

A Cross-Sectional Study on the Physical Activity of Selected Elderly Diabetics

Jemima Beryl Mohankumar*, S. Bhavani and G. Sowmiya

Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, PSG College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore – 641014, Tamil Nadu, India;
mohanjemi1502@yahoo.com

Abstract

Regular exercise may prevent or delay the development of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM). In addition to moderate-to vigorous-intensity exercise, daily physical activity is also important for the prevention and management of T2D. Elderly diabetics (50-70 years) were selected from the PSG Hospitals - a multi-specialty hospital. A Diabetes Self-Management Questionnaire (DSMQ) was developed to be locally relevant. The validity and reliability was checked before administration of the questionnaire. Data on glycemic control, energy expenditure, and physical activity (MET) were recorded. Physical activity from age 50-60 and 60-70 years were similar as indicated by the 24hr physical activity pattern and MET values. We observed a progression of the metabolic disorder with age as shown by HbA1c values. Physical activity and exercise recommendations, therefore, should be tailored to meet the specific needs of each individual.

Keywords: Elderly Diabetics, Metabolic Equivalent Task (MET), Physical Activity, Type 2 Diabetes

1. Introduction

T2DM is a primarily self-manageable condition and medical nutrition therapists and diabetologists usually offer education, however the patients themselves are responsible for the daily management of their blood glucose levels. Hence self-management support through physical activity and exercise may have a considerable impact on health care, especially for elderly people.

Physical activity includes all movement that increases energy use, whereas exercise is planned, structured physical activity. Certain facts about physical activity and diabetes are well established. “The introduction of Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) several years ago enabled researchers to investigate the impact of exercise strategies on 24-hour glycemic control. Such unique information on the gluco-regulatory properties of exercise will ultimately lead to more effective exercise intervention programs to manage and/or prevent and

treat T2D”¹. “Exercise improves blood glucose control in T2D, reduces cardiovascular risk factors, contributes to weight loss, and improves well-being”². Regular exercise may prevent or delay T2DM developments³, physical activity and exercise recommendations, therefore, should be tailored to meet the specific needs of each individual.

There are several types of exercises and physical activity that can play a role in the management of blood glucose levels. Aerobic exercises involve repeated continuous movement of large muscle groups⁴, “Activities such as: walking, cycling, jogging, and swimming rely primarily on aerobic energy-producing systems. Resistance (strength) training includes exercises with free weights, weight machines, body weight, or elastic resistance bands. Flexibility exercises improve range of motion around joints”⁵, Morrison, *et al.*⁶ reported that “balance exercises benefit gait and prevent falls”.

World Health Organisation (WHO) recommends that adults aged 18–64 years do at least 150 minutes of

*Author for correspondence

moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity throughout the week, or do at least 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity throughout the week, or an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous-intensity activity. Aerobic activity should be performed in bouts of at least ten minutes duration. For additional health benefits, adults need to increase their moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity to 300 minutes per week, or engage in 150 minutes of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity per week, or an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous-intensity activity, muscle-strengthening activities should be done involving major muscle groups on two or more days a week⁷.

Getting enough regular physical activity is important for maintaining good health and ensuring good diabetes management⁸. Exercise has been considered a cornerstone of diabetes management, along with diet and medication. Epidemiological studies have suggested that moderate-to-vigorous Leisure-Time Physical Activity (LTPA) protects against the development of T2DM⁹ as well as the metabolic syndrome which commonly precedes diabetes and cardiovascular disease¹⁰. The therapeutic benefits of exercise have been studied extensively in middle-aged men and women with T2D, but little is known about the impact of exercise training in older people with this condition.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Identification of Study Problem

People with diabetes need Diabetes Self-Management Support (DSMS). No single strategy or programme focus shows any clear advantage, but interventions that incorporate behavioral and affective components are more effective. In most cases, T2DM treatment begins with weight reduction through diet and exercise. This study was an attempt to reiterate that management of diabetes is a basic problem of the balance between diet and exercise.

2.2 Selection of Subjects and Ethical Clearance

The study was carried out at PSG Hospitals, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. It is a Multi-Specialty Hospital certified by the National Accreditation Board for Hospitals & Healthcare Providers. It is a patient-centric

medical service with more than 1000 beds. The study was conducted in the Endocrinology Department of PSG Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Hospitals, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India, where, the ethical clearance was obtained to do the study among Type 2 Diabetic patients.

The ICMR (2006)¹¹ guidelines were followed to protect the rights of the study participants. Ethical Clearance (15/328, dated 04/12/2015 was obtained from PSG Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Hospitals, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. The purpose of study was explained by the researcher to the participants and their consent was obtained in the ICMR human ethics consent form.

Selection of participants was based on the basis of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The study participants were T2D patients in the age group of 50 to 70 years of both genders. The researcher was permitted to scrutinize the medical records at the Endocrinology Department of PSG IMSR Hospital for selection of the study participants.

In-patients who met inclusion criteria were informed about the possibility to participate in a cross-sectional study of questionnaire evaluation. Patients who consented were assessed with the modified DSMQ¹², "Additionally, demographic and diabetes-specific characteristics were gained from the electronic patient records (sex, age, BMI, diabetes type, diabetes duration, type of diabetes treatment, late complication status, and current HbA1c). Data collection was carried out as a cross-sectional survey".

2.3 Physical Activity

"Physical activity is usually classified by its intensity and duration. The Metabolic Equivalent Task (MET) is a useful measurement for representing the intensity of physical activity and is defined as the amount of oxygen uptake while sitting at rest. An oxygen uptake of 3.5 mL/kg per minute is equal to the basal resting metabolic rate and is considered to be 1 MET"¹³. Ainsworth, *et al.* (2011)¹⁴ has provided a list of "MET values for 821 specific physical activities that vary in their intensity of daily physical activity according to the situation in which they are performed. For example, walking inside is equal to only 2.0 METs (light intensity) whereas walking with children is the equivalent of 4.0 METs (moderate intensity). Therefore, it should be noted that daily physical activity

covers a wide range of intensity that at times are the same as structured exercise.”

“Therefore in our study we recorded physical activity and glycemic control (FBG, PPBG, HbA1c) and statistically established the relationship between the two. The 24hr activity was recorded as per the guidelines given by WHO in the GPAQ. The GPAQ was chosen because it is a widely used global PAQ which has been validated in India”¹⁵.

3. Results and Discussion

In this article we report aspects of our study namely, physical activity and glycemic control in relation to physical activity as classified by MET values. We looked at a large study on physical activity among diabetics conducted in the country to make some meaningful comparison. They report the following.

“ICMR-INDIAB study assessed the reports on the levels of physical activity and inactivity in India using Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ)”¹⁶. “Overall, 54.4% of the population were inactive (males: 41.7%), while 31.9% (males: 58.3%) were active and 13.7% (males: 61.3%) were highly active. Subjects were more inactive in urban, compared to rural, areas (65.0% vs. 50.0%) and female subjects were significantly more inactive than their male counterparts. Physical inactivity was highest in Chandigarh (66.8%) followed by Tamil

Nadu (60.0%), Maharashtra (55.2%) and Jharkhand (34.9%). Absence of recreational activity was reported by 88.4%, 94.8%, 91.3% and 93.1% of the subjects in Chandigarh, Jharkhand, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu respectively. Most of the time spent in moderate to vigorous intensity activity was at the workplace. Even among those who reported recreational physical activity (8.1%), the time spent in moderate to vigorous intensity activity was overall”.

“Physical activity helps to improve the body’s response to insulin which can lower blood glucose levels, lower blood pressure and cholesterol levels, reducing the risk of heart disease, control weight, reduce the risk of developing diabetes complications. American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommends that individuals with T2DM perform at least 150 min of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise and/or at least 90 min of vigorous aerobic exercise per week”^{17,18}.

3.1 Life Style and Physical Activity Pattern in Selected Diabetics

Life style and physical activity data in Table 1 shows the various activities including sleep, leisure, household works, moderate intense activity, physical activity-walking and cycling and hours spent for those activities. Table 1 concluded that the physical activity of both age group were similar. Physical activity of 50-60 year age group was slightly better than the 60-70 year age group,

Table 1. Life style – physical activity (mean values) pattern in selected diabetics

| Activity | 50-60 Years (Hours) | 60-70 Years (Hours) |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Sleep | 8.43(1.77) | 8.73(1.69) |
| Leisure (sitting activities) | 13.27(2.59) | 13.00(2.47) |
| Household works | 1.21(1.63) | 1.32(1.96) |
| Moderate intense activity | 0.76(1.86) | 0.50(1.04) |
| Physical activity-walking | 0.33(0.39) | 0.42(0.44) |
| Physical activity-cycling | 0.00(0.03) | 0.03(0.12) |
| Total hours | 24.00 | 24.00 |

since they were engaged with some kind of physical activity related to their work while the 60-70 year age group was sedentary, probably because they had retired from professional engagements.

English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA) is a prospective cohort study of community-dwelling men and women aged 50 years and over to examine whether small amounts of low-intensity physical activity were associated with reduced risk of developing T2DM in a national sample of people aged 50 years and over. The sample comprised 7,466 individuals (55.9% women) free from self-reported doctor-diagnosed diabetes and was prospectively followed for a mean of 45.3 months. Baseline self-reported physical activity was categorized as physical inactivity, low- and vigorous/moderate-intensity physical activity at least once a week. Cox proportional hazard regression was used to model the association between baseline physical activity and incident T2D. Physical activity performed at least once a week was reported in 1966 participants who performed Low intensity activity, 4950 participants performed Vigorous/moderate intensity activity and 550 performed none¹⁹.

Lifestyle interventions including 150 min/week of physical activity and diet-induced weight loss of 5–7% reduced the risk of progression from Impaired Glucose Tolerance (IGT) to T2DM by 58%²⁰.

One of the easiest methods for recording of the intensity of a physical activity is the MET method. The energy cost of many activities has been determined, usually by monitoring the oxygen consumption during the activity, to determine an average oxygen uptake per unit of time. This value is then compared to the resting oxygen uptake. One MET is the energy expended at rest, two METs indicates the energy expended is twice that at rest, three METs is triple the resting energy expenditure, etc.

Phase 1 of the ICMR-INDIAB study was conducted in four regions of India (Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Jharkhand and Chandigarh representing the south, west, east and north of India respectively) with a combined population of 213 million people. Physical activity was assessed using the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) in 14227 individuals aged ≥ 20 years [urban-4,173; rural-10,054], selected from the above regions using a stratified multistage design. Of the 14227 individuals studied, 54.4% (n=7737) were inactive (males: 41.7%), while 31.9% (n=4537) (males: 58.3%) were active and 13.7% (n=1953) (males: 61.3%) were highly active. Subjects were more inactive in urban, compared to rural, areas (65.0% vs. 50.0%; $p < 0.001$). Males were significantly more active than females ($p < 0.001$). Subjects in all four regions spent more active minutes at work than in the commuting and recreation domains. Absence of recreational activity was reported by 88.4%, 94.8%, 91.3% and 93.1% of the subjects in Chandigarh, Jharkhand, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu respectively. The percentage of individuals with no recreational activity increased with age (Trend χ^2 : 199.1, $p < 0.001$). The study shows that a large percentage of people in India are inactive with fewer than 10% engaging in recreational physical activity, therefore, urgent steps need to be initiated to promote physical activity to stem the twin epidemics of diabetes and obesity in India¹⁶.

The data from Global Physical activity questionnaire was used to compute the MET of the selected diabetics. The following Table 2 gives the details.

Exercise training may improve insulin action via changes in regional Adipose Tissue (AT) deposition, a recognized predictor of risk for T2DM and cardiovascular disease²¹, and more recent evidence suggests that

Table 2. Classifications of the selected diabetics according to MET values

| MET Classification | 50 – 60 years | | | 60 – 70 years | | |
|--------------------|---------------|--------|-------|---------------|--------|-------|
| | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| MET < 600 | 14 | 17 | 31 | 9 | 9 | 18 |
| MET > 600 | 11 | 13 | 24 | 15 | 12 | 27 |
| Total | 25 | 30 | 55 | 23 | 21 | 45 |

intramuscular lipid is an early contributor to the pathogenesis of insulin resistance²².

Further, Tamil Nadu, a significantly greater proportion of urban residents were inactive compared to rural residents (71.0% vs 55.4%; $p < 0.001$), while a significantly higher proportion of rural subjects were classified as highly active compared to their urban counterparts (13.3% vs. 8.3%; $p < 0.001$). Compared to males, a higher proportion of female subjects were physically inactive in both the urban as well as rural areas. Conversely, a higher proportion of males were found to be highly active as compared to females, in both the urban as well as rural areas¹⁶.

3.2 Physical Activity and Glycemic Control of Selected T2D

Physical activity and glycemic control of selected T2DM population were computed by Global Physical Activity

Questionnaire and indicated in MET which gives the border line of 600MET value which separates physically activity (>600 MET) and physically inactive (<600 MET) participants²³. The data on FBG, PPBG and HbA1c from medical records of participants were obtained and consolidated into two groups i.e. physically active and physically inactive persons are compared in the Table 3.

In the present study it was found that the glycemic parameters increased in the group with greater physical activity (MET \geq 600). Therefore in this study there are other factors responsible for poor glycemic control in spite of physical activity. The most probable factor would be food intake. It may be that total food intake and eating in-between meals could be greater. So, as age increases diet has to be monitored more carefully in addition to physical activity.

According to the Table 4 the FBG and PPBG values were significantly different among the males of the two groups of physical activity. HbA1c values were also

Table 3. Physical activities and glycemic control of selected T2DM subjects (n=100)

| Indicators of Glycemic Control | Physical Activity Groups | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | MET < 600 | | MET \geq 600 | |
| | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| FBG (mg/dl) | 137.04 \pm 39.28 | 153.08 \pm 59.53 | 166.04 \pm 58.78 | 161.13 \pm 71.80 |
| PPBG (mg/dl) | 209.17 \pm 78.06 | 233.84 \pm 91.6 | 264.46 \pm 101.16 | 250.38 \pm 101.22 |
| HbA1c (%) | 8.16 \pm 1.69 | 9.04 \pm 2.41 | 9.05 \pm 2.52 | 9.1 \pm 2.34 |

Table 4. Statistical analyses comparison of glycaemic control and physical activity (MET)

| Indices of glycemic control | Males | | Females | |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------------|----------|---------------|
| | t values | p value | t values | p value |
| FBG | -11.6 | ≤ 0.05 | -2.9 | ≤ 0.05 |
| PPBG | -19.5 | ≤ 0.05 | -6.0 | ≤ 0.05 |
| HbA1c | -9.5 | ≤ 0.05 | -0.8 | $\leq 0.05^*$ |

*NS – not significant

significantly different in the males but not among the females. As the t value is small (-0.8) we are unable to conclude that the glycemic control among females of the two physical activity group are different.

The CUPS is an epidemiological study involving two residential areas in Chennai in South India representing the middle and lower socio-economic group. Prevalence of glucose intolerance was significantly higher among subjects who had light grade physical activity (23.2%) compared to moderate (17.5%, $p=0.04$) and heavy grade activity (8.1% $p<0.00001$). Subjects belonging to higher Socio-Economic Status (SES) and who also had a positive family history of diabetes had five times greater prevalence of glucose intolerance compared to subjects from lower socioeconomic status and no family history ($p<0.0001$)²⁴.

Several researchers have argued whether exercise or non-exercise physical activity improves glycemic control. Despite the well-documented benefits of exercise in the prevention and treatment of T2D. Many patients with T2D have difficulties engaging in or adhering to structured exercise intervention programs. The most cited reasons to abstain from regular exercise include lack of time, lack of motivation, lack of joy, physical discomfort during exercise, and resistance against exercise facilities²⁵. Therefore, it could be questioned whether engaging in a typical exercise intervention program is the most suitable physical activity intervention for all patients with T2D.

It has been argued that physical activity strategies should focus more on increasing physical activity applicable to patients' daily life and home environment^{25,26}. "In that respect, an increase in unstructured physical activities such as strolling, walking a dog, or performing light gardening or household tasks may represent a promising alternative to structured exercise. This view is supported by evidence from epidemiological studies, indicating that non-exercise physical activity is beneficially associated with glucose concentrations independent of moderate to vigorous intensity physical activity²⁷.

Recent experimental studies have confirmed the benefits of non-exercise physical activity on postprandial blood glucose homeostasis. In this regard, repeated short bouts (2–15 minutes) of light physical activity during the postprandial phase have been shown to reduce postprandial glucose and/or insulin responses in non-diabetic individuals²⁸. Thus, resistance training may also be considered in the treatment of insulin resistance,

and not only with a view to increasing the muscle mass. Resistance exercise is probably very attractive to the typically overweight type 2 diabetic patients, who may be reluctant to take endurance exercise.

A cluster- randomized trial found that diet alone; exercise alone, and combined diet and exercise were equally effective in reducing the progression from IGT to diabetes²⁹. The effect of resistance exercise training on insulin sensitivity may last somewhat longer³⁰, perhaps because some of its effects are mediated by increases in muscle mass³¹.

4. Conclusions

Higher levels of PA were associated with lower mortality risk in individuals with diabetes. Further moderately active persons have lower risk compared to inactive persons. Difficulties faced in exercise interventions are a big challenge which needs to be addressed.

5. References

1. Dijk J and Loon LJC. Exercise strategies to optimize glycemic control in T2D: A continuing glucose monitoring perspective. *Diabetes Spectr.* Jan 2015; 28(1):24-31. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diaspect.28.1.24>. PMID: 25717275, PMCID: PMC4334084.
2. Chen L, Pei JH, Kuang J, Chen HM, Chen Z, Li ZW and Yang HZ. Effect of lifestyle intervention in patients with T2D: A meta-analysis. *Metabolism.* 2015; 64:338–347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2014.10.018>. PMID: 25467842.
3. Lin X, Zhang X, Guo J, Roberts CK, McKenzie S., Wu WC, Liu S and Song Y. Effects of exercise training on cardiorespiratory fitness and biomarkers of cardiometabolic health: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 2015; 4:4. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.115.002014>. PMID: 26116691, PMCID: PMC4608087.
4. Schellenberg ES, Dryden DM, Vandermeer B, Ha C and Korownyk C. Lifestyle interventions for patients with and at risk for T2D: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2013; 159:543-551. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-159-8-201310150-00007>. PMID: 24126648.
5. Herriott MT, Colberg SR, Parson HK, Nunnold T and Vinik AI. Effects of 8 weeks of flexibility and resistance training in older adults with T2D. *Diabetes Care.* 2004; 27:2988-2989. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.27.12.2988>. PMID: 15562222.

6. Morrison S, Colberg SR, Mariano M, Parson HK and Vinik AI. Balance training reduces falls risk in older individuals with T2D. *Diabetes Care*. 2010; 33:748-750. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc09-1699>. PMID:20097781, PMCID: PMC2845020.
7. World Health Organisation (WHO). *Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health*; 2010. p. 23-32.
8. Colagiuri S, Dickinson S, Girgis S and Colagiuri R. National Evidence Based Guideline for Blood Glucose Control in Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Australia and the NHMRC, Canberra; 2009.
9. Hu G, Qiao Q, Silventoinen K, Eriksson JG, Jousilahti P, Lindstrom J, Valle TT, Nissinen A and Tuomilehto J. Occupational, commuting, and leisure-time physical activity in relation to risk for type 2 diabetes in middle-aged Finnish men and women. *Diabetologia*. 2003; 46:322-329. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-003-1031-x>. PMID: 12687329.
10. Lakka TA and Laaksonen DE. Physical activity in prevention and treatment of the metabolic syndrome. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab*. 2007; 32:76-88. <https://doi.org/10.1139/h06-113>. PMID: 17332786.
11. ICMR. *Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research on Human Participants*; 2006.
12. Schmitt A, Gahr A, Hermanns N, Kulzer B, Huber J and Haak T. The Diabetes Self-Management Questionnaire (DSMQ): Development and evaluation of an instrument to assess diabetes self-care activities associated with glycaemic control. *Health Qual. Life Outcomes*, Aug 13 2013; 11:138. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-11-138>. PMID: 23937988, PMCID: PMC3751743.
13. Balducci S, Sacchetti M, Haxhi J, Orlando G, D'Errico V, Fallucca S, Menini S and Pugliese G. Physical exercise as therapy for T2D mellitus. *Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev*. 2014; 30 Suppl 1:13-23. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dmrr.2514>. PMID: 24353273.
14. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD, Meckes N, Bassett DR, Tudor-Locke C, Greer JL, Vezina J, Whitt-Glover MC and Leon AS. Compendium of physical activities: A second update of codes and MET values. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc*. 2011; 43:1575-1581. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e31821ece12>. PMID: 21681120.
15. Bull FC, Maslin TS and Armstrong T. Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ): Nine country reliability and validity study. *J. Phys. Act Health*. 2009; 6:790-804. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.6.6.790>. PMID: 20101923.
16. Anjana RM, Pradeepa R, Das AK, Deepa M, Bhansali A, Joshi SR, Joshi PP, Dhandhanika VK, Rao PV, Sudha V, Subashini R, Unnikrishnan R, Madhu SV, Kaur T, Mohan V and Shukla DK. ICMR- INDIAB collaborative study group. Physical activity and inactivity patterns in India - results from the ICMR-INDIAB study (Phase-1) [ICMRINDIAB-5]. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act*. 2014; 26:11-26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-11-26>. PMID: 24571915, PMCID: PMC3974063.
17. Sigal RJ, Kenny GP, Boule' NG, Wells GA, Prud'homme D, Fortier M, Reid RD, Tulloch H, Coyle D, Phillips P, Jennings A and Jaffey J. Effects of aerobic training, resistance training, or both on glycemic control in type 2 diabetes a randomized trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2007; 147(6):357-369. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-147-6-200709180-00005>. PMID: 17876019.
18. Colberg SR. Key points from the updated guidelines on exercise and diabetes, *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2017; 8:33. Published online 2017 Feb 20. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2017.00033>. PMID: 28265261, PMCID: PMC5317029.
19. Demakakos P, Hamer M, Stamatakis E and Steptoe A. Low-intensity physical activity is associated with reduced risk of incident type 2 diabetes in older adults: Evidence from the English Longitudinal Study. *Ageing Diabetologia*. 2010; 53:1877-1885 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-010-1785-x>. PMID: 20495973.
20. Tuomilehto J, Lindstro"m J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Ha"ma"la"inen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, Keinanen-Kiukaanniemi S, Laakso M, Louheranta A, Rastas M, Salminen V and Uusitupa M. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N. Engl. J. Med*. 2001; 344:1343-1350. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200105033441801>. PMID: 11333990.
21. Sheehan MT. and Jensen MD. Metabolic complications of obesity: Pathophysiologic considerations. *Medical Clinics of North America*. 2000; 48(2):363-385. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-7125\(05\)70226-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-7125(05)70226-1).
22. McGarry JD. Banting lecture 2001: Dysregulation of fatty acid metabolism in the etiology of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2002; 51(1):7-18. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diabetes.51.1.7>. PMID: 11756317.
23. Stewart A. and Kristin M. CHAMPS Physical Activity Questionnaire for Older Adults: outcomes for interventions, *Epidemiology, Medicine & Science*. In: *Sports and Exercise*; 2000. p. 1126-1141. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200107000-00010>. PMID: 11445760.
24. Mohan V, Shanthirani CS and Deepa R. Glucose intolerance (Diabetes and IGT) in a selected South Indian population with special reference to family history. Obesity and Lifestyle Factors--The Chennai Urban Population Study (CUPS 14). *J. Assoc. Physicians India*. 2003 Aug; 51:771-777. PMID: 14651136.

25. Solomon TPJ and Thyfault JP. Type 2 diabetes sits in a chair. *Review Diabetes Obes. Metab.* 2013 Nov. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12105>. PMID: 23551885.
26. De Feo P and Schwarz P. Is physical exercise a core therapeutical element for most patients with type 2 diabetes? *Diabetes Care.* 2013; 36 (Suppl. 2):S149-S154. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dcS13-2024>. PMID: 23882040, PMCID: PMC3920782.
27. Yates T, Henson J, Khunti K, Morris DH, Edwardson C, Brady E and Davies MJ. Effect of physical activity measurement type on the association between walking activity and glucose regulation in a high-risk population recruited from primary care. *Int J. Epidemiol.* 2013; 42:533-540. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyt015>. PMID: 23421988.
28. DiPietro L, Gribok A, Stevens MS, Hamm LF and Rumpler W. Three 15-min bouts of moderate postmeal walking significantly improves 24-h glycemic control in older people at risk for impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabetes Care.* 2013; 36:3262-3268. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc13-0084>. PMID: 23761134, PMCID: PMC3781561.
29. Pan XR, Li GW, Hu YH, Wang JX, Yang WY, An ZX, Hu ZX, Lin J, Xiao JZ, Cao HB, Liu PA, Jiang XG, Jiang YY, Wang JP, Zheng H, Zhang H, Bennett PH and Howard BV. Effects of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in people with impaired glucose tolerance: The Da Qing IGT and diabetes study. *Diabetes Care.* 1997 Apr; 20(4):537-544. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.20.4.537>. PMID: 9096977.
30. Zachwieja JJ, Toffolo G, Cobelli C, Bier DM and Yarasheski KE. Resistance exercise and growth hormone administration in older men: effects on insulin sensitivity and secretion during a stable-label intravenous glucose tolerance test. *Metabolism.* 1996; 45:254-260. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0026-0495\(96\)90063-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0026-0495(96)90063-3).
31. Sigal RJ, Armstrong MJ, Colby P, Kenny GP, Plotnikoff RC, Reichert SM and Riddell MC. Canadian diabetes association 2013 clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and management of diabetes in Canada: Physical activity and diabetes. *Can J Diabetes.* 2013; 37(suppl 1):S40-S44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjd.2013.01.018>. PMID: 24070962.