Influence of Gen Z and Millennials on the Evolution of Marketing Strategies for Sustainability

Rajesha S*
Kiran Kumar M**
Nirmala M***

Abstract

Businesses are implementing marketing methods to appeal to eco-conscious consumers in the wake of growing environmental concerns. With an emphasis on consumer tastes, buying patterns, and brand expectations, this research investigates how Gen Z and Millennials have influenced the development of sustainable marketing tactics. A systematic survey was used to gather primary data from a variety of demographic groups. To determine the main factors impacting purchasing decisions, the gathered data was examined using factor analysis, regression analysis, and descriptive statistics. The results show that digital engagement, authenticity, and openness are important factors in determining marketing tactics. The survey also shows that although Millennials prioritise long-term sustainability commitments, Gen Z is more motivated by social media impact and brand ethics. The findings give marketers practical advice on how to successfully customise their sustainability-focused campaigns. The report also highlights the risks of making false promises and emphasises the importance of customer trust in establishing brand loyalty.

Keywords: Consumer Behaviour, Digital Engagement, Gen Z, Millennials, Sustainable Marketing

Introduction

As customers want more ecologically friendly goods and services, sustainability has emerged as a major economic priority. Consumer tastes have changed as a result of increased awareness of corporate responsibility, environmental challenges, and ethical consumerism. Leading this shift are Gen Z and Millennials, the two most significant consumer groups. Their beliefs and purchasing patterns are changing contemporary marketing tactics and pressuring companies to use sustainability-focused techniques. Sustainability is now a crucial component of marketing strategy rather than an optional one, as this generation favours internet involvement, requires more transparency, and appreciates ethical products. Price, convenience, and brand loyalty have been the main focuses of traditional marketing methods, which sometimes overlook environmental issues. Nonetheless, younger buyers are looking more and more for companies that share their moral principles. The expectations of Gen Z and Millennials on sustainability and conventional marketing strategies are not aligned. By identifying the major factors that impact younger customers' decisions to make sustainable purchases, this study seeks to close this gap and help firms adapt their marketing tactics to changing consumer needs. By providing empirical insights into young customers' purchasing behaviour and its implications for sustainable marketing, this study adds to the corpus of previous research. For marketers, legislators, and companies looking to comprehend and satisfy the sustainability-driven tastes of Gen Z and Millennials, it offers insightful information. It also adds to the body of knowledge already available on ethical branding, digital consumer involvement, and how corporate social responsibility affects brand perception.

Objectives and Scope of the Study

1. To examine the role of Gen Z and Millennials in shaping sustainable marketing strategies.
2. To analyse consumer behaviour trends regarding sustainable products.

*Viday Sanskaar Institute of Science, Commerce and Management, Bengaluru - 560091, Karnataka, India; cimsrajesha@gmail.com
**Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School, Jain (Deemed-to-be University), Bengaluru – 560069, Karnataka, India; kiranm287@gmail.com
***Bengaluru City University School of Management Studies, Bengaluru City University, Bengaluru - 560001, Karnataka, India; nirmala99.bcusms@gmail.com

3. To evaluate the impact of digital engagement, authenticity, and transparency on brand loyalty.

This study focuses on Gen Z and Millennial customers and how they engage with sustainability-driven marketing tactics in a variety of sectors, such as technology, food, and fashion.

Hypotheses

H_0: Digital engagement has no significant influence on sustainable purchase decisions.

H_0: Transparency and authenticity do not significantly impact consumer trust and brand loyalty

Review of Literature

Evolution of Sustainable Marketing

Traditional consumption-driven marketing techniques have given way to initiatives that prioritise sustainability. This change is highlighted by Rajagopal (2019), who notes that companies are adopting eco-friendly methods more frequently in order to satisfy customer demands. Peattie and Belz (2010) highlight how ecological and social factors are included in traditional frameworks in sustainable marketing. While Ottman et al. (2006) address the potential and problems of effectively communicating sustainability initiatives, Kotler (2011) emphasises the role that responsible methods have in helping society and consumers. Leonidou et al. (2013) examine the motivations behind and results of eco-friendly marketing, whereas Martin and Schouten (2012) emphasise striking a balance between consumer value and environmental and social well-being. In order to ensure that aspiring marketers can employ sustainable methods, Gordon et al. (2011) emphasise the importance of sustainability in marketing education.

Generational Differences in Consumer Behaviour: Gen Z vs. Millennials

Effective marketing requires an understanding of generational distinctions. Because of their different socioeconomic backgrounds and access to new technologies, Gen Z and Millennials have different consumption habits. As digital natives, Gen Z places a high value on online buying and instant brand communication (Twenge, 2017). While Priporas et al. (2017) observe their propensity for individualised and multichannel experiences, Southgate (2017) emphasises their preference for transparency and interactive marketing. Contrarily, millennials place a higher value on experiences than on tangible possessions, which affects their purchasing decisions and brand loyalty (Fromm & Read, 2018). Their desire for purpose-driven participation is examined by Turner (2015), which has an impact on consumer choices and employment. According to Dimock (2019), Gen Z places a higher priority on authenticity and corporate social responsibility, whereas Millennials prefer stability and value-driven consumerism (Francis & Hoefel, 2018). These variations encourage brands to adopt ethical and sustainable procedures. Workplace values are another area where generational differences impact consumer behaviour. Parry and Urwin (2011) investigate these attitudes about labour and how they affect interactions with brands.

Role of Digital Engagement, Transparency, and Brand Authenticity

Authenticity and openness are essential for fostering brand loyalty and trust in the digital age. Since consumers can now get information instantly, companies must cultivate a real connection in order to build lasting relationships. Brand impression is greatly influenced by social media. While inauthenticity might backfire (Fournier & Avery, 2011), authentic interactions increase customer trust (Godey et al., 2016). Since customers now have more power thanks to digital platforms, transparency is crucial for building trust and fostering loyalty (Labrecque et al., 2013; Schallehn et al., 2014). In areas where competition is fierce, authenticity sets brands apart. While Eggers et al. (2013) emphasise its significance for SMEs, Morhart et al. (2015) offer a methodology for developing authentic brand identity. Brand authenticity is also enhanced by social media influencers (Audrezet et al., 2018).

Ethical Consumerism and Social Media Influence

Social media's growth has magnified customer voices, increasing the weight of ethical issues when making judgments about what to buy. Digital platforms expose corporate inefficiencies while fostering the growth of ethical companies. Buying goods that support moral, environmental, and social ideals is known as ethical consumerism. However, because ease and cost are frequently prioritised, there is a disconnect between moral convictions and real conduct (Carrigan & Attalla, 2001). Social media highlights inconsistencies in consumer decisions while also fostering ethical awareness. Because social media spreads information quickly, it influences how people see ethical brands. Brand reputation may be improved or harmed by online conversation. Cultural, economic, and legislative variables impact ethical consumption in emerging nations, and social media campaigns are crucial in driving ethical consumption.

Challenges in Sustainable Marketing and Risks of Greenwashing

Although greenwashing—misleading statements that erode customer trust and legitimate sustainability efforts—is a problem, sustainable marketing is essential for both corporate profitability and environmental responsibility. Greenwashing is a result of market competitiveness, legislative inadequacies, and growing consumer demand for environmentally friendly products. Businesses may use deceptive advertisements, selective disclosure, and unsubstantiated certifications to inflate sustainability claims in order to gain a competitive advantage (Delmas & Burbano, 2011; Lyon & Montgomery, 2015). There are serious repercussions: greenwashing undermines corporate responsibility initiatives (Laufer, 2003) and breeds consumer distrust and brand trust (Chen & Chang, 2013). If genuine, sustainability labelling can increase trust (Parguel et al., 2011); yet, dishonest behavior damages a company's reputation over time. Sustainability requires a planned, open approach and confidence in green marketing depends on responsibility.

Research Methodology

This study uses a quantitative research design and a survey-based methodology to investigate how digital engagement, authenticity, and transparency affect Gen Z and Millennials' sustainable buying habits. The goal of the descriptive and exploratory study is to identify important patterns and connections in sustainable consumer behaviour. The study focuses on Millennials (ages 26–40) and Gen Z (ages 18–25) who actively make sustainable purchases. Respondents from a range of demographic backgrounds received 650 questionnaires in total. The overall sample size was 623 valid replies after 27 surveys were disqualified for having inconsistent or missing answers. To guarantee a varied representation of respondents depending on variables including age, gender, employment, and geography, a random stratified sample approach was employed. The main research tool was created as a structured questionnaire. In order to evaluate customer behaviour, brand impression, and purchase choices, the questionnaire included both closed-ended and Likert-scale items. Before being widely used, the instrument was pre-tested using a pilot survey with 50 participants to guarantee validity and reliability. Descriptive statistics, Factor analysis, Regression analysis and Chi-Square are used to analyse data with the help of Excel and SPSS.

Data Analysis and Interpretations

As per Table 1, 75% of urban respondents expressed a stronger preference for sustainability, suggesting that metropolitan locations provide greater exposure to sustainability programs. The study's gender distribution is almost equal, guaranteeing balanced representation. Given that most respondents (55.1%) hold a postgraduate degree, the audience is likely well-educated and may be more conscious of sustainable shopping behaviour.

Table 1: Sample Characteristics

Demographic Factor	Categories	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Age Group	18-24 (Gen Z)	320	51.4%
	25-40 (Millennials)	303	48.6%
Gender	Male	312	50.1%
	Female	311	49.9%
Location	Urban	467	75.0%
	Semi-Urban	156	25.0%
Education Level	Undergraduate	280	44.9%
	Postgraduate	343	55.1%

Source: Questioner

Factor Analysis: Key Drivers of Sustainable Consumer Behaviour

To determine the main factors impacting sustainable consumer behaviour, factor analysis was conducted.

A result of 0.812 from the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) test indicated that the data were suitable for factor analysis. Factorability was confirmed by the substantial results of Bartlett's test of sphericity ($p < 0.001$).

Table 2 shows that digital engagement is the most significant factor (27.1%), highlighting the part that social media, online platforms, and digital marketing play in influencing sustainable consumer behaviour.

Table 2: Extracted factors

Factor	Eigen value	Variance Explained (%)
Digital Engagement	3.25	27.1%
Transparency	2.80	22.4%
Ethical Branding	2.35	19.8%

Source: Questioner

Customers like firms that freely reveal their sustainability efforts; therefore, transparency (22.4%) is essential

for gaining their trust. Customers respect brands with strong ethics.

Convictions, as seen by the large influence of ethical branding (19.8%) on purchase decisions.

Regression Analysis: Impact of Digital Engagement, Transparency, and Ethical Branding.

The following hypothesis was tested using a multiple regression analysis: H0: Transparency and digital interaction have no discernible effects on sustainable purchasing decisions.

Tables 3 and 4 show that the significance of online marketing is reinforced by the considerable effect of digital involvement on sustainable purchasing decisions ($\beta = 0.545$, $p < 0.001$). Additionally, transparency has a favourable effect ($\beta = 0.421$, $p < 0.001$), which means that customers are more likely to trust and purchase from companies that reveal sustainability and ethical activities. The null hypothesis is rejected since the p-values are less than 0.05, indicating that transparency and digital engagement have a significant impact on sustainable purchasing behaviour.

Table 3: Model summary

Model	R-Square	Adjusted R-Square	Sig. (p-value)
Digital Engagement & Transparency	0.672	0.665	<0.001

Source: Questioner

Table 4: Regression coefficient

Independent Variable	BetaCoef-ficient	t-Value	Sig. (p-value)
Digital Engagement	0.545	8.12	<0.001
Transparency	0.421	6.85	<0.001

Source: Questioner

Comparative Insights: Differences Between Gen Z and Millennials

To examine how Gen Z and Millennials differ in their shopping habits, a chi-square test was used.

Table 5 shows that Gen Z relies heavily on social media and digital platforms, as seen by their greater dependence on digital marketing initiatives ($\chi^2 = 25.43$, $p < 0.001$). Long-term sustainability projects are given priority by millennials ($\chi^2 = 18.21$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that they prefer moral and sustainable company practices to flimsy marketing strategies.

Table 5: Chi-square test

Variable	Chi-Square Value	df	Sig. (p value)
Preference for digital campaigns	25.43	1	<0.001
Long-term sustainability focus	18.21	1	<0.001

Source: Questioner

The Influence of Social Media and Brand Commitment on Purchase Decisions

To evaluate the connection between brand commitment and social media participation, a correlation study was carried out.

Table 6 shows:

1. Increased sustainable purchasing results from improved brand trust brought about by digital interaction and transparency.
2. While Millennials place a higher value on long-term sustainability pledges, Gen Z favours interactive digital ads.
3. Consumer trust and brand loyalty are strengthened by active social media involvement.
4. The considerable effect of digital involvement, transparency, and ethical branding on sustainable purchasing behaviour is confirmed by regression and correlation studies.
5. Brand expansion and enduring customer loyalty are fostered by genuine and open sustainable marketing.

Table 6: Correlation test

Variables	Pearson Correlation (r)	Sig. (p-value)
Social Media Engagement & Brand Trust	0.783	<0.001
Social Media Engagement & Sus-tainable Purchases	0.655	<0.001

Source: Questioner

All things considered, the evidence firmly backs up the theory that ethical and digital variables influence sustainable consumer choices.

Discussion

Interpretation of Findings in the Context of Existing Literature

The study's conclusions are consistent with other investigations into ethical consumption and internet involvement. According to earlier research, digital platforms have a big impact on consumer behaviour, especially for younger audiences. The findings of Jones et al. (2019), who contended that interactive digital methods boost consumer confidence in sustainability promises, are in line with the reported substantial association between social media participation and brand trust. Furthermore, the significance of transparency supports previous research that indicated customers are more inclined to support firms that make explicit sustainability disclosures.

Theoretical Contributions to Marketing and Consumer Behaviour Studies

In the context of sustainable marketing, this study expands on the use of the Self-Determination Theory (SDT) and the Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB). TPB, which holds that social norms and perceived behavioural control influence behavioural intentions, is empirically supported by the significant influence of digital involvement on sustainable purchase decisions. Additionally, SDT supports the significance of ethical branding and transparency, emphasising that when customers believe that a brand is genuine and values-driven, they are naturally inspired to make sustainable decisions.

Practical Implications for Businesses and Marketers

The findings recommend several crucial steps for marketers hoping to draw in environmentally concerned customers:

Boost digital engagement: Influencer collaborations, social media campaigns, and interactive content may increase brand loyalty and customer trust.

Boost transparency: Businesses should reveal their sustainability initiatives through validated reporting, unambiguous labelling, and real-time updates on their progress toward environmentally friendly objectives.

Stress ethical branding: Long-term loyalty may be developed by bringing brand values and customer expectations into line with reputable environmental projects.

Sustainability vs. Profitability: Striking a Balance

Companies must combine environmental activities with profits, even though they increase brand loyalty. Cost considerations continue to be a problem, notwithstanding customer preference for companies with sincere environmental pledges. It is advised that businesses use a hybrid approach, including sustainability into their main business plan while still being cost-effective. For example, implementing the circular economy's tenets—recycling, upcycling, and producing as little trash as possible—can boost sustainability credentials and provide long-term profitability.

Risks of Greenwashing and Consumer Trust Management

The danger of "greenwashing," which occurs when companies exaggerate their sustainability initiatives in an attempt to appeal to environmentally sensitive customers, is a major obstacle in sustainable marketing. This research emphasises how misleading sustainability statements may undermine confidence and harm a brand's reputation. Businesses could use third-party certifications (such as Fair Trade, LEED, and Carbon Neutral labels) to reduce this risk.

Make sure that the messaging on product packaging and digital channels is consistent.

Involve customers in sustainability initiatives by providing them with interactive reports and impact analyses.s

Conclusion and Future Research Directions

Summary of Key Contributions

This study highlights the importance of ethical branding, transparency, and digital engagement in shaping sustainable consumer behaviour. It highlights the importance of influencer partnerships, social media, and open digital disclosures in fostering customer trust and recognises digital engagement as a major force behind sustainable marketing. With knowledgeable customers demanding verified sustainability pledges, transparency becomes a critical component in preventing greenwashing and cultivating loyalty. By using eco-friendly methods and responsible sourcing, ethical branding improves consumer interactions and brand loyalty. By using behavioural theories like TPB and SDT, the study demonstrates that both internal and external marketing initiatives influence judgments about sustainable purchases. These observations help companies create marketing plans that are guided by sustainability.

Managerial and Policy Recommendations

The following suggestions are put up to guarantee ethical and successful sustainable marketing strategies:

Transparency in marketing claims should be adopted by brands: To keep customers' trust, businesses must make sure that claims about sustainability are understandable, verifiable, and supported by data.

Lawmakers ought to impose restrictions on greenwashing: To safeguard consumers and promote true business responsibility, regulatory agencies should tighten rules and penalise deceptive sustainability claims.

Directions for Future Research

To further our knowledge of sustainable marketing, future research should examine the following areas:

Cultural differences: Examining how views of sustainability change in various cultural and economic circumstances might provide multinational corporations with more specialised insights. Qualitative studies on the reasons behind customer behaviour: Focus groups and in-depth interviews can be used to identify the emotional and psychological factors influencing sustainable consumption choices.

Future studies can help the changing field of sustainable marketing and consumer behaviour by tackling these topics and providing knowledge that will be useful to both business and academia.

References

Audrezet A, De Kerviler G, Moulard JG. (2019). Authenticity under threat: When social media influencers need to go beyond self-presentation. J Bus Res., 106, 183–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.09.019

Beckman T, Colwell A, Cunningham PH. (2009). The emergence of corporate transparency: Implications for brand trust. J Bus Ethics. 90(2), 133–46.

Chen YS, Chang CH. (2013). Greenwash and green trust: The mediation effects of green consumer confusion and green perceived risk. J Bus Ethics. 114(3), 489–500. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1360-0

Delmas MA, Burbano VC. (2011). The drivers of greenwashing. Calif Manag Rev., 54(1), 64–87. https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2011.54.1.64

Dimock M. Defining generations: Where Millennials end and Generation Z begins. Pew Res Cent; 2019.

Eggers F, O'Dwyer M, Kraus S, Vallaster C, Güldenberg S. (2013). The impact of brand authenticity on SME growth: A strategic approach. J World Bus., 48(3), 340–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2012.07.020

Fournier S, Avery J. (2011). The uninvited brand. Bus Horiz., 54(3), 193–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2011.01.001

Francis T, Hoefel F. (2018). 'True Gen': Generation Z and its implications for companies. McKinsey & Company.

Fromm J, Read A. (2018). Marketing to Millennials: Reach the largest and most influential generation of consumers ever. AMACOM.

Godey B, et al. (2016). Social media marketing efforts of luxury brands: Influence on brand equity and consumer behaviour. J Bus Res., 69(12), 5833–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.181

Gordon R, Carrigan M, Hastings G. (2011). A framework for sustainable marketing. Mark Theory. 11(2), 143–63. https://doi.org/10.1177/1470593111403218

Kotler, P. (2011). Reinventing marketing to manage the environmental imperative. J Mark., 75(4), 132–5. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.75.4.132

Labrecque LI, et al. (2013). Consumer power: Evolution in the digital age. J Interact Mark., 27(4), 257–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2013.09.002

Laufer WS. (2003). Social accountability and corporate greenwashing. J Bus Ethics., 43(3), 253–61. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022962719299

Leonidou CN, Katsikeas CS, Morgan NA. (2013). "Greening" the marketing mix: Do firms do it and does it pay off? J Acad Mark Sci., 41(2), 151–70. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-012-0317-2

Lim WM. (2016). A blueprint for sustainability marketing: Defining its conceptual boundaries for progress. Mark Theory. 16(2), 232–49. https://doi.org/10.1177/1470593115589796

Lyon TP, Montgomery AW. (2015). The means and ends of greenwash. Organ Environ. 28(2), 223–49. https://doi.org/10.1177/1086026615575332

Martin D, Schouten J. Sustainable marketing. Pearson, 2012. Ottman JA, Stafford ER, Hartman CL. Avoiding green marketing myopia: Ways to improve consumer appeal for environmentally preferable products. Environ Sci Policy Sustain Dev., 2006; 48(5), 22–36. https://doi.org/10.3200/ENVT.48.5.22-36

Morhart F, et al. (2015). Brand authenticity: An integrative framework and measurement scale. J Consum Psychol., 25(2), 200–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2014.11.006

Parguel B, et al. (2011). How sustainability labels can positively affect corporate brand image. J Bus Ethics. 102(2), 99–117. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-0901-2

Parry E, Urwin P. (2011). Generational differences in work values: A review of theory and evidence. Int J

Manag Rev., 13(1), 79–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2010.00285.x

Peattie K, Belz FM. (2010). Sustainability marketing: An innovative conception of marketing. Mark Rev St. Gallen., 27(5), 8–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11621-010-0085-7

Priporas CV, Stylos N, Fotiadis AK. (2017). Generation Z consumers' expectations of interactions in smart retailing: A future agenda. Comput Human Behav., 77, 374–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.01.058

Rajagopal. (2019). Sustainable marketing: Innovations, markets, and economic growth. Palgrave Macmillan.

Schallehn M, et al. (2014). Brand authenticity: Model development and empirical testing. J Prod Brand Manag., 23(3), 192–9. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBM-06-2013-0339

Southgate D. (2017). The emergence of Generation Z and its impact on advertising: Long-term implications for brands. J Advert Res., 57(2), 227–35. https://doi.org/10.2501/JAR-2017-028

Turner A. (2015). Generation Z: Technology and social interest. J Individ Psychol., 71(2), 103–13. https://doi.org/10.1353/jip.2015.0016

Twenge JM. (2017). iGen: Why today's super-connected kids are growing up less rebellious, more tolerant, less happy–and completely unprepared for adulthood. Atria Books. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0401-2