Journal of Contemporary Law and Society, *Volume 2, Issue 4, December 2025, pp. 206-211*

TECHNICAL ARTICLE
Online ISSN: 3139-1079
Doi: 10.18311/JCLS/2025/v2i4/52691

Online ISSN : XXXX-XXXX

Journal of Contemporary Law and Society

Contents available at: *www.informaticsjournals.co.in/index.php/jcls*

JCLS

Courtroom Catalysts: The Supreme Court's Role in advancing Legal Aid Rights

Pallabi Ghosh* and **AparupPakhira**

Department of Juridical Science, JIS University, Agarpara, Kolkata - 700109, West Bengal, India; pallabi.ghosh@hotmail.com, aparuppakhira@gmail.com

Abstract

This article examines the judiciary's catalytic role in expanding legal aid rights, using a comparative lens to evaluate the frameworks of the United Kingdom, United States, and India, with special attention to the State of West Bengal. It first analyses the legislative structures, eligibility principles, and institutional models governing legal aid in the UK and USA, highlighting both the achievements and persistent limitations in ensuring access for marginalized populations. Shifting focus to India, the paper explores landmark Supreme Court judgments- including D. K. Basu, Hussainara Khatoon, Khatri, and Sheela Barse-those empowered 'free legal aid' as a constitutional right. This paper also highlighted the practical challenges during implementation. The role of the West Bengal State Legal Services Authority and its innovative programs such as Lokadalats and legal aid clinics are situated as promising local solutions amidst broader systemic gaps. International judicial benchmarks, notably from the ECtHR and Inter-American Court of Human Rights, are referenced to contextualise global standards for effective legal assistance. Concluding with actionable recommendations, the article argues that judicial activism, increased funding, public awareness, and technological innovation are essential to embed equal access to justice as a lived reality for vulnerable groups, not merely a constitutional ideal.

Keywords: *Free Legal Aid, Judicial Development, Institutional framework of Legal Aid at U.S.A. and U.K.*

1.0 Introduction

Access to justice is a cornerstone of any democratic society, ensuring that every individual, regardless of economic status or social background, can seek and obtain legal redress. However, for millions around the world, the high cost of legal representation and the complexity of legal systems create significant barriers to justice. Free legal aid emerges as a vital mechanism to bridge this justice gap, empowering marginalised and economically disadvantaged populations to assert their rights and navigate the legal system.

This article undertakes a comparative study of free legal aid systems in the United Kingdom and the United States-two jurisdictions with well-established, yet distinct, frameworks for legal assistance. By examining the legislative foundations, eligibility criteria, and institutional mechanisms in both countries, the study highlights the strengths and challenges inherent in their approaches to ensuring equal access to justice.

The analysis then shifts focus to India, with a particular emphasis on the State of West Bengal. Here, the article explores the initiatives spearheaded by the West Bengal State Legal Services Authority, including the operation of lokadalats, legal aid clinics, and targeted outreach programs for marginalized communities. The effectiveness of these initiatives are further contextualised through landmark judicial pronouncements that have shaped the right to free legal aid in India.

*Author for correspondence

By drawing on comparative insights and local innovations, this article underscores the critical importance of robust legal aid frameworks in upholding the principles of justice, equality, and human dignity. It also seeks to identify practical lessons and policy recommendations that can inform ongoing efforts to close the justice gap, both in India and globally.

2.0 Courtroom Catalysts: The Supreme Court's Role in Advancing Legal Aid Rights

The poor in their contact with the legal system have always been on the wrong side of the law. They have always come across "law for the poor" rather than "law of the poor" [Hussainara Khatoon & Ors *vs* Home Secretary, State of Bihar, (1979)]. As an integral part of the Criminal Justice System, the Supreme Court and different High Courts have enlightened the role of free legal aid.

2.1 D. K. Basu *vs.* State of West Bengal (1997)

Article 22(1) of the constitution guarantees a bundle of rights to the arrested persons. In D. K. Basu, the court while discussing the rights of the arrested person held that it would include the right to the assistance of a lawyer during interrogation. This was now further reiterated and the 11 requirements in the aforesaid citation were spelt out for being followed by the police.

The court also pointed out that failure to comply with these directions would amount to contempt of court. The D. K. Basu directives marked a paradigm shift in Indian criminal procedure by bringing substantive enforcement to constitutional protections. By spelling out enforceable obligations on the police, the Supreme Court not only reaffirmed Article 22(1) but also tied these protections to the right to life and liberty under Article 21. However, implementation gaps persist.

2.2 Hussainara Khatoon *vs.* State of Bihar (1979)

The ruling in Hussainara Khatoon established the connection between Article 21 and Article 39-A, which guarantees the right to free legal assistance. The court was appalled by the circumstances in this case, where thousands of under-trial detainees were left to rot in Bihar jails for years at a time without ever receiving legal counsel.

The court concluded that since free legal representation is definitely a prerequisite for a reasonable, fair, and just process for a person facing criminal accusations, it must be viewed as implicit in the guarantee of Article 21. This is a constitutional entitlement for each accused person who cannot afford legal representation because of financial difficulties.

2.3 Khatri and others *vs.* State of Bihar (1981)

The Hon'ble Supreme Court pronounced a judgement that answered the question that whether the accused has to ask for a lawyer or a lawyer should be made available without being asked. The transformative promise of Khatri remains only partially fulfilled-the indigent still struggle to realize practical justice, hindered by bureaucratic inertia, socioeconomic gaps, and inconsistent follow-through on compensation and aid directives. The disconnect between historic court rulings and the day-to-day legal experiences of India's impoverished and incumbents highlights the need for stronger accountability and implementation systems to guarantee that constitutional rights are not only theoretical but actually available.

2.4 Sheela Barse *vs.* State of Maharashtra (1983)

Journalist and social activist Sheela Barse filed a historic lawsuit against the State of Maharashtra, alleging that female inmates at Bombay Central Jail were being mistreated. The Court highlighted the need to give impoverished accused people legal representation, acknowledging the constitutional mandate under Article 39A, Articles 14 and 21.

In Sheela Barse *vs.* State of Maharashtra, instructions were made about female suspect segregation in police lock-ups, female interrogation techniques, and prompt communication of arrests to Legal Aid Committees. To guarantee compliance, the court further ordered specific judges to make unannounced visits to police detention facilities. This case set precedents for humane treatment and legal assistance for inmates within the criminal court system and emphasised the significance of defending the rights of prisoners, particularly weaker female inmates. Indeed, due to a lack of systemic accountability, resource

limitations, and social barriers, the situation of many impoverished people has not significantly changed.

2.5 Centre for Legal Research *vs.* State of Kerala (1986)

In this case it was questioned whether, and to what extent and under what conditions, the state government should provide funding to social action groups or nonprofit organisations taking part in the legal aid programme. The Supreme Court decided in this case that the success of the legal aid programme depends entirely on public participation. Under Article 39-A of the constitution, which incorporates a Directive Principle of State Policy, the state government is undoubtedly required to establish a comprehensive and efficient legal aid programme in order to ensure that the operation of the legal system promotes justice on the basis of equality.

It was held that if management kept running the organisation, no legal aid programme could last. As such, it was imperative that recipients of legal aid be treated as unique persons. But this would not have been possible without volunteer organisations and social action groups.

The High judicial emphasised significant logistical and infrastructural obstacles to accessing justice in Kerala's isolated and island districts, such as Lakshadweep. These obstacles include a lack of a judicial presence, erratic transportation, and inadequate digital connectivity. These barriers impede the provision of legal aid and keep vulnerable groups out of the legal system. Therefore, despite the existence of institutions, the disparity between legal aid policy and actual access serves as an example of ongoing social justice challenges, as institutional, budgetary, and administrative limitations frequently leave vulnerable people without adequate legal assistance.

2.6 State of Maharashtra *vs.* M.P. Vashi (1995)

In order for accredited law schools to create competent graduates who can provide efficient, free legal services, the Court ordered the state to provide sufficient funding for these institutions. The ruling emphasised that free legal help must never be sacrificed for quality. This reinforced the obligation of the government to proactively support legal education and legal aid to guarantee justice for all under the constitutional framework.

Sunil Chauhan's (Chauhan's, 2025) reflects that Kerala's grassroots legal assistance system provides a concrete example of how the goals of the M.P. Vashi ruling have not been met. Even though there are Legal Services Clinics (LSCs) that are meant to serve as easily accessible entry points for free legal aid, many of them are still underfunded and lack essential infrastructure.

2.7 Madhav Hayawadanrao Hoskot *vs.* State of Maharashtra (1978)

In Dr. Madhav Hoskot *vs*. State of Maharashtra, the Supreme court upheld the mandate that courts make sure inmates receive timely copies of rulings and support to appeal, unless they decline, and that free legal aid is a component of Article 21 read with Article 39-A. Dr. Hoskot's Special Leave Petition was delayed for four years after he was imprisoned for falsifying his degree certificates. The court dismissed the appeal, ruling that delay alone did not warrant overturning concurrent lower court rulings.

However, despite such orders, there are many evidence showing that prison officials frequently fail to provide inmates with timely copies of rulings or adequate legal aid.

2.8 Maneka Gandhi *vs.* Union of India (1978)

Provided as an illustration of the Court's view of the significance of fair judicial procedures to Article 21. The right to appeal is a component of the fair legal process and consists of two crucial parts:

1. Giving the prisoner a copy of the judgement in time for him to file an appeal.
2. Offering free legal services to a prisoner who is incapable of paying for legal representation or is otherwise impoverished.

The court also emphasised Article 142 of the constitution, read in conjunction with Articles 21 and 39-A, which grants the court the power to assign legal representation to an inmate in order to guarantee full justice in the event that the prisoner unable to exercise his constitutional or legal rights.

2.9 Suk Das *vs* Union Territory of Arunachal Pradesh (1986)

In this instance, the appellant is charged with making threats to revoke a public works department employee's

transfer orders. The appellant was charged under section 506 of the Indian penal code. The appellant's financial difficulties prevented him from paying for legal representation, which prevented him from questioning the prosecution's witnesses in cross-examination. The appellant made an attempt to cross-examine the pertinent witnesses. On the other hand, the appellant was given a 2-year sentence in regular jail.

Thereafter, the appellant appealed to the high court. It was alleged that the appellant's defense was not given unfettered legal representation, so tainting the trial. Although the appellant was clearly entitled to free legal help under the constitution, the high court ruled that the decision could not be overturned since the appellant did not request legal aid or seek out additional deputy commissioner assistance. The appellant filed an appeal with the Supreme court after the High court upheld his conviction.

The appellant was not informed of his entitlement to pro bono legal representation, the court claims. He was found guilty despite without having a counsel to defend him. A constitutional defect marred the trial, necessitating the overturning of the appellant's conviction and punishment.

But if the conviction were reversed, the appellant would have to face a fresh trial following the state's mandated provision of free legal counsel. However, the court determined that the appellant was spared a fresh trial. The court accomplished the objective stated in the Constitution by guaranteeing a fair trial in this way.

2.10 Manubhai Pragaji Vashi *vs* State of Maharashtra (1989)

The issue in this case was whether discrimination prevailed on behalf of the government with regards to the establishment of private law colleges in Maharashtra. According to a Supreme court decision, a person has the right to legal representation throughout the whole trial process, including the appeals stage. The court additionally ruled that if someone was denied legal representation at any time during the trial, it is tainted and should be repeated.

2.11 Salem Advocate Bar Assn. vs. Union of India (2002)

The Supreme court had occasion to deal with the utility of ADR systems and we shall refer to the said judgment in Salem Advocate Bar Assn. Speaking for the bench, after referring to sec. 89 of the Code of Civil Procedure, Kirpal CJ emphasized on alternative dispute resolution process and also importance of lokadalats. In the light of the above judgment, it is clear that every case must compulsorily pass through a process where an attempt is made for settlement by ADR procedures.

2.12 MalatiSardar *vs*. State of West Bengal 2017

In a recent ruling, the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court underlined the vital role that free legal aid plays as a component of just judicial processes as guaranteed by Articles 21 and 39A of the Indian constitution. The court observed that the convict's fundamental right had been violated because, for 6 years, no counsel had been promptly appointed to conduct the appeal, even though the appeal papers had been delivered. It reaffirmed that free legal aid must be offered both at the trial and appellate stages, as required by the Legal Services Authorities Act of 1987, and that the ability to appeal is a fundamental human right. In addition to requiring formal communication and action from correctional facilities and legal services authorities to ensure the appointment of qualified attorneys to prosecute appeals promptly, the court established comprehensive procedural directives that require trial judges to inform convicted individuals of their right to legal aid in comprehensible language at the time of sentencing. With stringent requirements on judicial officers and correctional facilities to adhere to and report on implementation, these guidelines seek to successfully operationalize the fundamental right to legal aid, turning it from a theoretical ideal into a living reality.

3.0 Global Benchmarks, Rising the Bar: International Judicial Perspective on Quality and Effective Legal Aid

There have been several landmark decisions by national courts and regional human rights courts, such as the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights that address the quality of free legal aid services and access to justice. Here are a few noteworthy cases.

3.1 European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)

3.1.1 Airey vs. Ireland (1979)

This case is considered a landmark in the development of the right to legal aid in Europe. The ECtHR ruled that Ireland's failure to provide free legal aid in a civil case violated Article 6 (right to a fair trial) of the European Convention on Human Rights. This decision emphasized the importance of ensuring access to justice through legal aid.

3.1.2 Salduz vs. Turkey (2008)

In this case, the ECtHR held that the absence of a lawyer during police custody interviews in criminal cases could lead to a violation of the right to a fair trial (Article 6). The judgment reinforced the necessity of legal assistance during police interrogation, highlighting the role of lawyers in upholding the right to a fair trial.

The ECtHR held that access to a lawyer must be provided, as a rule, from the first police interview, unless compelling reasons justify restricting this right. The court found that denying Salduz a lawyer during interrogation violated his right to a fair trial under Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights.

The ruling established the "Salduz doctrine" requiring early access to legal counsel for suspects and influencing criminal procedure reforms across Europe.

3.2 Inter-American Court of Human Rights

3.2.1 Vargas Areco vs. Paraguay (2006)

The Inter-American Court ruled that Paraguay had violated the right to legal counsel under Article 8 of the American Convention on Human Rights by failing to provide free legal aid to a defendant in a criminal trial. This decision underscored the importance of quality legal representation for accused individuals.

This case underscored states obligations to protect children from military exploitation and ensure accountability for human rights abuses. It also reinforced the interplay between regional human rights law and international instruments like the UN Convention on the rights of the child.

3.2.1 Juan Humberto Sanchez vs. Honduras (2003)

In this case, the court found that Honduras had violated the right to a fair trial and the right to legal assistance under the American Convention on Human Rights by not providing free legal aid in a criminal case. The decision emphasized the need for effective legal representation, particularly for those who cannot afford it.

4.0 National Courts (Various Countries)

4.1 Gideon *vs.* Wainwright (1963), United States

In this landmark U.S. Supreme Court case, the court held that the state's failure to provide an indigent defendant with legal counsel in a criminal trial violated the defendant's right to a fair trial. This decision led to the establishment of the right to counsel in criminal cases in the United States. This case is a landmark U.S. Supreme Court case that established the right to legal counsel for indigent criminal defendants at state courts.

4.2 Hammerton vs. United Kingdom (2016), United Kingdom

In this case, the UK Supreme Court ruled that the lack of legal aid for a father in a family law case, which had potential consequences for his relationship with his child, violated his right to a fair trial under Article 6 of the European convention on human rights. This decision emphasized the importance of legal aid in family law matters.

The impact of this judgment brought a legal reform and the judgment led the UK government to propose a remedial order to allow damages for Article 6 breaches in similar circumstances, though the reform was narrowly tailored to the facts of Hammerton's case. The case highlighted the importance of legal representation and effective remedies in contempt proceedings.

These cases represent just a few examples of landmark decisions by international courts that have emphasized the importance of free legal aid services and access to justice, particularly in the context of the right to a fair trial and the protection of individual rights. They have contributed significantly to the development of legal aid standards and practices around the world.

5.0 The Way Forward: Conclusion and Recommendation

Analyses of the case studies transpire that the judiciary is proactive to ensure the free legal aid for the economically

disadvantageous people. Even if every jurisdiction has created unique institutional structures and legal frameworks, the fundamental objective is always the same: To preserve the idea of equal justice under the law. In contrast to the United States, which depends on a mix of federal money, state initiatives, and pro bono donations, the United Kingdoms's legal aid system is distinguished by complete legislation and centralized administration. To reach underserved communities and solve particular local issues, the State Legal Services Authority in West Bengal has put in place a number of creative initiatives, such as LokAdalats and specialized legal aid clinics.

Courts are always vigilant in this regard; separate statute is there to establish the mechanism to provide legal aid. Indeed, complaints are received regarding free legal aid and there is always a whispering at the court premises alleging poor quality of legal aid. Limited funding, awareness gaps, and evolving eligibility criteria persist across jurisdictions. To strengthen legal aid systems, it is imperative to enhance public awareness, increase funding, and ensure that eligibility criteria's are transparent and inclusive. By learning from best practices across these regions, policymakers can design more effective legal aid frameworks that truly empower all citizens to exercise their legal rights and getredressed.

It is necessary to conduct one in-depth empirical research to assess the mechanism established under State Legal Aid Services Authority Act to find the loopholes and rectify those following the British and US models. Judiciary is also being digitized and technology in the form of artificial intelligence may also be applied to enhance the efficacy of the mechanism.

6.0 Reference

Airey v. Ireland, no. 6289/73, European Court of Human Rights (1979).

Centre for Legal Research v. State of Kerala, AIR 1986 SC 1322.

Chauhan, S. (2025). Grassroots legal aid in India: Challenges and lessons. (2025). https://ilag2025.jura.uni-koeln.de/sites/ilag2025/Session_3_-_Sunil_Chauhan__2_.pdf

D. K. Basu *vs*. State of West Bengal, (1997) 1 SCC 416.

Hammerton v. United Kingdom, no. 6287/10, European Court of Human Rights (2016).

Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 U.S. 335 (1963).

Hussainara Khatoon & Ors. *vs*. Home Secretary, State of Bihar, AIR 1979 SC 1360.

Juan Humberto Sánchez v. Honduras, Inter-American Court of Human Rights, Series C No. 99 (2003).

Khatri & others v. State of Bihar, 1981 SCC (1) 627.

Madhav Hayawadanrao Hoskot v. State of Maharashtra, (1978) 3 SCC 544.

MalatiSardar v. State of West Bengal, 2017 SCC OnLine Cal 9536.

Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India, AIR 1978 SC 597.

Sheela Barse v. State of Maharashtra, 1983 SCC (CRI) 353.

State of Maharastra v. Manubhai Pragaji Vashi, (1995) 5 SCC 730.

Suk Das v. Union Territory of Arunachal Pradesh, (1986) 2 SCC 401.

Salem Advocate Bar Assn. v. Union of India, (2002) 8 SCALE 146.

Salduz v. Turkey, no. 36391/02, European Court of Human Rights (2008).

Vargas Areco v. Paraguay, Inter-American Court of Human Rights, Series C No. 155 (2006).