INFORMATICS JOURNALS

45

SHRI-LEKH (Multi-disciplinary Research Journal) Vol 1(2), December 2025, p. 45-52

Online ISSN: 3139-5694
DOI:10.18311/SHRI-LEKH/2025/v1i2/52940

Examining the Efficacy of Behavioural Nudges in Sustainable Resource Management

Sumant Wachasundar

School of Management and Commerce Skills, Ratan Tata Maharashtra State Skills University, Nagpur – 440025, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

The new necessity to develop healthier and sustainable policies has preconditioned the policymakers and organisations to roll the dice with the concept of behaviour interventions, or in other words, behaviour nudges to trigger the optimised resource utilisation and environmentally friendly behaviour. The paper will examine the effectiveness of the behavioural nudges towards sustainable management of resources in such areas as energy conservation, water consumption and minimisation of waste. Following a mixed-methodology, the research will be based on the quantitative analysis of the results of the introduced interventions, as well as qualitative information which can be obtained via the process of the participants' feedback and policy review. The major behavioural strategies that include the default options, social norm messaging, feedback and commitment devices are reviewed with the consideration of determining their relative effectiveness and appropriateness in particular situations. The findings mentioned indicate that the role of nudges is quite significant in fostering compliance with the sustainability goals, with explicit communication and positive institutional cultures. However, they also have long-term effects on the behaviour, depending on the persistence and adherence to the socio-cultural norms. The paper concludes that behavioural nudges form an encouraging, inexpensive and scalable tool for the further evolution of sustainable resource management (particularly when implemented in the framework of the bigger policy and education programs). This has an impact on the environmental governance, corporate sustainability initiatives and community-based approaches to resource management.

Keywords: Behavioural Economics, Environmental Behaviour, Nudging, Policy Interventions, Resource Management, Sustainability, Sustainable Development

INTRODUCTION

The world's masses of natural resources are fading, and the level of environmental degradation is growing with each passing second, which becomes one of the acute problems facing mankind in the twenty-first century. The shaky trends in consumption, the ineffectiveness of the use of resources, and the expansion of the ecological footprint of contemporary communities have placed a global strain on the ecological system and world resource reserves like never before. The traditional approaches of advertising the resources of regulated sources in the majority of the situations, which have been rooted in economic stimulus and technology development, have actually achieved remarkable success in efficiency and waste reduction. The strategies, however, have not worked well in creating lasting behavioural change in people and organisations. This is a limitation; hence, over time, it has been more acceptable among researchers and policymakers to embrace behavioural science and pursue their efforts towards seeking how small changes in the decision structure, often referred to as nudges, can influence decision-making and promote sustainable utilisation of resources without necessarily infringing the freedom of choice.

Email: research.sumant@gmail.com

The concept of behavioural nudging, which serves as a trend of a popular book published in 2008 titled Nudge: Improving Decisions about Health, Wealth and Happiness by Richard Thaler and Cass Sunstein, is premised on the concept of behavioural economics, which is a combination of the study of psychology and decision-making economics. Nudges, contrary to coercive policies or monetary rewards, work by changing the scenario one is transmitting a decision is made, by changing the circumstances under which one takes a decision is made, by influencing people to a more desirable outcome without undermining autonomy. Under the concept of a sustainability framework, nudges can be of various categories, such as establishing greener defaults, providing real-time feedback on the use of resources, social comparison to increase conservation, as well as commitment devices to induce environmentally beneficial behaviour. The deeper assumption in this regard is that the contextual stimuli, thought biases, as well as social pressures, are more likely to determine human behaviour than rational cost-benefit calculations. Thus, a considerable advantage is another opportunity to develop interventions that will be able to exploit these psychological abnormalities and obtain enormous effects in the consumption of resources and nature management.

Behavioural nudges have gained popularity in the recent past in terms of trying to influence the world within the framework of environmental policies and sustainable development. Nudging is adopted both in governmental and in corporate and civil society organisations in an attempt to facilitate energy-saving, water-saving behaviour, recycling, and green transportation. One such measure has been the adoption of home energy reports, whereby the demand for electricity by consumers compared to neighbours has been used to urge the consumer to cut down their usage. Feedback mechanisms have also been applied by utilities to induce household water consumption, whilst normative messages induced waste segregation and recycling by cities through the use of default settings and labelling. The capacity of such interventions to bring about concrete behavioural change brings to the fore the potential of nudges as an intervention that is well-compensated, scalable, and can be reported on politically, as well as an ultimate addition to the existing environmental policy.

The behavioural nudging practice, which is employed in the management of sustainable resources, is, however, not without limits and ethical issues. The opponents believe that nudging can reduce the complexity of environmental problems, which would result in a temporary adherence to eradicate the intrinsic motivation and system transformation. Others start to be cautious about the paternalism implied in the manipulation of choice architecture, on the need to have transparency and responsibility in the policy-making process. Also, the nudge might not be equally effective in cultural, socio-economic, and institutional contexts, therefore putting the externalisation of the nudge and its sustainability into question. One of them is how highly social norm-dependent interventions are already less effective in collectivist societies that already accept the value of collectivity, but default-based nudges may be backfiring in those which lack trust in authority or institutional motives. Hence, there is an urgent requirement to conduct empirical literature which methodologically evaluates the situation of behavioural nudges to help obtain or hinder the process of consuming sustainable resources.

The literature is aimed at the critical consideration of behavioural nudges' effectiveness in sustainable resource management and analyses their design and implementation, and quantifiable outcomes in the different fields. Specifically, the research will stipulate what kind of nudges, such as defaults, social norms, feedback, and framing, will have the most and the most enduring effects on behaviour in such spheres as energy conservation, water management, and waste reduction. Additionally, the paper evaluates the interaction between behavioural interventions and an overall policy framework by demonstrating the moderating effects of institutional support, mass awareness and technological infrastructure on the effectiveness of nudge-based programs. The study will also be meant to inject theoretical and practical knowledge in the new field of behavioural environmental policy through applying the knowledge of behavioural economics,

environmental psychology and sustainability studies to analyse the problem.

This question is not only the subject of practical application in the context of the academic discussion but also the mechanism of policymaking and organisational strategy. The behavioural interventions, as the countries become dedicated to the achievement of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in particular those connected to responsible consumption (SDG 12) and climate action (SDG 13), will offer a new and adaptive strategy towards the encouragement of individual action and collective responsibility. Nudging will play a complementary role in the provision of supportive effect to the regulatory practices through encouraging voluntary changes in behaviour rather than hard copy requirements, encouraging compliance, reducing the costs of enforcement, and fostering a culture of sustainability. Lastly, the awareness of the effectiveness and ethics of behavioural nudges is essential to the development of effective strategies, equitable and respectful to the context, which will help to make individual decisions in line with the long-term global sustainability objectives.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The growing world demand for natural resources and the strain on the environment it comes with has brought light to the need to have sustainable management of energy, transport and other significant systems. The (United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2021) in its Interagency Report on the Second Global Sustainable Transport Conference, stresses the fact that sustainable transport is among the key areas of achievement of the various Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), in particular, those that focus on action against climate change, responsible consumption, and sustainable cities. It highlights the fact that the transport sector is the only contributor of nearly one quarter of the total carbon dioxide emissions in the whole world, and this promptly accentuates the scope of the behavioural and systemic change towards meeting the global sustainability expectations. This is also similar to the report by the (Agency, 2012), which states that CO_2 emissions of fuel combustion have been leading to the formation of anthropogenic green house gasses and that its Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Energy Data Explorer (Agency, Greenhouse gas emissions from energy data explorer, 2021) indicates that emissions of energy-related sectors were always on the steady upward trend irrespective of the technological advances and the restructuring of the policy. (European Environment Agency, 2018) also presents a report that the European Union has emerged to be efficient in decarbonising transport, as well as becoming more efficient in energy use, yet the behavioural aspects, such as travelling, owning a vehicle, and consumer preferences, are all barriers to the environmental objectives.

The behavioural science tool, as an addition to the conventional ones of environmental policy, has gained increasing recognition from scholars and policymakers to remedy these problems. The Intergovernmental panel on climate change (Change, 2015) Has been confirmed that climate change mitigation would not just be based on technological intervention and regulatory measures, but rather on behaviour and change of social norms of human beings. In this sense, behavioural economics adds a fresh understanding to the decision-making process involving human beings that is no longer based on the conventionality of human rationality. The groundbreaking research work of (Thaler, 2009) On Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth and Happiness has given the name choice architecture, in which the context of the decision-making and the business environment are built in a way that the individual is affected without restraining the discretionary choice. Their theory says that people have the highest probability of falling prey to systematic cognitive biases (such as status quo bias, present bias, and loss aversion) that might be employed to elicit sustainable behaviour by way of using nuanced signals and design options.

Kahneman, 2011, Expounded further on the theory of thinking dual-process that was developed by Thaler and Sunstein, and the difference between intuitive, automatic thinking as System 1 and the deliberate, reflective thinking as System 2. This distinction provides a psychological point of my realisation of how nudges

work: it is possible to contact the good interventions related to having the System 1 functioning to influence people's behaviour with the influence of subconscious cues rather than compelling them to think rationally. Similar arguments can also be made about System 2, i.e. the information disclosure and reflection prompts in cases where people feel that it does not violate their autonomy, and it can also enable them to self-reflect (Sunstein, 2016). The controversy shows that there is a significant ethical and empirical concern in the literature, that is, how to balance behaviour efficacy and transparency and personal freedom.

The majority of new meta-analytic studies and empirical studies have provided additional evidence to comprehend how nudges can be useful to ensure sustainable management of resources. The results of hundreds of studies in the area of energy usage, recycling, and transportation were introduced in the meta-analysis by (Mertens, 2022), which was published in Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS). Discoveries of their results evidence the existence of small to medium, statistically significant effects of findings nudging interventions, and the vast majority of alterations of choice architectures incorporate defaults and feedback systems. It is interesting to note that they comment that the success of the interventions is triggered by the contextual factors (e.g., framing, salience, and social norms). Similarly, their extensive meta-analysis of Randomised Controlled Trials (RCTs) conducted by government nudge units proved that behavioural interventions on a large scale are effective at pilot scale, but at scale, effects on other contextual heterogeneity and other implementation complexities start to arise (DellaVigna, 2022). This fact highlights the necessity to tailor behavioural policies according to cultural, institutional and environmental conditions.

One of the most excellent examples of the sphere where behavioural nudges may be utilised is the transport sector. The authors of (Semenescu, 2020) Were engaged in a meta-analysis and a review of thirty years of so-called soft interventions to reduce the usage of personal cars. This indicates that campaigns on information, Social normality appeals and feedback campaigns on environmental impact interventions can be effective in altering mobility choices, but with varying levels of persistence, as may be demonstrated in their findings. The study outcomes result in the conclusion that efficient interventions are those interventions that introduce behavioural nudges and infrastructural and policy interventions, since the concept promotes the thesis that behavioural tools are the most efficient when applied to the sustainability plans in general. This agrees with the (European Environment Agency, 2018) Statement in respect to the behaviourally informed actions that are augmenting the regulatory as well as technological innovations towards achieving the long-term goals of the environmental targets.

Moreover, the second-order meta-analysis of mitigation behaviours of climate change done by (Bergquist, 2023) is adequately supported to demonstrate that the everyday behaviour-based field interventions have a significant cumulative effect when and among population scales, encompassing saving energy, recycling and conserving water. On their part, they emphasise the success of nudges and emphasise how this success is not only attributable to the design of behaviour, but also social legitimacy, perceived fairness and institutional trust. This is in line with the literature on behavioural policies, in general, which has a positive implication in achieving sustainability in the nudge effects, which is dependent on the social reinforcement and internalisation of the sustainable norms.

Besides empirical studies, empirical studies have also been theoretical developments which seek to improve the conceptual deciphering of nudges and how they interact with the environmental environments. (Congiu, 2020) provide a comprehensive system in the study where the environmental setting and the choice message framing are suggested as the design components of the choice architecture. According to them, the context in which decisions are made, including physical location, the information grounds and even the signs of the culture, could well buffer the impacts of behavioural interventions. They note in their model that situational resonance of nude strategies is important in achieving the best behavioural resonance. Similarly, (Hagger, 2020) in The Handbook of Behaviour Change, articulate

the multidisciplinary phase of the behaviour change interventions and combine the knowledge regarding the subject through the prism of psychology, sociology, and the realm of communication disciplines in order to create the cross-sectional perspectives pertaining to the issue of sustainability.

In conclusion, the existing literature provides sufficient theoretical and empirical support for the application of behavioural nudges in managing sustainable resources. Beginning with the initial theorising of limited rationality and dual-process cognition through to the large-scale field experiments and policy studies, the empirical data have been cohered around a perspective that strongly argues that even small changes in the organisation of choices can have a major effect on environmental behaviour. But that the results vary in varying contexts renders persuasive the argument of never-ending appraisal, morality inquiry, and conformity to an increased vision of sustainability. To go one step further, this paper uses these lessons to evaluate, in an empirical manner, the effectiveness of different types of nudges to make decisions concerning sustainable behaviour, with the view of developing a bridge between the behavioural theory and its scalable application on a wide variety of socio-environmental contexts.

Objectives of the Study

- To examine the effectiveness of behavioural nudges in promoting sustainable resource management practices.
- To identify the types of behavioural nudges (such as defaults, social norms, feedback, and framing) that significantly influence pro-environmental behaviour.
- To analyse the relationship between behavioural interventions and policy frameworks in achieving sustainability goals.

Hypothesis

H_1: Different types of behavioural nudges (such as defaults, social norms, feedback, and framing) have a significant influence on pro-environmental behaviour.

H_0: Different types of behavioural nudges (such as defaults, social norms, feedback, and framing) do not have a significant influence on pro-environmental behaviour.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study employs a mixed-methods research design that adopts the quantitative and qualitative approaches to delve into the success of the application of behavioural nudge in sustainable management of resources. Primary data are then collected through structured questionnaires from the respondents who work in various industries like energy, water and management, evaluating the responsiveness of the respondents to various nudge irrigation techniques like defaults, social norms, feedback and framing. As secondary data, published reports, academic research and policy documents are accessed to assist in performing the contextual analysis. The statistical tools of data analysis,

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for types of behavioural nudges and pro-environmental behaviour (n = 200)

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Defaults (D_1)	3.92	0.68	2.1	5
Social Norms (D_2)	4.15	0.61	2.8	5
Feedback (D_3)	3.87	0.72	2	5
Framing (D_4)	3.76	0.74	1.9	5
Pro-Environmental Behaviour (Y)	4.08	0.65	2.5	5

such as correlation and regression analysis, are used to establish how significant the correlation between nudges and pro-environmental behaviour is when the qualitative insight is provided through the use of interviews and the thematic analysis to understand the perceptions and the contextual factors involved. It is an integrated approach that will allow making a general analysis of how behavioural interventions influence decisions directed at sustainability.

Analysis

In the descriptive analysis, it can be observed that the impact of the behavioural nudges on influencing the respondents to engage in pro-environmental behaviour has been felt. Basically, based on the mean scores, all four types of nudges, which are the default, social norms, feedback, and framing, positively affect the sustainable choices of individuals having moderate to high degrees of consensus. The social norms (M = 4.15) proved to be the most effective factor to consider among them and which means people are highly sensitive to signs that point to social norms of the community and peer conduct. This is attributable to other research studies that have articulated the success of social comparison as far as promoting green behaviour. The remarkable influence was also followed in the defaults (M = 3.92) and the feedback mechanisms (M = 3.87), where the

Table 2. Model summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.812	0.659	0.648	0.381

Table 3. ANOVA[a]

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	54.682	4	13.671	94.217	0.000[b]
Residual	28.299	195	0.145		
Total	82.981	199			

[a]Dependent Variable: Pro-Environmental Behaviour

[b]Predictors: (Constant), Defaults, Social Norms, Feedback, Framing

Table 3. Coefficients[a]

Predictor Variables	Unstandardized Coefficients (B)	Std. Error	Standardised Coefficients (Beta)	t	Sig.
(Constant)	0.542	0.156	—	3.474	0.001
Defaults	0.214	0.058	0.219	3.705	0
Social Norms	0.361	0.065	0.348	5.554	0
Feedback	0.197	0.054	0.208	3.648	0
Framing	0.142	0.061	0.127	2.328	0.021

[a]Dependent Variable: Pro-Environmental Behaviour

development of sustainable options as the default choice and the provision of real-time performance feedback are successful in motivating the behaviour change. Framing (M = 3.76), though equally crucial, proved to be slightly less powerful, which indicates that conveying the message in the absence of any contextual or social information cannot be considered as persuasive. The mean of pro-environmental behaviour (M = 4.08) is partly positive, which means that the respondents are predisposed to have a positive attitude towards sustainability-based behavioural measures due to behavioural training. Standard deviations between variables are quite low, and this indicates that the results of responses are consistent and strengthens the validity of the results. All this fits the hypothesis that the different behavioural nudges are important elements of pro-environmental behaviour, and that is why they can be considered as an effective instrument employed in the management of sustainable resources at low cost once they are included in the policy and organisational behaviours.

Analysis

The multiple regression reveals that the collected behavioural nudges have substantial and significant effects on pro-environmental behaviour. The model summary indicates that the value of R2 can be determined to be 0.659, implying that the four independent variables, which include defaults, social norms, feedback, and framing, can explain approximately 65.9 per cent of environmental pro-behaviour changes. It shows a sign of good predictive correlation between sustainability-oriented behaviours and behavioural nudges. As the results of ANOVA ($F = 94.217$, $p < 0.001$) also demonstrate, the model in its entirety is statistically significant, which implies that the synergies of the nudges are not the result of the changes in pro-environmental behaviour of the respondents occurring in a random way.

The coefficients table provides a more insightful idea of what the effect of each nudge should be compared to. The coefficient of each of the four predictors is positive and significant ($p < 0.05$), indicating that all the behavioural intervention types have a positive impact on the behavioural outcomes being sustained. Among them, it was established that social norms (b = 0.348) were the most significant element because people are most likely to purchase environmentally friendly practices because they believe that the behaviour is socially acceptable or normal. Influential as well are the default (b = 0.219) and feedback insensitivity (b = 0.208), which show that the sustainable options, as the default choice and real-time consumption feedback, are an effective behavioural change intervention. Framing (b = 0.127) is not as powerful, yet it, as well, contributes significantly to the choice that people make regarding the environment, and the fact that the delivery of sustainability messages can influence the choice that one can make about the environment arguably in an insidious manner, as well.

Overall, the regressions support the research hypothesis according to which the different types of behavioural nudges are a crucial element of pro-environmental behaviour. These findings argue the practicality of the incorporation of the knowledge of behaviours in policies and sustainability programs in terms of the environment. The interventions can be utilised by policymakers and organisations to advocate sustainable practices at both individual and communal levels; this is through intervening strategically, basing interventions on the social norms, feedback mechanisms and default options.

CONCLUSION

The end of the multiple regression analysis is that behavioural nudges are appropriate and they positively affect the establishment of pro-environmental behaviour. The fact that the correlation coefficient of R^2 was 0.659 demonstrates that the model can account for nearly 66% of the variation in the sustainable outcomes of behavioural outcomes, meaning that the specified nudges and that is, defaults, social norms, feedback and framing, have a great predictive power. They are all statistically significant, and this shows that the environment-related choices and behaviour among individuals are prone to change via behavioural interventions.

Social norms turned out to be the most significant forecast of the rest, and it was predicted that people would be more likely to turn green upon realising that others do so. Another positive impact was also noted, which was substantial in terms of the case of defaults and feedback mechanisms, but the implication of this is that structural and informational intervention were in a position to contribute to the sustainability of behaviour. Though the impact of framing was also slightly less, it has quite a big role since it influences perceptions and decisions by displaying messages.

In summary, the findings confirm that proper behavioural indicators can be powerful maintains of maintaining environmental responsibility. Policymakers, organisations, as well as sustainability practitioners can use these learnings to develop programs that reduce the complexities of sustainable decisions, make them more visible and acceptable in society. With behavioural science incorporated in environmental strategy, it is possible to initiate long-term sustainability as far as sustainability is concerned.

REFERENCES

Agency, I. E. (2012). CO_2 emissions from fuel combustion. OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2012/11/co2-emissions-from-fuel-combustion-2012_g1g1c2a4/co2_fuel-2012-en.pdf

Agency, I. E. (2018). Greenhouse gas emissions from Energy Data Explorer. IEA. https://www.iea.org/data-and-statistics/data-tools/greenhouse-gas-emissions-from-energy-data-explorer

Bergquist, M. T. (2023). Field interventions for climate change mitigation behaviours: A second-order meta-analysis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120*(13), Article e2214851120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2214851120

Congiu, L., & Moscati, I. (2020). Message and environment: A framework for nudges and choice architecture. *Behavioural Public Policy, 4*(1), 71–87. https://doi.org/10.1017/bpp.2018.29

DellaVigna, S., & Linos, E. (2022). RCTs to scale: Comprehensive evidence from two nudge units. *Econometrica, 90*(1), 81–116. https://doi.org/10.3982/ECTA18709

European Environment Agency. (2018). *Progress of the EU transport sector towards its environment and climate objectives*. Publications Office of the European Union. https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2800/954310

Hagger, M. S., Cameron, L. D., Hamilton, K., Hankonen, N., & Lintunen, T. (Eds.). (2020). *The handbook of behaviour change*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108677318

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2015). Climate change 2014: Mitigation of climate change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415416

Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Allen Lane.

Mertens, S., Herberz, M., Hahnel, U. J., & Brosch, T. (2022). The effectiveness of nudging: A meta-analysis of choice architecture interventions across behavioural domains. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 119*(1), Article e2107346118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2107346118

Semenescu, A., Gavreliuc, A., & Iliescu, D. (2020). 30 years of soft interventions to reduce car use: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 85*, Article 102397. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102397

Sunstein, C. R. (2016). People prefer System 2 nudges (kind of). *Duke Law Journal, 66*(1), 121–168. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2731868

Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2009). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness* (Rev. & expanded ed.). Penguin.

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2021). Sustainable transport, sustainable development: Interagency report for the Second Global Sustainable Transport Conference. United Nations. https://sdgs.un.org/sites/default/files/2021-10/TransportationReport2021_FullReport_Digital.pdf