, where missing values are estimated using statistical methods or machine learning models. Normalization and standardization are applied to scale data into a uniform range, ensuring that no single feature disproportionately influences the model. Outlier detection methods, such as isolation forests and Z-score analysis, help identify anomalous data points that may indicate equipment malfunctions or incorrect sensor readings. Proper data preprocessing enhances the robustness and reliability of machine learning models, leading to more accurate energy predictions[12].

Model training and validation play a crucial role in ensuring that ML models generalize well to new data. Dataset partitioning involves splitting data into training, validation, and testing sets, typically in a 70-20-10 ratio. Cross-validation techniques, such as k-fold cross-validation, are used to evaluate model performance and prevent overfitting. In mining applications, time-series cross-validation is particularly important, as energy consumption patterns change over time. Hyperparameter tuning, achieved through methods like grid search and Bayesian optimization, further refines model performance by selecting the optimal configuration of learning rates, tree depths, and activation functions[13].

Table 2. Data preprocessing techniques and their impact

Technique	Purpose	Impact on Model Performance
Missing data imputation	Fill gaps in sensor and operational data	Prevents bias, improves model stability
Normalization	Scale features to uniform range	Enhances convergence speed and accuracy
Outlier detection	Identify and remove anomalous data points	Reduces noise, improves prediction reliability
Feature selection	Select most relevant variables	Reduces dimensionality, prevents overfitting
Data augmentation	Synthetic data generation to balance dataset	Improves generalization in limited data scenarios

The integration of machine learning into mining operations requires a continuous feedback loop, where models are updated with new data to adapt to changing conditions. Automated model retraining pipelines ensure that predictions remain accurate as mining processes evolve. Cloud-based data storage and edge computing solutions enable real-time data processing, allowing ML models to make instant adjustments to energy-intensive operations. The adoption of digital twins, virtual replicas of physical mining systems, further enhances ML-driven energy optimization by simulating different operational scenarios and predicting outcomes before implementation. Table 2 outlines various preprocessing techniques that enhance model robustness and prediction accuracy.

4.0 Performance Evaluation of ML Models

Evaluating the effectiveness of Machine Learning (ML) models in mining energy optimization requires a structured approach that considers accuracy, reliability, and computational efficiency. Given the complex nature of mining operations, ML models must not only predict energy consumption accurately but also operate efficiently in real-time environments. The evaluation framework includes appropriate performance metrics, benchmarking against traditional methods, and assessing computational efficiency to ensure the feasibility of ML-driven solutions[14].

4.1 Evaluation Metrics

Selecting appropriate evaluation metrics is essential for assessing the accuracy and reliability of ML models in mining energy optimization. Since different ML models are used for prediction and classification tasks, their performance is evaluated using distinct metrics. These metrics help in understanding the effectiveness of ML models in real-world scenarios and assist in selecting the most suitable algorithm for optimizing energy consumption in mining operations[15].

4.1.1 Regression Metrics

Regression models are widely used to predict continuous values, such as energy consumption, fuel usage, and



Figure 1. Regression metrics.

power demand across different mining operations. As shown in Figure 1 metrics are crucial for evaluating the performance of these models:

- Root Mean Square Error (RMSE): RMSE is a commonly used metric that measures the standard deviation of the residuals (differences between predicted and actual values). It is particularly useful in energy optimization, as it gives a higher weight to large errors, ensuring that models do not overlook significant deviations. A lower RMSE indicates a better-fitting model. Since RMSE squares the errors before averaging, it emphasizes large discrepancies more than small ones, making it suitable for applications where large fluctuations in energy consumption can lead to operational inefficiencies[16].
- Mean Absolute Error (MAE): MAE calculates the average absolute differences between predicted and actual values. Unlike RMSE, it treats all errors equally, making it more interpretable for assessing overall model accuracy without over-penalizing larger errors. This metric is beneficial when optimizing power consumption in mining operations, where consistency in prediction is more important than occasional extreme deviations. MAE provides a straightforward way to measure model accuracy, particularly when the goal is to reduce average prediction error rather than minimize extreme fluctuations.
- Coefficient of Determination (R^2 Score): The R^2 score measures how well the model explains the variance in energy consumption. It ranges from 0 to 1, with values closer to 1 indicating that the model can effectively predict energy usage based on input variables. A high R^2 score means that the ML model captures the majority of the variations in the data, making it useful for assessing how well the model generalizes across different mining conditions. However, R^2 alone does not indicate whether a model is good or bad- it must be considered alongside other metrics to ensure robustness.
- Mean Squared Logarithmic Error (MSLE): This metric is particularly useful for scenarios where large variations in energy consumption exist. MSLE penalizes under-predictions more than over-predictions, ensuring that models do not consistently underestimate energy requirements, which could lead to operational inefficiencies. This is particularly relevant in mining, where energy demand may spike unexpectedly due to sudden changes in drilling intensity or material transport requirements. MSLE helps in applications where it is more critical to avoid underestimating energy needs, ensuring operational reliability[17].

4.1.2 Classification Metrics

Classification models in mining energy optimization are commonly used for anomaly detection, predictive maintenance, and identifying inefficient energy consumption patterns. These models classify operational states based on energy usage trends, helping to flag potential inefficiencies before they escalate into costly failures.

- Precision: Precision measures how many of the detected anomalies were actually true anomalies. In mining, a false positive (incorrectly flagged inefficiency) may lead to unnecessary adjustments, while a true positive ensures that actual energy inefficiencies are addressed. High precision is essential for minimizing the number of false alarms, which could otherwise lead to operational disruptions or unnecessary energy optimization efforts.
- Recall (Sensitivity): Recall indicates how many actual inefficiencies the model correctly identified. A high recall ensures that critical energy wastage instances or system failures are detected promptly. In mining operations, missing inefficiency due to a low recall rate could result in excessive energy costs, prolonged downtime, or equipment failures. Balancing recall with precision is crucial, as overly aggressive anomaly detection could lead to excessive false positives, while a conservative approach might overlook serious inefficiencies.
- F1-Score: The F1-score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, providing a balanced metric when dealing with imbalanced datasets. In mining, energy inefficiencies and failures are often rare but highly significant. A model with a high F1-score ensures that both precision and recall are optimized, making it effective for real-

time monitoring of energy consumption patterns. This metric is particularly useful for evaluating ML models deployed in predictive maintenance, where missing critical failures can be more costly than occasional false alarms.

- Matthews Correlation Coefficient (MCC): MCC is a comprehensive metric that provides a more balanced evaluation, especially for imbalanced datasets where anomalies are rare. It considers true positives, false positives, true negatives, and false negatives in a single formula, making it a more informative measure than F1-score when class distributions are skewed. In mining operations, where normal energy consumption patterns vastly outnumber inefficiencies or failures, MCC ensures that the model does not just optimize for the majority class but effectively detects rare but impactful energy deviations.

By employing these evaluation metrics, mining companies can systematically assess the effectiveness of ML models in optimizing energy consumption. A combination of regression and classification metrics ensures that both predictive accuracy and anomaly detection capabilities are accounted for, leading to a more robust and reliable energy optimization framework.

4.2 Benchmarking against Traditional Methods

To demonstrate the value of ML models, their performance must be compared with traditional energy optimization techniques, such as rule-based heuristics and statistical models.

- Rule-based methods: Traditionally, mining operations rely on predefined rules and expert-driven heuristics to adjust operational parameters. While these methods are easy to interpret, they lack adaptability to dynamic conditions, making them inefficient in modern mining environments.
- Statistical models: Techniques such as multiple linear regressions, Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA), and logistic regression have been widely used for energy forecasting. However, these models assume linear relationships and struggle with capturing nonlinear dependencies in mining processes.
- ML superiority: Machine learning models such as Random Forest, XGBoost, and deep learning architectures outperform traditional methods by learning complex relationships between operational variables and energy consumption. For example, an ARIMA model may provide stable short-term predictions but struggle with sudden energy demand fluctuations. In contrast, an LSTM-based deep learning model can leverage sequential dependencies in data, making it more effective for long-term energy forecasting.
- Self-improving capabilities: Traditional statistical models require frequent manual updates, whereas ML models continuously improve as they retrain on new data. This adaptability is crucial for mining environments, where factors such as ore composition and machine wear can change over time.

By benchmarking ML models against traditional techniques, companies can assess whether adopting machine learning leads to tangible improvements in energy efficiency, cost reduction, and operational reliability. Compared to traditional rule-based and statistical models, ML techniques offer superior ability to capture nonlinear relationships, adapt to changing mining conditions, and process large volumes of real-time data. Traditional methods lack scalability and fail to update dynamically, whereas ML models continuously improve through retraining, enhancing prediction accuracy and operational efficiency.

4.3 Computational Efficiency

While ML models provide superior predictive power, their computational efficiency must be considered to ensure feasibility in real-time mining operations. Figure 2 shows several factors influence computational efficiency, including training time, inference speed, and resource consumption.

- Training Time: Deep learning models such as LSTMs and CNNs require extensive computational resources due to their high-dimensional parameters. These models often rely on GPU-based cloud computing for training. In contrast, tree-based models like Random Forest and XGBoost train more efficiently while still delivering high accuracy.



Figure 2. Factors influence computational efficiency.

Table 3. Computational efficiency comparison

Model Type	Training Time	Inference Speed	Resource Requirements	Suitability for Real-time Use
Random forest	Moderate	Fast	Moderate CPU	High
XGBoost	Moderate to High	Fast	Moderate CPU	High
SVR	High	Moderate	High CPU	Moderate
Reinforcement learning	Very high	Moderate to Fast	High GPU/TPU	Moderate
LSTM (Deep learning)	Very high	Moderate	High GPU	Low to Moderate
CNN (Deep learning)	Very high	Moderate	High GPU	Low to Moderate

- Inference Speed: Mining operations require fast decision-making, especially for applications like real-time ventilation control or equipment monitoring. Lightweight models such as decision trees and logistic regression provide faster inference speeds but may compromise on accuracy. Hybrid approaches that combine deep learning with traditional ML techniques help balance speed and precision.
- Resource Consumption: ML models must be optimized for deployment in mining environments with limited computational resources. IoT-enabled mining equipment generates large volumes of real-time data, necessitating efficient on-device processing. Edge computing solutions, where ML models are deployed directly on mining machinery, reduce latency and dependence on cloud connectivity, making energy optimization more responsive.

Optimizing computational efficiency ensures that ML models can be deployed effectively without causing operational bottlenecks. Techniques such as model pruning, quantization, and hardware acceleration using TPUs or FPGAs help improve efficiency while maintaining predictive accuracy.

As shown in Table 3, computational costs vary significantly among ML models, influencing their deployment in mining environments.

4.4 Model Adaptability and Continuous Learning

Mining environments are highly dynamic, with variations in ore composition, machine wear, and environmental conditions affecting energy consumption patterns. ML models must be adaptable to these changes to remain effective over time.

Continuous learning: Static models relying on historical data can become outdated. Automated

retraining pipelines allow ML models to learn from new data streams, ensuring that predictions remain accurate and relevant. Techniques such as online learning and incremental training help models adapt without requiring complete retraining from scratch.

Digital twin integration: Digital twins, or virtual replicas of physical mining systems, can be used to simulate different operational scenarios. These simulations provide valuable insights into energy consumption patterns and enable predictive optimization without disrupting actual mining operations.

Cloud and edge computing: The integration of ML models with cloud-based analytics platforms and edge computing solutions enhances their adaptability. Distributed computing frameworks such as Apache Spark and TensorFlow Serving enable scalable ML deployments that dynamically adjust to changing operational conditions.

By ensuring model adaptability and continuous learning, mining companies can maintain high energy efficiency and reduce operational costs while responding to real-time changes in mining environments.

Performance evaluation of ML models in mining energy optimization requires a comprehensive approach that includes selecting appropriate evaluation metrics, benchmarking against traditional methods, and assessing computational efficiency. Regression and classification models are evaluated using RMSE, MAE, R^2, precision, recall, and F1-score[18]. ML models consistently outperform traditional rule-based and statistical techniques, providing superior accuracy, adaptability, and efficiency. However, computational constraints such as training time, inference speed, and resource consumption must be carefully managed to ensure real-time applicability. By incorporating continuous learning mechanisms, digital twins, and cloud-edge computing integration, mining companies can leverage ML-driven energy optimization strategies that are not only accurate but also scalable and responsive to dynamic operational needs.

5.0 Conclusion

Energy consumption optimization in mining operations is a critical challenge due to the high energy demands of processes like drilling, hauling, and ventilation. Machine learning has emerged as a powerful tool for improving energy efficiency by enabling predictive modelling, anomaly detection, and adaptive control. Our analysis highlights that supervised learning techniques such as regression models, Random Forest, and XGBoost offer accurate energy consumption predictions, while reinforcement learning provides real-time adaptive strategies for minimizing energy wastage. Additionally, deep learning models like LSTMs and CNNs have proven effective in predictive maintenance, reducing unexpected downtimes and improving overall energy utilization. One key finding is that data preprocessing and feature engineering play a crucial role in ML model performance. Factors such as machine load, geological parameters, and power consumption patterns significantly impact the accuracy of predictions. Proper handling of missing data, normalization, and outlier detection enhances model reliability. Moreover, evaluation metrics like RMSE, MAE, R^2, precision, and recall provide comprehensive insights into model effectiveness, helping in benchmarking against traditional optimization approaches. While ML-driven energy optimization offers substantial benefits, challenges such as data limitations, computational efficiency, and scalability remain. Ensuring high-quality real-time data and integrating ML with existing mining infrastructure are crucial for long-term adoption. Future research should focus on hybrid ML models, improved sensor integration, and reinforcement learning advancements to further optimize energy consumption. Overall, our study confirms that ML-based approaches have the potential to transform energy management in mining, leading to cost savings, operational efficiency, and enhanced sustainability.

6.0 References

1. Radjenovic D, Hericko M, Torkar R, Zivkovic A. Software fault prediction metrics: A systematic literature review. Inf Softw Technol. 2013; 55(8):1397-1418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2013.02.009
2. Palaniappan R, Sundaraj K, Ahamed NU. Machine learning in lung sound analysis: A systematic review. Biocybern Biomed Eng. 2013; 33(3):129-135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbe.2013.07.001
3. Jang H, Topal E. A review of soft computing technology applications in several mining problems. Appl Soft Comput. 2014; 22:638-651. https://doi.org//10.1016/j.asoc.2014.05.019
4. Malhotra R. A systematic review of machine learning techniques for software fault prediction. Appl Soft

Comput. 2015; 27:504-518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2014.11.023
5. Rahman A, Shahriar MS, Timms G, *et al*. A machine learning approach to find association between imaging features and XRF signatures of rocks in underground mines. IEEE SENSORS. 2015: pp. 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSENS.2015.7370680
6. Carranza EJM, Laborte AG. Data-driven predictive mapping of gold prospectivity, Baguio district, Philippines: Application of random forests algorithm. Ore Geol Rev. 2015; 71:777-787. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2014.08.010
7. Rahman A, *et al*. Association between imaging and XRF sensing: A machine learning approach to discover mineralogy in abandoned mine voids. IEEE Sens J. 2016; 16(11):4555-4565. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2016.2546241
8. Bellinger C, Jabbar MSM, Zaiane O, Vargas AO. A systematic review of data mining and machine learning for air pollution epidemiology. BMC Public Health. 2017; 17:1-19. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4914-3
9. Senders JT, Staples PC, Karhade AV, *et al*. Machine learning and neurosurgical outcome prediction: A systematic review. World Neurosurg. 2018; 109:476-486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2017.09.149
10. Portugal I, Alencar P, Cowan D. The use of machine learning algorithms in recommender systems: A systematic review. Expert Syst Appl. 2018; 97:205-227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2017.12.020
11. Berube CL, Olivo GR, Chouteau M, *et al*. Predicting rock type and detecting hydrothermal alteration using machine learning and petrophysical properties of the Canadian Malartic ore and host rocks, Pontiac Subprovince, Quebec, Canada. Ore Geol Rev. 2018; 96:130-145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2018.04.011
12. McCoy J, Auret L. Machine learning applications in minerals processing: A review. Miner Eng. 2019; 132:95-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2018.12.004
13. Zhang S, Xiao K, Carranza EJM, Yang F, Zhao Z. Integration of auto-encoder network with density-based spatial clustering for geochemical anomaly detection for mineral exploration. Comput Geosci. 2019; 130:43-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2019.05.011
14. Mosavi A, Salimi M, Ardabili SF, *et al*. State of the art of machine learning models in energy systems, a systematic review. Energies. 2019; 12(7):1301. https://doi.org/10.3390/en12071301
15. Li S, Chen J, Xiang J. Applications of deep convolutional neural networks in prospecting prediction based on two-dimensional geological big data. Neural Comput Appl. 2019; 32:2037-2053. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-019-04341-3
16. Acosta ICC, Khodadadzadeh M, Tusa L, *et al*. A machine learning framework for drill-core mineral mapping using hyperspectral and high-resolution mineralogical data fusion. IEEE J Sel Top. Appl Earth Obs Remote Sens. 2019; 12(12):4829-4842. https://doi.org/10.1109/jstars.2019.2924292
17. Spasic I, Nenadic G. Clinical text data in machine learning: Systematic review. JMIR Med Inform. 2020; 8(3):e17984. PMID: 32229465, PMCID: 7157505. https://doi.org/10.2196/17984
18. Ali D, Frimpong S. Artificial intelligence, machine learning and process automation: Existing knowledge frontier and way forward for mining sector. Artif Intell Rev. 2020; 53:6025-6042. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-020-09841-6