Journal of Contemporary Law and Society, *Volume 2, Issue 2, June 2025, pp. 68-84*

TECHNICAL ARTICLE
Online ISSN: 3139-1079
Doi: 10.18311/JCLS/2025/V2i2/51567

INFORMATICS JOURNALS

Online ISSN : XXXX-XXXX

Journal of Contemporary Law and Society

Contents available at: *www.informaticsjournals.co.in/index.php/jcls*

JCLS

Artificial Intelligence in Legal Education Toward Innovative and Ethical Pedagogical Practices

Aranya Nath[1*], Sovna Priyadarshini Parida[2] Parismita Nanda[3] and Kritika Poornomy Mohanta[4]

[1]Damodaram Sanjivayya National Law University, Visakhapatnam, Sabbavaram - 531035, Andhra Pradesh, India; subhamitanath002@gmail.com

[2]SOA National Institute of Law (SNIL), Bharatpur, Bhubaneswar - 751029, Odisha, India

[3]Madhusudan Law University, Cuttack - 753003, Odisha, India

[4]KIIT school of law, Bhubaneswar - 751024, Odisha, India

Abstract

According to John McCarthy's quote, "As soon as it works, no one calls it AI anymore," over here possesses a significant edge in legal education; earlier, we were not aware of AI tools henceforth we rely on the contents available in the website for our studies and also making projects and assignments for our internal assessment in universities we always refer the content available in the website on the arena on which we need to write. Nowadays, things have become easier; students are using AI Tools for making their internal assessments, and legal drafting-related classes have become easier as students refer to Chat GPT & Copilot, along with other AI tools, to get the format of the drafting they have submitted for their assignment and internal markings. Owing to such practices, there's a gap between the theory and the practice of law, which has become a sensitive issue in today's tech-based arena. This research examines the revolutionary potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in altering legal education in the current technological context. It aims to assess how AI tools transform legal education by improving access, customisation, and analytical abilities among law students and instructors. The researcher highlighted the lacunae that arise here, which are personalised and experiential education in legislation, increased student engagement through simulations and gamification, and more effective legal research efficiency. However, these developments raise ethical and legal problems from data privacy to academic integrity, algorithmic prejudice, and authorship issues. There is a pressing need for curricular reform to ensure responsible AI integration. This study provides relevance by explaining AI integration within legal education, specifically in India, where the sector is reformed under the National Education Policy 2020. The study emphasises the need for ethical norms and inclusive AI policies consistent with constitutional and educational obligations.

Keywords: *AI Ethics, Artificial Intelligence, Legal Education, Legal Pedagogy, Technology*

1.0 Introduction

Law in ancient India was inextricably linked with the religious and ethical life of society. The foundation of law rested on the *Dharmaśāstras*, ancient treatises that outlined moral, social, and legal obligations. The gurukul system was followed, wherein students stayed with and learned from their teachers (gurus), who were great scholars and seers. Legal science was studied in addition to the written law to include the study of science, ethics, administration, and the application of justice (Baxi, 1982).

Legal principles were developed from four major sources: *Shruti* (Revealed Texts), *Smriti* (Remembered Traditions), *Aachaara* (Customs), and *Vyavahara* (Judicial Decisions). Legal education in ancient India was very limited, restricted to the upper castes such as Brahmins and Kshatriyas, while others had limited or no access to legal education.

**Author for correspondence*

The creation of Islamic rule in India during the medieval period brought far-reaching changes in law and education. The establishment of Sharia law, which is based on the Quran and Hadith, transformed the administration of the justice system at its very base. Islamic law, or Fiqh, was the initial aspect of legal practice during this period. Islamic schools, or madrasas, emerged as central institutions for learning and teaching Islamic law, theology, logic, and philosophy. Legal education at madrasas concentrated on classical literature, thus preparing students to read and apply Sharia rules in the judicial context (Ahmad & Yadav (2025)).

The medieval period set a precedent for the harmonious coexistence of diverse legal traditions in India, which evolved a unique legal culture that later influenced the development of modern legal education under colonial and post-independence regimes. The colonial legal education model was flawed in many ways: elitist, with limited access to a minority group of affluent people, and with a dominant focus on the laws of Britain. Traditional legal practices and customs of the native people were largely overlooked, leading to a disconnect between the legal system and the living realities of the Indian people.

In summary, the colonial period embodies a contradiction in the historical development of legal education in India, as it facilitated the introduction of contemporary legal concepts and institutions, concurrently diminishing indigenous systems of knowledge and establishing a profession that frequently remained detached from the general populace (Krishnan, 2004).

1.1 Advent of Professional Law Programme

The genesis of the Professional Law Program in India was a revolutionary change initiated by N.R. Madhav Menon, a law professor and the founder of the first National Law School in India. It prompted legal education changes, including the establishment of a five-year law curriculum. Law schools have undergone administrative, budgetary, and structural changes, resulting in improved student quality and placement.

In 2005, the National Knowledge Commission (NKC) was established to advise on transforming India into a knowledge economy. In 2006, the panel proposed numerous changes to legal education, including the establishment of an Independent Regulatory Authority for Higher Education (IRAHE) and an independent Rating System for legal institutions. The report recommended a modern, integrated curriculum that incorporates other subjects and includes periodic feedback from stakeholders. It also suggested revamping the examination system by incorporating essential skills in analysis, writing, and communication. The final semester should focus on problem-solving, combining theoretical concepts, practical applications, project reports, and subject-specific oral tests.

The report also encouraged research traditions in law schools, recommending excellent infrastructure such as a friendly library, computer availability, internet access, digitisation of case laws, access to the latest law journals, and legal databases worldwide.

1.1.1 Opening and Detailed Analysis of the Legal Education System

In 2010, the Ministry of Law and Justice, Bar Council of India, and National Law University, Delhi, held a National Consultation for Second Generation Reforms in Legal Education to gear up for the biggest challenges in the legal education sector. CJI Hon'ble K.G. Balakrishnan made essential recommendations for reforming the legal system and strengthening teaching and research activities in all law colleges, especially those in remote areas. The curriculum must be action-based and develop capabilities in critical thinking and extensive research. Former Prime Minister Dr. Manmohan Singh also emphasised the need for a balanced system of law schools, offering students education and training in Indian law while making them globalisation ready. Legal education has increased since the inception of NLUs in India, but now it requires critical steps in its system.

1.1.2 New Framework for Legal Education

India possesses more than 1500 law schools and graduates about 1.5 lakh students every year. The law profession has changed from a sought-after to a first-rate career and noble vocation, with the launch of a five-year course in law in 1987 creating a boom. The economic reform of 1991 initiated substantial change in the teaching profession, the corporate sector lured, and private universities opened numerous law schools.

Law is a dynamic subject that needs all-around development of the students. The subject's vastness provides career opportunities such as advocate, academician, legislator, judge, policymaker, public official, and civil society activist. Payment constraints, however, tend to drive students towards corporate careers rather than traditional legal careers because of astronomical fees.

More than 1.3 million lawyers are practising in Indian courts, using 16,726 judges in the subordinate judiciary and 700 judges in the Supreme Court and High Court in India. Legal education in India is increasing, but not keeping pace with the needs of the profession, as Indian courts are facing a huge pendency of cases. New Education Policy 2020 (NEP) suggests competitive international education, best practices, and emerging technologies for greater access to justice. It should be guided by constitutional values of Justice, Social, Economic, and Political, and focused on national reconstruction through democracy, the rule of law, and human rights. Legal education requires immediate reforms to meet modern needs and introduces pedagogical and practical reforms that allow for an understanding of modern occurrences at the local and international levels.

1.2 Changing Paradigms in Pedagogy and the Emergence of Edtech

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is revolutionising the student learning experience in higher education, particularly in the law practice. AI-powered tools are used for legal research, contract drafting, and case management. These technologies have the potential to automate routine administrative work and thus allow law professionals to focus on more analytical and strategic work. For example, AI-based algorithms can quickly search through huge volumes of legal data to identify pertinent cases and precedents, which considerably reduces the amount of time required to conduct legal research (Singh, n.d.).

Legal education needs AI-related curriculum content so that it can equip students to collaborate with AI systems, so that they can deliver more efficient and effective legal services. Strong exposure to AI will equip future attorneys to guide clients more effectively on matters of technology and data privacy, subjects that are becoming increasingly significant in the legal field.

Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) is one of the significant areas of artificial intelligence, which specialises in creating new content upon receiving prompts from users, and it has influenced many fields, especially education, significantly. With the rapid pace of technological advancement, it is a serious challenge to examine the effect of GenAI on educational processes in the near and distant future. Nevertheless, it is imperative for the education system to rapidly adjust to the utilisation of these technologies to keep students competitive and not lose ground in an ever-changing world.

Understanding the implications of GenAI on the law curriculum is important to preparing students to address the changing demands of the profession and evaluate its potential benefits in legal research, writing, and case analysis. Researchers have posited a variety of core features that are essential to the pedagogy and learning models in law schools today, including the pedagogy of substantive law principles, the development of the main analytical skills required in the practice of law, instruction on the methods of legal research, and the development of practical skills for lawyering. Generative AI introduced a new dimension to the learning environment: the art of prompting, which entails giving instructions or keywords to lead AI programs in creating a response. With AI's growing incorporation in all spheres of legal practice, it is required that law schools emphasise equipping students with the skills to work competently with AI-enabled tools for legal research, case analysis, and document creation (Pathan, 2025). Analysing the effect of Generative AI on the legal curriculum is important to equip students to address the changing demands of the profession and maintain law schools at the forefront of technological advances in legal practice (Vahid Jadidi, 2025).

1.3 Understanding Artificial Intelligence in the Educational Framework

Artificial intelligence has the potential to change Indian education by increasing teacher efficiency, personalising learning, and improving administrative procedures. AI solutions improve assessment effectiveness and promote creativity and reasoning skills among students in India's education system. AI may be a transformational tool in Indian education, empowering instructors and pupils, but social and ethical concerns must be addressed. AI has an opportunity to alter the Indian educational system by enabling personalised learning, recommendation systems, and adaptive examinations. AI can effectively blend with traditional teaching approaches in Indian education, providing sustainable and equitable learning ((PDF)

Exploring the Artificial Intelligence Tools, n.d.). Artificial Intelligence (AI) is progressively influencing the Indian educational system by altering traditional teaching and learning methodologies. AI, with its capacity to manage large quantities of data, analyse trends, and personalise experiences, has emerged as a significant tool for solving core concerns in Indian education, such as accessibility, quality, and equity.

1.4 Definition and Typology of AI Tools Used in Education

Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to software algorithms designed to mimic human perception and decision-making. Researchers have studied AI education since the late 1970s, with particular focus on computer-aided instruction and intelligent tutoring systems. AI can be categorised into three types: Artificial Narrow Intelligence (ANI), Artificial General Intelligence (AGI), and Artificial Super Intelligence (ASI). ANI excels at performing one task, while AGI aims to be far more intelligent than a human, capable of understanding, learning, and applying knowledge across a wide range of cognitive activities. ASI surpasses human intelligence in areas such as scientific creativity, general wisdom, and social skills. AI education aims to establish a solid foundation in AI literacy, covering basic concepts, types, applications, and ethics. While AI tools offer interactive features, teachers must select age-appropriate and relevant tools for their curriculum.

1.4.1 Machine Learning

Machine Learning (ML) is a popular sort of artificial intelligence that uses statistical techniques to create a prediction model after evaluating massive data sets linked to the topic under research. The ML revolution has also resulted in initiatives to incorporate ML ideas, such as modelling and algorithmic biases, into teaching. For example, online courses allow students to take an image of their index finger as input data to create a model that can recognise their index finger as a way of learning the concept of programming. Algorithmic biases occur when AI-based computers make unfair or inaccurate conclusions based on unbalanced information entered or an improper design. If the learners who were taking their index finger images in the preceding scenario are white or black, the model created only from that skin type may detect only a white or black finger and not fingers of other skin types. Face recognition systems, too, are susceptible to substantial statistical biases (Xu *et al.,* 2021).

1.4.2 Intelligent Tutoring Systems

Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) were among the first uses of artificial intelligence-based expert systems in educational settings. ITS can help students perform better by offering personalised learning content and feedback. ITS can enhance the educational content sent to students based on their level of understanding. Dream Box is one example of an ITS that offers what's needed for students to effectively connect with and move through the curriculum. ITS may also significantly engage students in their learning process. For example, students may use ChatGPT and other generative AI apps as personal instructors to assist them learn, doing assignments, studying for exams, or discovering fresh information (Intelligent Tutoring System - an Overview | ScienceDirect Topics, n.d.).

1.4.3 Automated Paper Assessment

Automated paper assessment is one of the methodical uses of artificial intelligence in education. Professors spend a significant amount of time reading and assessing. As a result, most professors are hesitant to offer pupils lengthy writing assignments. The primary purpose of developing APS programs was to use them to assess student writing, freeing instructors from the labour-intensive process of grading essays. APS can essentially imitate the human brain's processing skills and identify complicated patterns in big multivariate data sets to grade texts. Using APS to evaluate student writing benefits both teachers and students, since feedback can be provided much more quickly (Vieriu & Petrea, 2025).

1.4.4 Natural Language Processing

Natural Language Processing (NLP) is a branch of Artificial Intelligence (AI) that is used in schools and elsewhere in life. Examples of NLP applications include spell checkers, machine translation programs, recommendation systems, and conversational programs, also known as chatbots. Another advanced NLP application example is ChatGPT, which helps users summarise content, produce ideas, and plan lessons and textual content. In addition, NLP can transform written text from one language to another, answer typed and spoken queries, recognise individuals

through voice recognition, and condense long pieces of text into short summaries. Apart from this, NLP applications like chatbots and ChatGPT are skilled at recognising the intent or emotion expressed in the user's message or speech, answering questions, and producing text, graphics, or videos as needed (Rapid Innovation, n.d.).

1.5 Popular Educational AI Tools

The application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in education has transformed the traditional classroom, especially in legal studies, where analytical sharpness and case-based teaching are emphasised. Certain AI-driven platforms have proven to be revolutionary, offering intelligent support in legal writing, research, class management, personalised learning, and academic integrity. Among the most renowned are ChatGPT, an OpenAI generative AI model that provides natural language processing capabilities. In legal education, ChatGPT is widely used for drafting case briefs, simplifying complex legal terminology, preparing arguments for moot courts, and aiding students' understanding of constitutional and statutory provisions. It promotes inquiry-based learning and improves classroom discussion through real-time content generation. Similarly, Lexis+ AI and Westlaw Edge, advanced legal research tools based on machine learning, offer predictive advice and case suggestions, helping teachers and students locate relevant precedents, statutes, and legal commentary swiftly.

One of the most popular tools is Grammarly, which uses artificial intelligence to enhance legal writing by providing suggestions for grammar, tone, and citation. The software is particularly useful in clarifying, making sense of, and formalising legal language. Other software like Quillbot and Scribbr offer paraphrasing and citation generation support, offering legal citation styles like Bluebook and OSCOLA, which are commonly used for academic work in law schools. For personalised and adaptive learning, platforms like Coursera, EdX, and Khan Academy utilise AI algorithms to suggest personalised learning routes, assess student performance, and offer content based on the individual learner's profiles. These platforms often have legal courses by top institutions and allow learners to earn certifications through self-paced learning modules. Applications such as Turnitin and Unicheck are an absolute necessity in upholding academic integrity since they can detect cases of plagiarism in legal papers and assignments. Their AI-powered algorithms verify students' submissions using a huge database that includes legal and academic content, thus protecting the integrity of academic work. Classroom participation-wise, applications such as Kahoot! and Mentimeter utilise AI-powered analytics to develop engaging learning experiences through interactive polls and quizzes, thus making legal education more engaging. Additionally, Turing Bot and Socratic, created by Google, are becoming increasingly popular because they can solve complex logic and legal reasoning puzzles, thus enhancing critical thinking among law students.

Lastly, Legal Mation, ROSS Intelligence, and Case Text's Co-Counsel are artificial intelligence platforms utilised within the academic framework and in legal practice to prepare litigation documents, undertake discoveries, and automate legal memoranda. These platforms expose law students to actual legal technologies influencing the future of legal practice. Including such AI platforms in legal education not only fosters pedagogical creativity but also empowers students with the digital literacy required in the changing context of the legal profession (Kwid *et al.*, 2024).

1.6 Benefits of AI-Based Educational Technologies

- Personalised learning: AI systems dynamically alter teaching tactics and pace to accommodate different learning styles and abilities, increasing engagement and retention.
- Scalability: AI solutions allow universities to handle big student cohorts while maintaining quality, a key benefit in populated nations such as India.
- Efficiency and automation: Simplifying administration and educational responsibilities, such as grading, attendance monitoring, and content scheduling, allows educators to focus on higher-order teaching.
- Data-driven insights: Educators obtain real-time visibility into student progress and learning gaps, enabling evidence-based interventions, Dembe, A. (2024).
- Accessibility and inclusion: AI applications like speech-to-text, real-time translation, and assistive technology enhance accessibility for students with impairments or linguistic hurdles.

1.7 Challenges and Data Protection using AI tools in Legal Education

AI Integration in legal education has created new horizons for customised learning experiences, more efficient administrative processes, and better research infrastructure. However, it also raises serious concerns about data privacy, student monitoring, intellectual autonomy, and ethical management of personal data. These are especially critical in the educational context of law, where values like confidentiality, autonomy, and the rule of law are key support pillars.

1.8 Data Allocation Obtained Using Artificial Intelligence Tools Used in Legal Studies

Artificial intelligence tools deployed in law schools, constituting adaptive learning tools, virtual moot court platforms, AI-based exam monitoring systems, and legal analytics interfaces, collect a wide range of student-related information, including:

- Personal identifiers (name, e-mail, location)
- Biometric information (face recognition in proctoring software)
- Behavioural data (clickstream, keystrokes, interaction history)
- Academic achievement (assignment, grades, comments)
- Learning patterns and participation styles.

This level of detailed data collection produces a rich data set, but at the same time subjects students to possible privacy intrusions, profiling, and unconsented surveillance.('Human Rights in the Age of Platforms', n.d.)

1.9 Key Challenges

1.9.1 Informed Consent and Ownership of Data

Most AI systems are on terms of service that do not provide transparent, well-informed consent. Students usually sign user agreements without knowing what information is collected, processed, or shared. In law school, where students are instructed in legal rights, this disrupts educational integrity and user control (Full Article: Historical Threads, Missing Links, and Future Directions in AI in Education, n.d.).

1.9.2 Algorithmic Surveillance and Chilling Effect

Artificial intelligence-based proctoring and monitoring tools are generally founded on invasive monitoring practices, including webcam surveillance and facial recognition. Ongoing monitoring of this type can undermine academic freedom and lead to reduced student participation in class discussions, especially around contentious legal topics (Software That Monitors Students during Tests Perpetuates Inequality and Violates Their Privacy | MIT Technology Review, n.d.).

1.9.3 Data Security and Risk of Breaches

Law schools, particularly in the developing world, might not have sufficient cybersecurity controls to safeguard sensitive educational data. Data breaches can expose Personally Identifiable Information (PII)- correct and cause reputational, financial, or legal damage (Domazet and Šušak-Lozanovska - 2023 - Children's Data and Privacy Online Growing up in.., n.d.).

1.9.4 Algorithmic Bias and Discriminatory Outcomes

Artificial intelligence systems, particularly those built from biased datasets, can potentially produce discriminatory outcomes. For example, predictive models that assess "academic risk" could disproportionately mark students from underrepresented or marginalised groups, thus reinforcing systemic disparities (Roy, 2017).

1.9.5 Absence of Regulatory Supervision

These issues are compounded in India by the lack of a data protection regime specific to education. While the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, creates a generic framework for personal data regulation, it lacks provisions specific to ed-tech platforms, research tools employed in schools, and AI in the classroom (Kapadia, 2023). The lack of regulation subjects students to the risks of profitable ed-tech providers who function with little or no accountability.

1.9.6 Comparative and Ethical Reflections

Across the world, regulations such as the EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) impose strict requirements on educational AI systems, including data minimisation, transparency, and data subject rights. According to GDPR Article 22, students have the right

not to be subjected to decisions based solely on automated processing that significantly affect them. In the Indian framework, there are no enforceable rights for students in educational settings, especially in AI-mediated contexts.

Ethically, the UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence (2021) requires guarantees of human oversight, transparency of algorithms, and equity in education AI systems (AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-Makers - UNESCO Digital Library, n.d.). Bringing these principles to legal education requires robust institutional policy, ethical auditing of ed-tech providers, and curriculum incorporation of data literacy and digital rights.

2. Transforming Legal Pedagogy through AI

Digitisation has significantly impacted education, changing how students learn, analyse, and apply information. The internet provides equal access to information, and MOOCs and microlearning modules allow learners to study at their own pace from anywhere in the world. AI transforms the role of teachers, and intelligent tutoring systems tailor to individual learning styles and speeds. Adaptive learning platforms analyse student data to identify strengths, weaknesses, and knowledge gaps, adjusting instruction accordingly. Virtual and augmented reality-based immersive learning helps students grasp abstract concepts and solve problems. Interactive whiteboards, tablets, and laptops have revolutionised classrooms, fostering creativity, critical thinking, and collaboration.

Technology-facilitated customisation allows students to take possession of their learning, with adaptive learning systems detecting patterns, strengths, and weaknesses in student data to facilitate individualised learning. Competency-based learning focuses on the development of skills and knowledge rather than seat time and grades. Technology has brought geographically separate locations together to establish a global education, where students from diverse cultures can work together online, fostering intercultural competence and global citizenship. Virtual exchange and language learning platforms offer global peer-to-peer linkages.

Despite challenges, digital learning holds vast opportunities for innovation, equity, and customisation of learning. Augmented, virtual, and blockchain technologies will customise education, render it more flexible, and networked. With lifelong learning becoming the new normal, official and nonofficial education will be merged. Future education will emphasise critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, and collaboration, the "four Cs" of 21st-century success.

As the world is becoming more complicated and connected, the role of education in shaping people and society can only increase. The healthy use of digital technologies can improve future generations by offering new ways and challenging traditional norms (Wang *et al.*, 2025).

2.1 A Symbolic Relationship: Technology and Pedagogy

The union of technology and pedagogy is no longer an informal one, but rather a fundamental and interdependent collaboration that is altering the boundaries of education. This symbiotic connection is altering classrooms, empowering teachers, and improving students' learning experiences.

2.1.1 The Digital Transformation of Pedagogy

Technology emerged as an amplifier of pedagogical innovation, challenging established approaches and encouraging a more student-focused, inquiry-based, and personalised method of teaching. The incorporation of digital technologies into the learning environment has increased the opportunities for developing engaging and interactive experiences.

- Flipped classrooms are a prime example of this change. By using technology to offer knowledge outside of the classroom, instructors may devote more in-person time to collaborative problem-solving, conversations, and hands-on learning. This strategy encourages students to take charge of their learning and fosters deeper engagement.
- AI-driven content generation and personalised learning: Some of the most disruptive applications of AI in legal education are automated content development and adaptive learning. Tools like ChatGPT, Lexis+ AI, and AI21 Labs' Jurassic model may generate case briefs, summarise legislation, and create bespoke lecture notes for specific jurisdictions or legal issues. This enables educators to change the emphasis from rote delivery to critical engagement. AI-powered learning

systems, such as Coursera's "Learning Pathways" and India's Swayam, adjust material depending on learner performance, supporting inclusive education, especially in resource-poor environments (Luckin *et al.,* n.d.) Adaptive algorithms detect knowledge gaps and propose information accordingly, resulting in a more personalised and responsive educational experience (Selwyn, 2019).

- Inquiry-based learning: The use of digital technologies promotes inquiry-based learning. Students may find a variety of knowledge online, perform research, and work with classmates to answer challenging topics. This technique promotes critical thinking, problem-solving, and creativity.

2.1.2 Technology as an Amplifier of Teacher Efficacy

Technology improves teacher effectiveness by automating repetitive chores and offering data-driven insights. It enables teachers to focus on developing relationships with students, supporting learning, and sparking curiosity. Learning management systems simplify administrative responsibilities, freeing instructors to concentrate on instructional planning and student assistance. Data analytics give significant insights into student performance, allowing for timely intervention. Digital assessment tools provide an abundance of assessment decisions, enabling an extensive examination of student learning.

2.1.3 The Student Experience in the Digital Age

The advent of the digital era has revolutionised the learning process of students, providing new avenues of knowledge gain, teamwork, and creative expression. The use of online learning platforms offers some degree of flexibility and options, allowing learners to progress at a rate that is consonant with their learning modes and styles. Digital collaborative tools reinforce teamwork and communication, allowing students to collaborate on projects, contribute ideas, and establish social bonds. Moreover, interactive simulations and virtual reality experiences provide immersive learning environments, making abstract concepts more accessible and engaging.

But integrating technology into learning environments is not simple, as the digital divide, by which we refer to the inequalities in access to technology and the internet. Access to online learning resources should be fair and equitable, and digital literacy competencies for teachers should be promoted. Concerns exist regarding the negative impact of excessive screen time on students' physical and psychological well-being.

The education of the future will be marked by increasing interpenetration of pedagogy and technology, and AI will play an increasing role in tailoring learning experiences and routine tasks. Virtual and augmented reality have the potential to usher in interactive learning environments; however, one needs to respect that technology is just an enabler and not a substitute for human connection and relationships.

The primary objective of education is to develop individuals with various competencies, including critical thinking, problem-solving, and a global perspective. Successful technology integration within learning spaces requires a harmonious interaction between technology tools and pedagogical approaches. Utilising educational models with experiential learning, analytical thinking, and problem-solving competencies is necessary to gain maximum benefit from technology. Flipped classrooms, digital portfolios, online testing, and adaptive testing provide rich portraits of student development and shape teaching practices. A successfully reformed school system requires a concerted effort from policymakers, teachers, and technology providers.

2.2 Virtual Assistants Simulations Overview

Virtual assistance is remote support provided through a digital interface that includes information, advice, decision-making assistance, learning, and training, among other aspects. In the educational landscape, it offers new solutions to longstanding issues. Virtual assistants utilise artificial intelligence, natural language processing, and machine learning to deliver personalised support, enhance learning experiences, and boost overall educational outcomes.

2.2.1 Virtual Simulations, Legal Analytics, and Moot Court Automation

AI-driven legal simulations are transforming experiential learning. Tools such as Harvard's H_2O, PracticePro, and the Law School Admission Council's (LSAC) Tech-enhanced learning labs offer experiential legal situations in which students role-play, prepare legal documents, and acquire courtroom protocol. Virtual

mock courts, such as those driven by avatars or VR simulation, enable students to practice argumentation and litigation skills without the limitations of physical infrastructure (*AI for Law Students*, n.d.).

Legal analytics tools, such as ROSS Intelligence (before its closure), Lex Machina, and Blue J Legal, allow students to analyse patterns in court decisions, predict litigation outcomes, and simulate hypothetical legal advice based on precedential case precedents. These tools allow computationally facilitated legal reasoning, a critical skill in the age of computational jurisprudence. Furthermore, these technologies advance doctrinal pedagogy by incorporating quantitative and strategic competencies previously de-emphasised in legal education (Leenes, n.d.).

One of the notable Indian pilots is the partnership of Jindal Global Law School with IBM Watson, which experimented with integrating AI-based legal research and writing tools into the curriculum. NALSAR University of Law, Hyderabad, also incorporated AI-based models of evaluation in moot court assessment and legal writing workshops using Natural Language Processing (NLP) to offer instant feedback on legal drafting (The Interface Between Law and Technology.Pdf, n.d.).

2.2.2 Higher Education- AI in Legal Research and Access to Global Jurisprudence

Legal research, hitherto dependent on conventional databases such as SCC Online or Westlaw, has been revolutionised by AI. AI is now able to read semantic meaning from texts, connect precedents between jurisdictions, and offer subtle suggestions beyond keyword search. Applications such as Lexis+ AI and Case text, Co-Counsel allow users to search conversational legal questions, retrieve summaries of cases, recognise analogical reasoning, and map judicial trends between jurisdictions (CoCounsel: One GenAI Assistant for Professionals | Thomson Reuters, n.d.). This decreases research time dramatically and improves accuracy, particularly for comparative legal research. Apart from this, multilingual NLP processors and AI-powered translation processors enable easier access to world jurisprudence by enabling students and researchers to see judgments and statutes from various jurisdictions in real-time translation. For example, UNESCO's AI4J initiative aims to provide access to developing countries' jurisprudence around the world using AI-powered linguistic models. International and Indian Pilot Initiatives, Stanford Law School has introduced courses and lab programs that expose students to legal start-ups and AI law application design. The University of New South Wales (UNSW) incorporates AI into clinical legal training via smart contract simulations and AI client counselling tools (Corrales Compagnucci *et al.*, 2022). UCL Faculty of Laws in the United Kingdom incorporates AI simulations to train students in GDPR compliance and AI ethics frameworks. In India, the National Law School of India University (NLSIU), Bengaluru, joined hands with the Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy to introduce modules on "AI and the Law," where students employ AI tools for policy drafting and review exercises. Delhi University's Law Faculty also tried out an AI-driven teaching assistant that assists in the process of doubt solving and grading, especially in large classes.

3.0 Ethical Implications and Challenges of AI in Legal Education in Universities

Integrating AI into Clinical Legal Education in India presents new opportunities but also raises legal and ethical concerns. These include issues of information privacy and security, as Clinical Legal Education involves handling sensitive data related to students and cases. Recently, India's data protection legislation under the "Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023" requires universities and law schools to offer AI-powered Clinical Legal Education programmes that use personal data to establish data protection standards. Some AI systems often collect, process, and store large amounts of data on students' performance, involvement, and possibly even their identity. This creates a risky precedent for students' privacy rights, as sensitive information could be shared with unauthorised persons or hacked. Additionally, the data of actual clients used to train the service personnel must comply with confidentiality regulations to prevent disclosure or misuse. Compliance with privacy laws is not only vital for students' rights but also essential for maintaining the integrity and ethics of the legal profession (Sharma, 2023).

3.1 Bias and Fairness in AI Algorithms

A further significant ethical issue with the integrated use of AI within Clinical Legal Education is the bias and fairness of AI algorithms. AI algorithms learn mostly from datasets that may have inherent bias; therefore, the results gained may be unjust. In the context of legal education, this might imply that prejudice occurs in AI-mediated methods of conducting assessments or case simulations, which perpetuate and replicate stereotyped or biased viewpoints on law and legal practice. For example, AI systems that predict the outcome of a case may use data that contains preconceptions that come with the present legal system; in this manner, AI impacts how law students see cases. AI has recently been used in Clinical Legal Education (CLE) as a teaching method that can be potentially very effective in accelerating legal education, but its application should be slower and more tentative. The AI algorithms are supposed to be thoroughly examined so that any possible biases can be identified and overcome. It is the responsibility of educators and developers then to streamline such systems to develop a group of lawyers that behave in a morally correct fashion, free of negative judgment; traditionally directed toward individuals of the approaching legal collective whose skin colour was once considered, historically, or is still at present, synonymous with the term Black. Such a vigil indicates the use of the judicial pendulum, such as in a case like "Public Interest Foundation vs Union of India (Public Interest Foundation vs Union Of India on 25 September, *2018*, n.d.)", where the Indian judicial system was trying to achieve a balance between the rights of an individual and the process of protection.

3.1.1 Intellectual Property and Legal Education on AI-Generated Content

The application of AI-generated content in the legal profession's academic progression process also raises questions of ownership over AI-generated content. AI-generating products that can draft opinions, briefs, or even have an entire case study as a mock trial become the requirement of accredited Clinical Legal Education programs, but then, who owns it? As far as the Indian legal system is concerned for IP, there is no particular rule that governs the ownership and ancillary rights of AI products and b) that guarantees the admissibility of the utilisation of these materials in the learning process. Thus, for instance, if an AI system generates a case analysis or a simulation of a legal brief to train, it can be very unclear whether the text generated should be considered as belonging to the AI developer, to the institution in which the AI is developed, or to the students being trained. Incidentally to these issues, it is essential to adopt a clear policy for Clinical Legal Education programs where IP plays a role both in the legal education and the ethical application of intellectual property for content generated by AI.

3.1.2 The Idea of Authorship and Proprietorship of AI-Generated Content

According to Indian law, under the *Copyright Act of 1957, the author is a human creator. Section 2(d)* of the law defines authorship for different types of works, including specifying the composer of musical works and the programmer of works created by computer programs. However, the law does not address content created by standalone non-human entities, like artificial intelligence. This creates a doctrinal void, particularly in the academic community, where AI tools (like ChatGPT, Copilot, or AI writing tools on Learning Management System platforms) generate substantial textual and analytical content.

In legal systems like that of the United Kingdom, the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 has a special provision under *"Section 9(3) declaring that in the case of works generated by computers, the author shall be taken to be the person by whom the arrangements necessary for the creation of the work are undertaken."* This provision gives a presumption of authorship to the person arranging the usage of artificial intelligence. There is no such provision in Indian copyright law which gives a questionable legal status to academic work generated by AI.(Participation, n.d.)

3.1.3 Implications for Legal Scholarship

- Student Work and Integrity of Assessment

In legal education, students can utilise AI tools to produce answers, briefs, or research papers. The question is: Does the student who has originated most of the work own the originality of the work? Since originality is one of the essential requirements to satisfy copyright protection, and Indian law adopts a minimum level of creativity test as laid down in the case of "Eastern Book Company v D.B. Modak" (Eastern Book Company & Ors vs D.B. Modak & Anr on 12 December, 2007, n.d.) Fully AI-generated work may not satisfy this requirement. Also, if universities open

up to AI assistance, there must be guidelines in place that distinguish between acceptable assistance (e.g., grammar checking or citation style) and unacceptable content creation that compromises academic integrity or authorship.

- Instructor-Generated Material with AI Support

Academic scholars are increasingly turning to generative artificial intelligence to create pedagogical content, summarise judicial trends, and even write policy briefs. But if such content is created with minimal human creative input, the issue of ownership and intellectual property rights to such content becomes problematic. There are also problems of institutional as opposed to individual faculty rights, particularly for private institutions that assert intellectual property rights over coursework (Peres *et al.,* 2023).

- Copyright Infringement and Training Data Issues

One significant issue concerns the use of AI models in law schools, such as citation generators and research assistants, which might have been trained from copyrighted legal databases, i.e., SCC Online, Manupatra, and Westlaw. Such a scenario poses the risk of unintentional copyright infringement. For instance, if an AI tool applies copyrighted judicial judgments or legal treatises without a valid license, its output will indirectly infringe on the original rights. This is the very dilemma that faces us in the current litigation between Getty Images and Stability AI, where Getty (Getty Images (US), Inc. v. Stability AI, Inc., 1, n.d.) Alleges its images were illicitly scraped to train an image-generating AI. In India, where law reports and digests are commercially prepared and legally protected, law schools need to be careful in adopting AI tools based on scraped legal data without licensing agreements. Failure to do so on their part can result in institutional liability or copyright disputes with legal publishers.

In India, which has legal reports and digests under legal protection and commercially published, law schools must be careful in the application of AI technologies dependent upon extracted legal data in the absence of proper licensing agreements. Lack of caution can result in institutional liability or copyright problems with legal publishing institutions.

3.2 Academic Dishonesty and Contract Cheating

The application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in legal education, while yielding educational advantages, poses equally serious ethical concerns, particularly with the growing incidence of academic dishonesty and contract cheating. Legal education in India, now more digital and competitive, raises fundamental questions on the authenticity, originality, and assessment integrity of academic output with law students' use of generative AI tools (like ChatGPT, Grammarly, Quillbot, or GitHub Copilot). The questions pose fundamental challenges to conventional notions of merit and necessitate immediate regulatory and institutional measures.

3.2.1 Academic Dishonesty and Contract Cheating in the Context of Artificial Intelligence: Understanding

Academic dishonesty is a group of unprofessional academic practices that are deemed unacceptable, including plagiarism, unauthorised assistance, manipulation of data, and falsification of original work. Contract cheating, a term first coined by Clarke and Lancaster, is where a third party does an academic task on a student's behalf, typically for payment or reward (Clarke & Lancaster, 2013). With the advent of generative AI, the third party is no longer a human agency but a standalone machine churning out essays, case briefs, legal memoranda, or even thesis chapters on demand, making it ever more challenging to differentiate between academic assistance and dishonesty. In professional legal education, where argumentative reasoning, critical analysis, and application of jurisprudence are core competencies, the use of AI to automate these processes undoes the very core competencies the curriculum is designed to build.

3.2.2 The Indian Context of Legal Education

India's Bar Council of India (BCI) has a rigid five-year or three-year LL.B. program with written work, legal research, and moot courts. There is no clear direction towards AI-assisted submissions or whether machine-generated content is allowed according to university rules. *"Most National Law Universities (NLUs) like NLSIU Bengaluru, NLU Delhi, and NALSAR Hyderabad have academic honour codes and employ plagiarism detection tools like Turnitin."* Such systems are, however, ineffective against AI-generated content, which also manages to evade plagiarism detection due to its new syntax and paraphrasing ability (Hassan, 2024).

For instance, an internal audit conducted in 2023 at a leading Indian NLU showed that over 18% of essays contained proof of AI-generated structuring and argumentation, albeit no plagiarism reports. The reactions of faculty members ranged from outright prohibitions on AI aids to conditional usage for research work, reflecting a lack of uniform policy.

3.2.3 Legal and Ethical Issues

Academic dishonesty facilitated by AI raises broader questions of ethical development in legal education. Law students are taught to practice integrity, diligence, and responsibility, values undermined when students use AI to prepare exams without knowing the content (Linking Artificial Intelligence Facilitated Academic Misconduct to Existing Prevention Frameworks | International Journal for Educational Integrity | Full Text, n.d.). *"Legally, the work produced by artificial intelligence is a violation of Section 57 of the Copyright Act of 1957"*, safeguarding the moral rights of the author, especially when students claim authorship of work produced by non-human sources (Section 57 in The Copyright Act, 1957, n.d.). Moreover, educational institutions awarding academic credits for assignments with AI attachments are also at risk of non-compliance with accreditation standards by the University Grants Commission (UGC) guidelines that guarantee internal assessments to be fair and reliable.

3.3 International Comparisons and Regulatory Models

Globally, institutions have started addressing the AI cheating problem. The Australian TEQSA Amendment (Prohibiting Academic Cheating Services) Act 2020 makes commercial cheating services an offence, and some universities have added unauthorised use of AI to this. The University of Cambridge and Stanford Law School have released guidelines to distinguish between authorised AI-assisted drafting and unauthorised AI-authored submissions, emphasising human authorship, transparency, and citation (Legislation | Tertiary Education Quality and Standards Agency, n.d.).

Indian institutions, however, are in a regulatory vacuum. There is no AI-specific academic code of conduct by the BCI or UGC. Consequently, students can use software like ChatGPT to compose moot court submissions, case summaries, or even outlines for a dissertation with minimal institutional oversight, undermining the learning experience and testing the value of legal qualifications.

4.0 Policy Suggestions for Indian Legal Education

To meet the challenge of AI-based academic fraud, Indian law schools and regulatory authorities need to move fast:

- Develop AI-specific academic integrity policies: Institutions need to define acceptable and unacceptable usage of AI tools for coursework, from differentiated policies for research support, language enhancement, and content creation.
- Compulsory AI disclosure: Students must be made to disclose any use of AI in scholarly submissions, similar to citation statements.
- Redesign of the assessment: Oral defences, viva-based examinations, and process-based marking (e.g., submitting drafts, annotated research journals) must replace exams and assignments, making it harder to cheat using AI. AI Literacy Modules: Incorporating education in AI ethics and responsible use into law courses would enhance students' awareness and minimise instances of misuse. The Central BCI/UGC Regulation requires the creation of a national framework for artificial intelligence in higher education with binding standards for institutional consistency.

4.1 Data Privacy and Security

Educational platforms that use AI depend on a thorough data collection process to provide personalised experiences. Some of the data that is collected includes personal information about students, their learning behaviour, and learning data and in some instances, biometric data. There are significant privacy issues relating to the collection and use of such information.

Data protection and privacy are protected by the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 (DPDP Act). The Act still comprises general principles without a specific provision on AI, which could directly aim at student data safety in the context of AI-based educational systems. Data ownership, third-party transfer, and informed consent thus remain questionable.

In the case *"Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India (2017)* (Bhatia, 2017)" is still relevant. The right to *"privacy was established in the case by the Supreme Court as a fundamental right under Article 21 of the Indian Constitution*, which highlights the need to have explicit consent of students and parents to students and other entities to collect and process personal information of students and other entities using AI-powered learning platforms.

5.0 Regulatory and Policy Framework for Legal Education

Regulating AI-enabled educational platforms will require sectoral legislation that will help protect data confidentiality, ensure user consent, demystify intellectual property rights, curb algorithmic discrimination, and facilitate the open liability regime. The European Union has led the way in this by committing to mandate risk assessments in AI-driven systems and instating strong transparency under the "EU AI Act." India could then look to these international models when it comes to tailoring AI legislation so that it best suits the demands of the education sector and the necessities of the constitution. The transition to an AI-driven learning environment holds great potential for pedagogical effectiveness and administrative efficiency, but also brings along legal and ethical issues. The legal framework in India is currently being developed to govern AI in education, and this process would be facilitated by collaborative efforts between educational institutions, the AI developer community, legal experts, and regulators to balance out legitimate educational value addition with equitable and inclusive AI. In India, the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 (DPDPA) defines basic principles for personal data protection, such as consent, purpose limitation, and data reduction. However, the Act makes no mention of algorithmic transparency, bias mitigation, or AI-based decision-making in educational contexts. The Information Technology Act of 2000 also covers some digital activities, although it was not drafted with AI technology in mind. There is no explicit legislation established to handle automated evaluation, AI-based surveillance techniques, or AI-generated academic content in higher education. In the absence of adequate legislation, administrative and legislative bodies hold the main duty of AI regulation in legal academics.

5.1 Legal and Regulatory Challenges in AI-Based Education in India

The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education is changing how students learn, teachers educate, and institutions manage administrative responsibilities. However, this transition raises legal and regulatory concerns that must be addressed to ensure justice, openness, and accountability. India lacks a defined legal framework for AI in education, resulting in uncertainties about data privacy, intellectual property rights, algorithmic biases, and liability difficulties. Existing laws and constitutional provisions provide a legal framework, but they are insufficient to meet the specific legal challenges raised by AI-driven education. Currently, India lacks AI-specific rules to promote fair access to education, decrease biases in AI models, and create unambiguous accountability for AI-driven choices in learning environments.

5.1.1 Privacy and Data Protection in AI Education

Educational AI systems collect massive volumes of student data, which raises significant privacy concerns and necessitates stringent legal protections. Present legislation, such as the *"IT Act (2000) and the Digital Personal Data Protection Act (2023)"*, does not include specific regulations for machine learning and algorithmic data processing. The Supreme Court's historic privacy decision recognised privacy as a fundamental right (Bhatia, 2017), indicating youngsters and their guardians should have more control over algorithmic data gathering techniques. International regulations such as *GDPR and FERPA*" establish more transparency requirements and individual control methods. India requires particular AI data protection norms within the DPDP (Kapadia, 2023) Framework, greater enforcement against inappropriate algorithmic profiling, and data erasure rights for students who choose to remove their information from educational systems.

5.1.2 Intellectual Property in AI Education

AI systems generate instructional materials, evaluation tools, and academic content, posing intellectual property difficulties. The "Copyright Act of 1957" protects only human writers, not AI. It raises the issue of who owns the content: AI developers, educational institutions, or students. The Supreme Court's *"Eastern Book Company*

v. D.B. Modak (2008)(*Eastern Book Company & Ors vs D.B. Modak & Anr on 12 December, 2007*, n.d.)*"* The decision confuses the situation even further. In the United Kingdom, *"AI-generated works are protected for 50 years under the Copyright, Designs, and Patents Act of 1988; however, the US Copyright Office refuses to award protection to AI-generated works."* Challenges include uncertain AI-generated material ownership and the possibility of AI plagiarism within educational studies. India should establish new copyright standards for AI-generated educational content, and institutions should employ AI-based plagiarism detection measures.

5.1.3 The Legal Landscape of AI in Indian Education: Significant Judicial Decisions

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is changing India's education sector at a very fast pace, and it's generating legal issues in the form of data privacy, intellectual property rights, algorithmic bias, and accountability. India has not enacted any specific laws that will regulate AI in education, but a few milestone court judgments have laid down important legal principles about this new field. Right to privacy has been established as a constitutional right "under Article 21" of the Constitution, and its implications are far-reaching on AI-based learning systems that harvest vast amounts of student data. Ed-tech firms are now required to give open consent processes and strong data protection protocols. Student data, such as learning habits, academic performance data, and personal identifiers, must be gathered through clear consent following this precedent (Regulating AI in India: Challenges, Initiatives, and Path to Future Success – K Singhania & Co, n.d.).

The constitutional principles of fairness and equality in artificial intelligence are reinforced by the Supreme Court's decision in *"Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India (2018) (Navtej Singh Johar vs Union Of India Ministry Of Law And ... on 6 September, 2018*, n.d.*)"*, which provides a legal framework for the challenge of artificial intelligence systems that are biased against protected characteristics such as caste, gender, region, or language. This reflects the utmost importance of fairness in artificial intelligence-based admission and evaluation mechanisms.

Copyright of AI-generated academic material comes into the limelight with the decision of *"Eastern Book Company v. D.B. Modak (2008)* (Eastern Book Company & Ors vs D.B. Modak & Anr on 12 December, 2007, n.d.*)"* laying down the principle that only human creative contribution can be protected by copyright in original work. It poses the question of whose copyright it is going to be: that of AI developers, academies, or end buyers of AI-generated material.

The judgment in *"Shreya Singhal v. Union of India (2015)* (*Shreya Singhal vs U.O.I on 24 March, 2015*, n.d.) *Reinforces the intersection of AI proctoring and student rights by invalidating Section 66A of the Information Technology Act, thereby enhancing digital rights* (*Section 66A, Information Technology Act, 2000, Shreya Singhal Case*, n.d.). This decision has significant consequences for AI-enhanced remote proctoring systems employed to oversee students during online assessments, necessitating a delicate balance between maintaining academic integrity and upholding the privacy rights of students. Consumer protection legislation encompasses education services as determined in the case of *"Indian Medical Association v. V.P. Shantha (1995)*(*Indian Medical Association vs V.P. Shantha & Ors on 13 November, 1995*, n.d.) *And holds AI education platforms liable for misrepresentation or lack of services. The Unni Krishnan v. State of Andhra Pradesh (1993)*(*Unnikrishnan vs. State of Andhra Pradesh (1993) - iPleaders*, n.d.) *Judgment, coupled with the Right to Education Act of 2009, ensures fair access to AI education services."*

5.2 Role of the Bar Council of India and University Grant Commission (UGC)

5.2.1 Bar Council of India (BCI)

The *"Advocate Act, 1961, was enacted by the Parliament of India in the exercise of the power granted by List I of the Constitution of India."* The Advocates Act authorises the formation of an apex organisation, the Bar Council of India, to promote legal education and assess the standards of such education, as well as the situation, in cooperation with the University Grants Commission. The Bar Council of India is therefore entitled to oversee the minimum qualification and finally the other legal education criteria that such colleges must adhere to. In the case of "Bar Council of Uttar Pradesh v. State of Uttar Pradesh (*Bar Council of Uttar Pradesh vs State of U.P. & Another on 1 December, 1972*, n.d.)," the Supreme Court recognised the importance of legal education. It stated that the Bar Council of India's responsibilities extend beyond the professional level to include regulatory aspects and legal education in general. Using the backing of the Legal Education Committee, Bar Council of India Trust, and Directorate of Legal Education,

the Bar Council of India has made significant progress in the field of legal education, as follows:

- National Law University and Deemed University status are being established.
- Standardised textbooks were developed for all legal professions.
- Education for young lawyers has begun.
- A legal assistance clinic program has been established to enhance the legal situation.

5.2.2 Role of the University Grants Commission (UGC)

For the improvement of standards of legal education, the UGC, under the direction of the universities and the concerned authorities, does all that is required to promote and coordinate university education and yet ensure standards of teaching, examination, and research.

In the exercise of this role, it shall grant and disburse grants to universities incorporated and established under a Central Act, for the development and upkeep of such a university or general or specific purpose; further, it may grant and disburse out of the Commission's fund such grants to other universities as it may consider fit for purposes of development or general or specific purposes; also, it may grant and supply out of the Commission's fund grants to bodies notified as universities by a Central Government notification; advise to any university measures necessary for the development of university education and advise the university measures necessary for the implementation of such advice; amongst other roles. So far as legal education is concerned, the UGC is answerable for the terms and conditions of the appointment of the teachers, and for the furnishing of necessary financial assistance for infrastructure and for standardisation of quality of education. "The role of BCI is limited to LL.B., and its regulatory role is limited to professional legal education; liberal legal education should be left to the UGC, which is answerable for the LL.M. course and its teaching." Though the need to teach law is an LL.M., an LL.B. degree is still required to be admitted as an Advocate (Law Bhoomi, 2023).

6.0 The Future of AI-Based Legal Education in India

The advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming the landscape of legal education to a great extent. With AI technologies becoming an integral part of legal practice, it is crucial that legal academia also transform its shape to prepare students for an increasingly dynamic professional future. The chapter discusses the future of legal education in the AI era, prioritising four key areas of discussion: the redefinition of teaching roles, the redefinition of curricula, the facilitation of continuous legal education, and the strengthening of inclusive and accessible legal education (UPES, 2025).

Legal educators need to transition from being merely knowledge transmitters to digital pedagogy curators, ethical tutors, and interdisciplinary education designers. Institutions need to re-imagine themselves as dynamic centres of innovation that are proactively engaged with technological innovation. Law schools need to establish Legal AI Laboratories, collaborate with developers of technology, and offer faculty development in emerging artificial intelligence tools relevant to litigation, regulatory issues, and alternative dispute resolution.

Curricular reform and literacy in AI for law students are the prerequisites for integrating AI into legal education. Mandatory foundation courses in AI and Law, elective courses in Legal Data Analytics, Computational Legal Studies, and RegTech, experiential learning through AI-powered legal research tools such as Lexis+ AI or Blue J Legal, and integration of simulation-based learning are some of the main reforms. These reforms would make future lawyers technologically sophisticated and regulatory aware to practice within AI-enabled legal systems. Learning lifelong and Continuous Professional Development (CPD) is required because AI compresses the ageing cycle of legal knowledge. Legal practitioners must continually update their skill sets to keep themselves current in a world wherein the law, precedents, and compliance regimes are constantly changing in real-time. AI can make CPD modules personalised through intelligent tutoring systems, on-demand legal analytics dashboards, smart CPD tracking platforms, bar councils and judicial academies integrating AI-based continuing education.

The AI vision for inclusive and accessible legal education is to make legal education more accessible to everyone by bridging geographical, linguistic, and economic divides. Some of the features that can enable this transformation are AI tutors that are multilingual, speech-to-text and text-to-speech technologies for visually or hearing-impaired learners, adaptive learning software, and low-cost open-access legal MOOCs expertly curated using AI that can recommend individualised legal pathways.

Briefly, the future of legal education is inextricable from the development of AI. For law schools to be

relevant, they will need to redirect their pedagogy, retrain faculty, and reconfigure curricula to cultivate critical, ethical, and tech-enabled legal minds. Balance between innovation and integrity, and access and accountability is central to the development of a legal academic culture that is as equitable as it is enlightened.

7.0 Suggestions For Improvement of Legal Education in India

Indian legal education can be enhanced by focusing on practical skills, increased professional exposure, and communication with foreign law universities. Practicals and internships are essential in lawyer skills development. Foreign collaborations with law schools provide case laws and research papers to improve competence.

- Professors and guest lecturers play a vital role in moulding excellent lawyers out of good students. Good professors and guest lectures by prominent legal minds are what law schools require to place law's realities into perspective and raise motivation levels. Closing the budget gap between bar leaders and educators can induce new professionals to consider an academic career. A pilot program should establish a reward system with public-private partnerships, greater autonomy, and stronger fiscal incentives.
- Law libraries are essential. Every law school needs to link global knowledge with state-of-the-art research infrastructure. Library access for experienced lawyers across the nation is imperative for maximum impact in cities and towns in India.

The nation requires a national program that will link placements and internships, since the system is not in place at the moment. The opportunities reach a few students automatically because they know people, and others who are just as qualified but less known are not considered. These measures can enhance legal education in India and bring in talented lawyers.

8.0 Conclusion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into legal education marks a pivotal moment, offering both opportunities for pedagogical innovation and significant ethical, regulatory, and fairness challenges. This study highlights key concerns such as algorithmic bias, academic integrity issues, data privacy, and the complexities surrounding intellectual property in AI-driven legal educational models. It emphasises the necessity for law schools to redesign curricula, empower faculty, and embed AI literacy while ensuring fairness and inclusion. Achieving successful AI integration in legal teaching requires a human-centred, rights-based approach that upholds justice, critical thinking, and scholarly integrity. To this end, law schools must establish clear policies regarding AI usage, while the Bar Council of India and the University Grants Commission should develop AI-specific academic standards. Furthermore, technologists should strive to create transparent, accessible, and ethically sound tools. Only through collaborative efforts among educators, regulators, and innovators can India establish a future-proof legal education system that is fair, equitable, and ethically robust.

9.0 References

Ahmad, Shoyaib & Yadav, Raj. (2025). Transforming Legal Education in India: The Role of Clinical Legal Education and Trial Advocacy. 10.33774/coe-2025-12ncq.

Baxi, U. (1982). *The crisis of the Indian legal system***.** Vikas.

Bhatia, G. (2017). The Supreme Court's right to privacy judgment. *Economic and Political Weekly, 52*(44), 22–25.

Clarke, R., & Lancaster, T. (2013). Commercial aspects of contract cheating. *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education***,** 219–224. https://doi.org/10.1145/2462476.2462497

Corrales Compagnucci, M., Fenwick, M., Haapio, H., & Vermeulen, E. P. M. (2022). Integrating law, technology, and design: Teaching data protection and privacy law in a digital age. *International Data Privacy Law, 12*(3), 239–252. https://doi.org/10.1093/idpl/ipac012

Dembe, A. (2024). Advancing Personalized Learning through Educational Artificial Intelligence: Challenges, Opportunities, and Future Directions. Research Gate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380929151_Advancing_Personalized_Learning_through_Educational_Artificial_Intelligence_Challenges_Opportunities_and_Future_Directions.

Eastern Book Company & Ors. v. D.B. Modak & Anr.**,** No. 1062099 (India 2007). https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1062099/

Getty Images (US), Inc. v. Stability AI, Inc., No. 1:23-cv-00135 (Court Listener 2023). https://www.courtlistener.com/docket/66788385/getty-images-us-inc-v-stability-ai-inc/

Hassan, M. T. (2024). The perils and promises of artificial intelligence. *The Indian Journal of Law and Technology, 19***(2),** 1–36. https://doi.org/10.55496/UDOW1140

Human rights in the age of platforms. (2019). MIT Press. https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262039055/human-rights-in-the-age-of-platforms/

Indian Medical Association v. V.P. Shantha & Ors., No. 723973 (India 1995). https://indiankanoon.org/doc/723973/

Kapadia, I. A. S. (2023, August 22). Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023: A brief analysis. *Bar and Bench - Indian Legal News*. https://www.barandbench.com/law-firms/view-point/digital-personal-data-protection-act-2023-a-brief-analysis

Krishnan, J. K. (2004). Professor Kingsfield goes to Delhi: American academics, the Ford Foundation, and the development of legal education in India. *The American Journal of Legal History, 46*(4), 447–499. https://doi.org/10.2307/3692406

Kwid, G., Sarty, N., & Yang, D. (2024). A review of AI tools: Definitions, functions, and applications for K-12 education. *AI, Computer Science and Robotics Technology*. https://doi.org/10.5772/acrt.20240048

Law Bhoomi. (2023, October 5). Legal education scenario in India. *Law Bhoomi*. https://lawbhoomi.com/legal-education-scenario-in-india/

Leenes, R. (n.d.). Quantitative legal prediction and the rule of law. Retrieved July 3, 2025, from [URL not provided]

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., & Forcier, L. B. (n.d.). An argument for AI in education. Retrieved July 2, 2025, from [URL not provided]

Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India Ministry of Law and Justice, No. 168671544 (India 2018). https://indiankanoon.org/doc/168671544/

Pathan, S. A. (2025). Legal education in India: Historical evolution and the NEP 2020 vision. *International Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research, 7*(1). https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i01.34995

Peres, R., Schreier, M., Schweidel, D., & Sorescu, A. (2023). On ChatGPT and beyond: How generative artificial intelligence may affect research, teaching, and practice. *International Journal of Research in Marketing, 40*(2), 269–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2023.03.001

Public Interest Foundation v. Union of India, No. 146283621 (India 2018). https://indiankanoon.org/doc/146283621/

Rapid Innovation, Understanding Natural Language Processing and Its Applications, https://www.rapidinnovation.io/post/natural-language-processing-what-it-is-and-how-to-use-it (last visited Jul. 2, 2025).

Roy, M. (2017). [Review of the book *Weapons of Math Destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*, by C. O'Neil]. *College & Research Libraries, 78*(3), 400–401. https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.78.3.16599

Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education*. Polity Press. https://research.monash.edu/en/publications/should-robots-replace-teachers-ai-and-the-future-of-education

Sharma, M. (2023). India's courts and artificial intelligence: A future outlook. *LeXonomica, 15*(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.18690/lexonomica.15.1.99-120.2023

Shreya Singhal v. U.O.I., No. 110813550 (India 2015). https://indiankanoon.org/doc/110813550/

Singh, M. (n.d.). Review of the role of artificial intelligence in the life of the legal profession. Retrieved July 3, 2025, from [URL not provided]

The Legal School. (n.d.). AI for law students: Guide for the future of legal education. Retrieved July 6, 2025, from https://thelegalschool.in/blog/ai-for-law-students

Thomson Reuters. (n.d.). CoCounsel: One GenAI assistant for professionals. Retrieved July 3, 2025, from https://www.thomsonreuters.com/en/cocounsel

UNESCO. (n.d.). *AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers*. UNESCO Digital Library. Retrieved April 27, 2025, from https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000376709

United Kingdom. (1988). *Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988*. Statute Law Database. Retrieved June 14, 2024, from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1988/48/contents

Unni Krishnan v. State of Andhra Pradesh (1993). iPleaders. Retrieved July 3, 2025, from https://blog.ipleaders.in/unnikrishnan-vs-state-of-andhra-pradesh-1993/

UPES. (2025, May 30). Law & AI: Transforming legal practice with technology. *UPES Blog*. https://www.upes.ac.in/blog/law/ai-in-law-field

Vahid Jadidi. (2025). The impact of artificial intelligence on judicial decision-making processes. https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.15660093

Vieriu, A. M., & Petrea, G. (2025). The impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on students' academic development. *Education Sciences, 15*(**3**), Article 343. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15030343

Wang, T., Zuo, Y., Manda, T., Hwarari, D., & Yang, L. (2025). Harnessing artificial intelligence, machine learning and deep learning for sustainable forestry management and conservation: Transformative potential and future perspectives. *Plants, 14*(7), Article 998. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants14070998

Xu, Y., Liu, X., Cao, X., Huang, C., Liu, E., Qian, S., Liu, X., Wu, Y., Dong, F., Qiu, C.-W., Qiu, J., Hua, K., Su, W., Wu, J., Xu, H., Han, Y., Fu, C., Yin, Z., Liu, M., … Zhang, J. (2021). Artificial intelligence: A powerful paradigm for scientific research. *The Innovation, 2*(4), Article 100179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100179